



Driven by Texans

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

I-69 Citizen Comments



I-69 Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
1	35124	Pelham	I69 will get more tourist dollars from my family because it makes it easier for us to visit. Trucking will support as well.
2	71067	Haughton, LA	Will you be working with LA DOTD to recommend an I-69 route from Logansport to Stonewall? Will it upgrade existing highways as well or will it be a new roadway?
3	71854	Texarkana	As a business owner and citizen of Texarkana, I encourage the leadership of segment 1 to pursue this project with vigor. It is extremely important to this area to be forward thinking in developing interstate highways that connect Texarkana to our neighboring states in order to promote current and future economic and population growth.
4	71854	Texarkana	I think this is a wonderful thing for the Texarkana area & I am excited for all of the possibilities it will bring to Texarkana.
5	71854	Not Provided	FABULOUS! Bring it on! As a realtor, I welcome any way to bring in new business to Texarkana. I support this fully.
6	72904	Fort Smith	I-69 is a crucial key to sustaining the economical growth of Southwest Arkansas and Northeast Texas....period. It must happen.
7	74596	Moscow	Please go west of Moscow - not down 59!!
8	75160	Moscow	Would like for you to not take away our land, cause we have worked 30-40 years hard labor to get what we have, so please do not take our land. Thank You. I live on the east side of US Hwy. t
9	75215	Dallas	The I-69 designation ought to go to U.S. 59 to Laredo to minimize confusion. The other routes could also be upgraded to interstate standards eventually, but giving the I-69 moniker to U.S. 59 is the simplest, most logical solution.
10	75234	Dallas	Will this help Houston and the state border?
11	75455	Mt. Pleasant	The I-69 corridor is not good for Texas unless we Texans/The State of Texas own the land legally and ethically (and not take it away from landowners) and Texas gets most or all of the revenue from it. Foreigners or outside sources should not profit from such a venture. Texas and Texans should be the only ones to benefit from such a decision. Then and only then would it be good for Texas and Texans!
12	75455	Mt. Pleasant / Linden	I believe I-69 would help our area economy immensely - Please vote for it & let's get started ASAP.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
13	75501	Texarkana	I want to strongly encourage all decision-making entities to fully research options that most effectively use our existing interstate-grade roadways in the planning of I-69 in the Texarkana area. US HWY 59 links with Loop 151/245 which go to both I-30 and I-49.
14	75501	Texarkana	We are in support of I-69 in Texarkana USA
15	75501	Texarkana	Texarkana needs I-69.
16	75501	Texarkana	We want 69 to come thru Texarkana!
17	75501	Texarkana	This is a terrific idea!
18	75501	Texarkana	This would be a great help to our community. My daughter lives in Houston and I would welcome this highway, for my frequent trips.
19	75501	Texarkana	This will be a tremendous boost to our area. This will aid in our growth and allow better access for new businesses. The ability to connect I-30 to a North South route will be invaluable.
20	75501	Texarkana	East Texas is beautiful. Let it be! Go to West Texas - nothing is in your way there. (A Texan from birth to death.)
21	75501	Texarkana	So Hope, this Happens Soon!
22	75501	Texarkana/Nash	A Really Great Move For Texarkana, Ark & TX.
23	75503	Texarkana	I-69 will be vital North-South corridor to and from Mexico and Gulf of Mexico ports into the middle of the US. I-35 can't handle it all.... I69 is a great solution that will open up other parts of Texas for growth. With a large defense logistics facility in Bowie County and the potential for inland ports along the Red River (including into Louisiana) I-69 coming through East Texas & Bowie County will be a great driver of economic activity.
24	75503	Texarkana	We are very supportive of this.
25	75503	Texarkana	I-69 is crucial to continuing the growth of Texarkana.
26	75503	Texarkana	I-69 is crucial to the future development of Texarkana. It will be a vital link to other Interstate Highway systems and provide a much needed corridor to move freight after the completion of the Panama Canal in 2012.
27	75503	Texarkana	I would like to see I-69 run thru Texarkana and follow the 59 corridor as much as possible
28	75503	Texarkana	I-69 will only help our growing economy and hopefully make Texarkana a bigger revenue generator for the state of Texas.
29	75503	Texarkana	We need I-69 through Texarkana. This route will make traveling much easier.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
30	75503	Texarkana	We need this. I especially like the plan to use existing highways as much as feasible. To me, this makes economic sense. North-south traffic flows in our area need improvement.
31	75503	Texarkana	From all the studies and information, we see this as one of the most important projects for the whole area of the country, not only for East Texas. Howe4ver, the benefits of I-69 are immeasurable and very needed.
32	75503	Texarkana	I 69 would be a great thing for Texarkana and the area. Texarkana would offer a unique gateway for travel and transportation of goods and services.
33	75503	Texarkana	Texarkana area really needs the growth from that expansion since the close of Red River Army Depot and Lone Star Ammunition Plant.
34	75503	Texarkana	I69 makes sense for everyone. The impact study has already been done. the interstate will be able to use many existing routes. If the high speed rail accompanies the project the fuel savings, and EPA concerns will be significantly reduced. I am in favor of a private company building it and having it set up on some type of toll. Because many 18 wheelers would be taken off the roads, much less road repair costs.
35	75503	Texarkana	Wonderful!
36	75503	Texarkana	I think this is a great idea. Very helpful!
37	75503	Texarkana	Super Idea! When? Let's Build it!
38	75503	Texarkana	Looks like a very good idea.
39	75503	Texarkana	I know that I-69 will be a tremendous asset & benefit to our area! This is something that must be done to strengthen the movement of people & freight up through East Texas.
40	75503	Texarkana	There is without question the need for I-69 in Texas. The growth of our city, state and nation depend upon our transportation system. This proposed Interstate system is vital in connecting with the East/West Interstate corridors.
41	75503	Texarkana	Looking forward to I-69. Will be a great boon to N.E. Texas!
42	75503	Texarkana	Area geographic location and use of existing (Hwy 59 & connected) routes/Right-of-Way sound like good ideas. I am in favor o the I-69 project.
43	75503	Texarkana	With the change over at Red River and Lone Star Ammunition closing, Texarkana, Bowie & Cass Counties as well as Arkansas need the grow I-69 can provide.
44	75503	Texarkana	With the closing of Lone Star Ammunition plant closing, Texarkana, Bowie & Cass Counties as well as Arkansas need this development.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
45	75503	Texarkana	#1 reason – future growth of the NE Texas area; #2 reason – an infrastructure to help future generations continue to make Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana GROW & therefore help the economy of America!
46	75503	Texarkana	Please keep Texarkana in the planned route. It will be essential to the progress of our beautiful city. S
47	75503	Texarkana	Will Be a Great Move for the Area
48	75503	Texarkana	It is a wonderful idea to promote commerce and industry along its route.
49	75503	Texarkana	I believe it will be very vital to the growth of this area, and surrounding counties.
50	75503	Not Provided	I 69 is a much needed interstate that would provide needed common routes.
51	75505	Texarkana	I think by increasing interstates (easy access) this would increase the cities revenue. And bring more opportunity to those who are relocating, jobs, entertainment, etc...
52	Not Provided	Texarkana	Great idea! I support it 100% - Can't be built quick enough!
53	75563	Linden	Please keep the I-69 project in Texas. It will help keep all the small towns on the route alive. We don't need more ghost towns.
54	75563	Linden	Bring I-69 thru 59 @ Linden, Texas would be the most feasible way to go. People would learn all about a place that keeps history in place. I-69 thru Linden, Texas is a boost to Texas and Linden.
55	75563	Not Provided	Using U.S. Hwy. 59 for I-69 is a good idea!
56	75569	Nash	I-69 is badly needed to further develop East Texas from Mexico to Texarkana. It will help us take advantage of the economic activity as a result of the widening of the Panama Canal.
57	75569	Nash	I 69 needs to go through Texarkana
58	75601	Longview	This highway is a tremendous waste of money. It only really benefits out of state interests while robbing counties of needed tax revenue. You can't maintain existing highways so why build more.
59	75601	Marshall	I strongly believe there should be a relief route around the west side of Marshall. I work in Marshall and live in Longview, I would like it also to be close to Longview.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
60	75604	Longview	I strongly believe there should be a relief route around the west side of Marshall, Texas, passing as close to Longview, Texas (and its east Loop 281) as possible. I 69 needs to be more easily accessible to the Tyler/Smith County MSA and Longview/Gregg, Upshur, Ruck Counties MSA, as this is where the majority of population for northeast Texas is located.
61	75605	Longview	As a resident of Segment 1, I am against this idea statewide. Also, it has been discussed turning these highways into toll roads. Again, I am against this whole idea, for the roads have previously been paid for and it would be grossly unfair to expect the citizens of this state to pay for them again using tolls. I am not excited about the idea of building a road to Mexico anyway!!
62	75608	Longview	I love the idea of it going as close to Longview as possible. Great Idea but beginning to wonder if it will happen.
63	75631	Gary	I would like to see I69 follow 59. This would greatly increase the flow of traffic.
64	75631	Not Provided	Route of I-69 should follow current route of U.S. 59.
65	75633	Carthage	I would LOVE to see I69 come thru Carthage. Our small town is growing and this would be a great way to continue this growth. One of the I69 committee members, Charles Thomas, has done a good job keeping our community informed on the progress.
66	75633	Carthage	We would like for I-69 to come through Panola County, Texas, but we would appreciate use of as much existing Right-of-way as possible.
67	75633	Carthage	I would personally, like for I-69 to come through Panola County. However, the existing Rights-of-Way should be utilized as much as possible.
68	75633	Carthage	I hope that I 69 will continue plans to come up Hwy 59 thru Carthage. I think it would be a tremendous asset to our growing community.
69	75633	Carthage	I would love to see I-69 follow the footprint of 59 as close to Carthage as possible. Our community is growing and this would only add to the success of Carthage.
70	75633	Carthage	Need to follow existing routes & not relocate Pipelines, etc.
71	75633	Carthage	We definitely need I-69 through Carthage & Panola County using as much of the existing right of way as possible.
72	75633	Carthage	It is our opinion I-69 should follow existing hwy 59.
73	75633	Carthage	For I-69, but should follow existing Highway 59
74	75633	Carthage	I-69 would be very beneficial for Carthage, Texas. We certainly need all the help we can get for new businesses.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
75	75633	Carthage	I69 is very important for our town, Carthage, Texas. Our economy in this stressed time can surely be enhanced with any assets to the economy.
76	75633	Carthage	I69 is a must for our town. In today's economy we need all the help we can get.
77	75633	Not Provided	Please follow original 59
78	75633	Not Provided	I am in favor if the route stays the same. This will benefit the entire State of Texas.
79	75633	Not Provided	Using existing highways where we can is an excellent idea, the least amount of money we have to spend the better. However, we do need a relief route around Carthage.
80	75633	Not Provided	Follow Existing Hwy 59 Route.
81	75633	Not Provided	I support I-69, especially if it follows existing roads such as Hwy. 59.
82	75657	Jefferson	On the map provided, when enlarged, shows I69 going to Texarkana. Why on the smaller version on the map does it show the projected track diverting north of Nacogdoches and traveling upwards in a NE direction across NW La, N Mississippi, etc. I thought this was a N-S project which would carry further north than Texarkana. I was under the impression it would travel more in a direction toward Kansas City, at least connecting to another Interstate Hwy., maybe at Joplin to I believe I44 or I45 before diverting northeasterly direction.
83	75760	Nacogdoches	Nacogdoches!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
84	75901	Lufkin	This project has been studied to death. It is time to build the highway or shut up about it. You just anger people continually asking for input. You cannot please everyone so tell the tree hugging minority to shut up. We, the majority, want and need the highway and the jobs it will provide. Pick a route and get to work. Texans need the jobs NOW.
85	75901	Lufkin	Forgive me if this has already been answered somewhere: Given the existing numbering scheme, why would it not be called Interstate 47? It seems that would best reflect its location between north-south Interstates 45 and 49.
86	75901	Lufkin	I would like to know why so much money was spent on the overpass for traffic going from Diboll 59 onto the loop to the east when I 69 takes a different route at that point.
87	75901	Lufkin	Cannot see detail on map of alternate route around Lufkin. Where can that info be viewed?
88	75901	Lufkin	Just bring it on. East Texas is ready for it. All Texas is ready for it.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
89	75901	Lufkin	I live at the Angelina River in Angelina County; I would like to see a detailed map of your plans at my location.
90	75901	Lufkin	Looks like a good plan to me. I especially like going around Lufkin instead of through it on a freeway. (It appears 59 will be a loop around Lufkin as an option, and the current 59 through Lufkin will become 69.) I also am strongly supportive of having a real freeway from Texarkana to South Texas avoiding stop lights and cross streets that now slow down traffic and create potential accidents. With this plan, we will have 3 great freeways going North and South through Texas, 35, 45, and 69. This will complement our great East/West freeways of 10, 20, and 30. Texas, and Texas drivers, deserve this next step in our freeway system. By the way, I currently live less than a mile from 59, a very convenient location.
91	75901	Lufkin	Please include plans for wildlife passage in your route through the forests of East Texas. Please landscape the right away with native East Texas trees, shrubs, grasses and forbs. Avoid invasive plants. Please find a substitute ground cover, such as native East Texas Needle Grass, instead of seeding with Bermuda which is invasive. Wildlife and Birds and the water supply depend on a healthy East Texas land. Please help us keep it healthy.
92	75901	Lufkin	It would do for Lufkin what the ship channel did for Houston.
93	75901	Lufkin	As I have said before, so say I now again, shut up and build it. You cannot please everyone so quit trying. It coming through my town and near my house. I don't care. Just get it done.
94	75901	Lufkin	Good deal. Let's get started. It is costing the area economy money by not being in place. Good work
95	75901	Lufkin	Please move away from this subdivision. 32 (approx) houses here, & if Interstate were shifted further East, should be many fewer people affected.
96	75901	Lufkin	This is coming right thru middle of my property. Worried cause I haven't paid enough to bank to sell & be able to start over somewhere else. How much warning will I get if this goes thru? Think it needs to be closer to town or stay on Loop already developed.
97	75904	Lufkin-Diboll	Thanks for Asking! Citizen group will do a great job!
98	75904	Lufkin	I think the proposed pipeline is needed and will be a benefit to everyone – especially Texas. Hurry! Would eliminate the truck traffic in the main part of our town.
99	Not Provided	Lufkin	New addition to subdivision is not shown on map and will need a bridge to access.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
100	75939	Moscow	As a concerned citizen of Corrigan, TX I am also requesting that, your highway project of I-69 be to the west and not north. Thank you for considering this comment.
101	75939	Corrigan	Same as #11 - My concern is the direction which is planned would affect the bus route and the safety of the children getting on and off the bus.
102	75939	Corrigan	I prefer I-69 Hwy to go West Not through Corrigan. Moscow and Corrigan is a Historic landmark.
103	75939	Corrigan	Please stay West of Moscow & Corrigan.
104	75939	Not Provided	My concern is the direction which is planned would affect the bus route and the safety of the children getting on and off the bus.
105	75941	Diboll	Are there any, more detailed maps of where I-69 will come through the Diboll area?
106	75946	Garrison	Exactly what part of highway 59 between Nacogdoches and Garrison are going to be affected? Is there an exact map I can look at?
107	75949	Huntington	Seems that I-69 is just going to be another term to be used for Rick Perry's super highway that Texas doesn't need. Leave Texans' land alone - we've made it pretty clear we don't want this! I drive Highway 59 a lot - I think it's just fine like it is and any efforts to change it - a waste of money which nobody has right now! Get a grip - put this on the back burner for 10-15 years.
108	75960	Moscow	I would like to express my comments of asking that I-69 be routed to the West of Moscow, Texas 75960 and bypass Moscow, Texas along Hwy 59.
109	75960	Moscow	This note prays the committee will vote for I-69 to bypass Moscow, Texas or reroute to the West of Moscow Texas, i.e. more than 5 miles west of US-59. I am a legitimate/valid heir to land owned by my family that is immediately off US-59 in Moscow, Texas.
110	75960	Moscow	I own property in this area. I'm requesting that I69 be rerouted to the west of Moscow and that Jackstation area not to be effected by the expansion project.
111	75960	Moscow	I would like for the relief route for Moscow, Texas to be to the West side.
112	75960	Moscow	I believe the best way to go is to follow the highway as it is now.
113	75960	Moscow	I 69 going thru Moscow, TX. I feel it should go straight thru the city of Moscow. There is not a lot there.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
114	75960	Moscow	i vote against it. People have resided here all their lives and this is home to many. If this interstate comes through here, people will be forced to move and where will they go? They are not going to give the people what the land is worth. This land has been in our generation for years and it's not fair to us. It reminds me of the days when whites "took" land from blacks and they had no say so. I vote no, a thousand times no.
115	75960	Moscow	Let it come on. I'll sell can't hold progress back Moscow is not growing
116	75960	Moscow	We meet last night on the I-69 Route. I would like to see it go west of Moscow and tie into the Relief Route around Corrigan. Moscow is an old town and going around us to the west would save our down town area, and historic site.
117	75960	Moscow/ Jackstation	I know there has to be changes made. But why disrupt families, homes and business? There is plenty of land west of the railroad 1 mile for expansion. There is no need to take from us the people who have worked hard all of their lives to provide a home for their family. Now the cost of relocating people who don't have the money some are old and disable. We have the right to chose where we live without someone coming along and taking what is ours.
118	75960	Moscow/ Jackstation	I am disable and old. I can't afford to move. My husband built my home for me and my children and now someone wants to come along and take it. Tell me this is not the 1800 where the state or government can just come and take what they want, without people concerns or their rights.
119	75960	Moscow	As residents of Moscow, TX we believe the relief route through Moscow would not be beneficial to our community. We believe expanding the original Hwy 59 on each side would be the best option for this area. We appreciate you taking our opinion into consideration.
120	75960	Moscow	I do not want I-69 coming through downtown Moscow. It would be better to come around on the west side of town. All the fiber optic cables run along 59 at this time & it would be a huge expense to move them.
121	75960	Moscow	I am a landowner on the west side of Hwy 59 & am in close proximity to 59. I have live here all my life (60 yrs.) and don't want to see the town of Moscow lost when an alternate route is available. A route to the west of Moscow is available and would better serve our community & would tie into the route west of Corrigan.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
122	75960	Moscow	My family has property on both sides of US Hwy 59N. I do not want the I-69 project to follow the 59 route! It will also kill the small town of Moscow and I think enough land has been given by owners on 59!! Go west of Moscow, please!
123	75960	Moscow	Why not make the road go over Moscow instead of through Moscow?? It is feasible since there is already an overpass for the MC & SA Railroad. Why you consider all costs, it might be cost-effective.
124	75960	Moscow	Please have the road follow the old 59 Route
125	75960	Moscow	I own approx. 200 acres in the Moscow area affected by this highway. I believe the community would be better served for the I-69 route to go west of Moscow instead of down 59N which would effectively wipe out the community. I do not believe that I-69 is worth wiping so many small towns off the map.
126	75960	Moscow	Road should go west of Moscow, not down Hwy 59 N!! West would be on primarily pasture land, no so many homes!!
127	75960	Moscow	Stay with same footprint of US 59 at Moscow.
128	75960	Moscow	Keep the same footprint on U.S. 59 when you get to Moscow.
129	75960	Moscow	I-69 Segment two – I'm asking TxDOT to reroute I-69 to west of railroad tracks of 350 because school buses & elderly in community
130	75960	Moscow	Why are we filling these cards out? The Dept of Transportation will do whatever they want to do. These cards are a joke!!
131	75960	Moscow	Go west of Moscow - not down 59!
132	75960	Moscow	I would like to see the I-69 project routed to the west side of Moscow.
133	75960	Moscow	I am a Homeowner out on FM 350N And think it makes no sense at all to build a whole new Interstate to go around Moscow when there is already Hwy 59 and right always on both sides you can add to. If Seven Oaks and Leggett will no longer be little towns then what reason would be to leave Moscow as is. I think it should go right up Hwy. 59. When will Residents in our area know what decision has been made?
134	75960	Moscow	Go west!
135	75960	Moscow	Moscow is a lovely town of people taking care of the aging and children. Please do not put I-69 through this town. Please go West with I-69. We all have worked hard to manage our families together.
136	75960	Moscow	Please go West with I-69. We have worked too hard to get where we are with family & friends go west please



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
137	75960	Moscow	It is hard for me to get around with my disabilities so please go West with I-69. We have located here and met good people - consider going west for the sake of our families!
138	75960	Moscow	Please consider going West to build I-69, it is not fair to the people to lose a life time of hard work & sweat to homestead and have taken from us. Please go West.
139	75960	Moscow	Please consider going West with I-69. It will place a hardship on our elderly who depend on the youth at heart to care for them completely. They worked hard and suffered hardship to own their property and our children will be scattered everywhere trying to accomplish an education. So please take our plea under consideration.
140	75960	Moscow	Please do not relocate Moscow residents. We have worked too hard to get where we are with our family and made friends. It will be too hard to relocate with all of our children. Please let us stay at our homestead in Moscow, please. Please go West with I-69.
141	75960	Moscow	It is unfair to place I-69 through Moscow. Our generational of ethics will be corrupted and die. We suggest save our town and the people here of four generations or more. Please go West.
142	75960	Moscow	I-69 is a better routed for the West ride than through Moscow - Moscow is small but the people depend on each other to make one day at a time. So please do not tear down our lovely town.
143	75960	Moscow	There has to be a better route to take than thru Moscow, TX. This community is friendly, loving and safe for family to visit relatives and attend school and make friends. Please route I-69 West.
144	75960	Moscow	Please go to the west with I-69 not through our town we worked too hard for what we have friends & family
145	75960	Moscow	Do not take our houses & land! Go somewhere else I-69 this is where we have been here for too many years we own this property & houses please find another route to not go through our neighborhood.
146	75960	Moscow	Please do not take out Pinehollow or anywhere around Moscow there are people (families) that have lived here for yrs & yrs; businesses, please find another route.
147	75960	Moscow	Go West



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
148	75960	Moscow	I am disabled I no longer work due to health reasons. Can't start over and expect to survive off of my monthly income. I have put all my work on my house to relocate. Can't just get up and go. I am on a set income my husband and I are both disabled we can't relocate and start over and expect to survive on our monthly income. Would appreciate it if you would consider elsewhere not here.
149	75960	Moscow	We need another route for I69 This is wrong to take our houses & land that we have owned for 30+ years.
150	75960	Moscow	Please find a different route do not take our land, homes, businesses away
151	75960	Moscow	I left my family to relocate here to give my children a safe place to live. This is the only place my children been have a small child and one in school my job is at a short distance this town is small it would be very difficult to relocate. Around here. I would like for ya'll to take all of us in consideration I am against the new project.
152	75960	Moscow	I am a resident in this area I've been living here all of my life. I'm disabled and can't work. I'm handicap I have therapy done at my home it would be very hard for me to relocate and start over. So there for I am against this I-69. I am an older guy that needs special help for my needs can't just get up and go.
153	75960	Moscow	I have worked to give my children a safe environment. I'm also close to my parents which they are both ill. Neither of them work due to health problems. I am at walking distance from their home in case of emergency. And for us to relocate would be very difficult to find something close by. This town is small and not much opportunity to relocate around here. This neighborhood is safe we've known our neighbors for years. Please take our reasons in consideration I am against I-69.
154	75960	Moscow	Go WEST NOT 59
155	75960	Moscow	The best route seems to be west of Moscow due to the historic importance of Moscow as the oldest town in Polk County. It makes more sense to go west & tie into the Corrigan loop to the west.
156	75960	Moscow	I think I-69 should bypass Moscow due to historic significance of the town. I own property on 59 & west of Moscow but think going west would be best.
157	75960	Moscow/ Jackstation	I would like to know why the people in Laurita estate can keep their homes? There is no need to take from people who have worked hard and trying show what they have accomplished. 1 mile west of the railroad there is plenty of land.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
158	75960	Moscow/ Jackstation	Land is available west of the railroad so why bother what I have worked hard for
159	75960	Moscow	If possible, I prefer 69 to go west around Moscow or Jackstation Rd. It is going to effect the cemetery, school bus route, the nursing home and the doctor's office
160	75960	Moscow	When going through Moscow make sure you stay in the box on your map!
161	75960	Moscow	Now my property is okay, please keep it that way.
162	75960	Moscow	Please keep it in the box as you now have it. My place will be safe.
163	75960	Moscow	I would like for I 69 to go west of Moscow because of the School Bus Route with kids and our church and in Moscow and cemetery in Moscow
164	75960	Moscow	I would like for I 69 to bypass Moscow to the west. Moscow is a historical town. Many would have to locate probably affecting the school district. Complicating bus routes and making it unsafe for children to load and unload the bus.
165	75960	Moscow	Please stay in the West. Save Moscow Baptist Church, Historical Place. If you stay in Block w/ save my home. Save Historical markers and cemetery.
166	75960	Moscow	I would like for I-69 to go west of Moscow. The route through the middle of Moscow would destroy the historical value as well as the great memories we have. Many lives would be destroyed if I-69 comes through the middle of Moscow. I-69 would also present a danger to our elderly and school buses as they try to enter the highway
167	75960	Moscow	Would like for the Relief Route to go west around Moscow because of the children standing waiting for the Bus Getting to and from Church, the Cemetery and possible have to move from my House.
168	75960	Moscow	Please reroute to the west. We need to reserve history and keep community same for children & senior citizens.
169	75960	Moscow	I would like for it to go west. I have lived there on my land all my life. I would like to continue to live there. I would like for my children to raise their children their and so on.
170	75960	Moscow	I am concern about the churches and the cemeteries being destroyed on fm 62. Another one of my concerns is our home left to us by our ancestors being destroyed and or taken away from us, which is now our place of living. I am asking that it be taken in consideration for I-69 to go west, because our home is all we have an our kids safety is important to us especially when it comes to high traffic and safe bus routes.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
171	75960	Moscow	I'm concern about the churches and cemeteries being destroyed on fm 62. Also a lot of property has being left by our ancestors, who worked hard so that their families would have somewhere to call home. I ask that 69 corridor goes any other way but straight up 59. A lot of us don't have anything else but that land to remember our ancestors. We are also concerned about the safety of our kids getting off and on the school bus.
172	75960	Moscow	I-69 through Leggett, Moscow and Corrigan
173	75960	Moscow	I drive a School Bus. I fear the students I pick up with them standing on the Hwy. Need the relief route to go West.
174	75960	Moscow	I would like to see I-69 go West to save Moscow.
175	75960	Moscow	Why I want it to go west because I will lose my home and with the school. West loop around Corrigan and Moscow.
176	75960	Not Provided	I-69 should come right down the middle of Moscow, TX.
177	75960	Not Provided	Stay with Hwy. 59 as much as Possible.
178	75960	Not Provided	Stay with Hwy. 59 as much as possible.
179	75960	Not Provided	Stay with Hwy. 59 as much as possible.
180	75960	Not Provided	I believe it would be a better option of having I-69 right down the middle of Moscow, my town.
181	75960	Not Provided	Go west of Moscow! Not down 59!
182	75960	Not Provided	Why can't I-69 just go over Moscow since there is an overpass at the railroad already on 59??
183	75960	Not Provided	It would be great if the I-69 project could go over Moscow instead of through it. Seems like the overpass over the railroad tracks would make it feasible.
184	75960	Not Provided	I recently attended a meeting regarding I-69 through Moscow, and have had time to consider the routing. In my opinion, it would not be acceptable to follow the U.S. 59 route through our community. This would destroy our community which has numerous older and low income residents, churches, a State park, businesses, and a rich historical heritage. The two sawmills to the north would be negatively impacted. Also, the access to the Camden mill via FM 62 needs to remain unchanged. It is my opinion the I-69 should veer to the west off U.S. 59 approximately one mile south of Moscow Post Office. The route should be such that an overpass would cross over both the railroad and FM 350 at their present juncture. Such a route would get I-69 on the west side of the railroad and well-positioned to be routed on the west side of Corrigan.
185	75960	Not Provided	I-69 needs to be right down the middle of Moscow, TX



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
186	75960	Not Provided	It would serve Moscow & Corrigan best for I-69 to go west of Moscow and tie into Corrigan on the west.
187	75960	Not Provided	The proposed I-69 must run West of Moscow. This route would displace very few people as opposed to using the existing U.S. 59 route through Moscow which would displace a large number of mostly low income folks. Also, following the U.S. 59 route through Moscow would "take out" Lincoln Lumber Company and/or Premier Timber Products, Inc. -- the only two industries / employers in Moscow. Since the proposed loop around Corrigan involves two railroad crossings, it is more logical to cross the railroad a little south of Moscow, then continue north on the west side of the railroad as close as possible to the railroad, and connect with the Corrigan loop. Additionally, there MUST be an overpass over the heavily traveled FM 350. It would be very disruptive to require the folks who live out west on FM 350 to travel to an underpass to proceed on to Moscow to attend church, pick up mail, etc.
188	77351	Moscow	From my understanding my family is going to lose but I would rather them to go west in Moscow.
189	Not Provided	Moscow	I would like to see the I-69 project routed to the west side of Moscow, if it comes through Moscow a lot of homeowners who live on Hwy. 59 would lose homes and property that it took them years to pay for. Many of us would have no place to go, because the money the Hwy. Dept. would pay us for our property & homes wouldn't be enough to rebuild any place else because land and property is expensive everywhere. If the I-69 project is routed to the west side of Moscow this would save our homes. You are not destroying Corrigan & Diboll. I ask that you not destroy Moscow.
190	Not Provided	Moscow	Please stay away from Area 142, Family property. I think a good path through Moscow would be to say on the path of Hwy 59 through town. Taking the room you need for the Hwy from the east side of the road.
191	Not Provided	Moscow	I have 2 acres on 59 and I don't know what I want to do I am not using it.
192	75961	Nacogdoches	I-69 is needed for further economic growth. It is a responsible use of resources. It is wise to use existing highway right-of-way whenever possible, but acquire new right-of-way when the existing highway will not practically accommodate I-69.
193	75961	Nacogdoches	I would like to see the I-69 Project kicked off as soon as possible. I believe it will have an enormous economic impact on our area of East Texas.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
194	75961	Nacogdoches	I-69 is an important project for Texas that will allow for better transportation across our state. I am a Nacogdoches resident who fully supports the building of 1-69 in our county
195	75961	Nacogdoches	I-69 is an absolute must, not only for economic development, but to effectively handle the steadily increasing flow of traffic in East Texas. This is especially true with the population growth projections for the eastern one-half of the State of Texas over the next 25 years.
196	75961	Nacogdoches	I think this highway will be a boon for east Texas as well as the rest of the country. Upgrading existing highways is a sensible way to do it as well.
197	75961	Nacogdoches	Go for it!
198	75961	Nacogdoches	Let's get this project rolling, the whole thing, enough time wasted!!
199	75961	Nacogdoches	just going to make it easier for drug-trafficking and illegals to get from one point to another -
200	75961	Nacogdoches	I serve as superintendent of Nacogdoches ISD and the district is in support of the I-69 project. We are prepared for the impact that it will have on our school district.
201	75961	Not Provided	As a landowner in Nacogdoches County, it has come to my attention that segment committee 1 has recommended a relief route around Nacogdoches, instead of staying on the 59 footprint. This surprised me because I truly thought TxDOT heard the will of the people to do just that-stay on the 59 foot-print!!! I am opposed to the relief route around Nacogdoches as I am sure are the countless landowners from just North of the river bridge all the way to Appleby!
202	75962	Nacogdoches	I think that the I-69 route through Nacogdoches and Lufkin is the most practical--and most obvious--route through East Texas to the Mexico border. We already have highways with right of ways along the planned route. This particular 1-69 should be followed because it will cause less change and disorder during construction than any other route.
203	75964	Nacogdoches	I-69 could utilize as much of existing US-59 and other existing highways as possible to move rapidly ahead of schedule. In addition, you would not be taking more acreage for new right-of-way. The city of Nacogdoches desperately needs something done at the south loop and US-59 intersection. That intersection is a dangerous design and is overwhelmed by routine traffic. Trips to Houston are delayed by Diboll and Corrigan traffic routes.
204	75964	Nacogdoches	Would like this to go through in order to boost local economy.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
205	75964	Nacogdoches	I support the propositions that have been put forward in Segment One. As past chair of the local chamber I realize that transportation for the whole state as well as our area is very important. The growth in the state of Texas makes this interstate a necessity. Please move this project along as quickly as possible.
206	75964	Nacogdoches	Support
207	75964	Nacogdoches	Segment 1, East Texas. The common sense route is to follow TX State Highway 79 from Marshall to where it ties into I 35 at Round Rock, just above Austin. Hwy 59 is already overrun with traffic coming north out of Houston. It would be stupid to add any more traffic to this hwy. Also, hwy 59 is used as an evacuation route from the coast during hurricanes. This in addition to Deep East TX having the highest rates on everything from fuel to motels makes Hwy 59 a poor choice. Also as far as construction costs are involved, Ready-mix concrete in Nacogdoches is \$120.00 per Sq. yard. It is \$58.00-\$60.00 in Bryan which is near Hwy 79.
208	75964	Nacogdoches	I need more detail around the area of Hwy 59 & FM 2782.
209	75964	Nacogdoches	Comment-I strongly oppose the "relief route/route upgrade" around the west side of Nacogdoches. I am for staying on the 59 footprint. The "relief route" would involve taking land from farmers and ranchers and in some instances people's homes and livelihoods. Question-Why has the "relief route" around Nacogdoches not been made public. To remain "transparent" I feel the segment committee and TxDOT should plainly explain to the public that I-69 is not following the 59 footprint in Nacogdoches - A new road is planned-
210	75964	Nacogdoches	As a cattle rancher in Nacogdoches County I oppose the Relief Route around the west side of Nacogdoches. As a tax payer and business person, I believe that staying on the 59 footprint would be best for Nacogdoches, NOT by building a new road through farms and ranches of people like myself. I encourage your committee to speak out either in newspaper or Public Hearing informing the public that I 69 is not following the 59 foot print through Nacogdoches. I have spoken to many landowners on the west side of town that are unaware that I 69 could be coming through their property. You must get the word out!



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
211	75964	Nacogdoches	We are beyond understanding the real reason for circumventing Existing Businesses here in our City. It makes very little sense to people in our county that homes, crops, cattle, timber, schools, and/or history of our state is being overlaid by concrete! Especially confounding is the fact that no business or economic interests lay in the fertile and timber rich western parts of Nacogdoches County! Why not add concrete barriers to the existing Hwy 59 as did Lufkin, and add one N bound lane and one S Bound lane? The cost and disrepair would be negligible to our Tax Payers and would still enable traffic, vacationers, and businesses to have access! Also, consider taxes to residents for schools - no land, no money!
212	75965	Nacogdoches	The economy of Nacogdoches, Texas is very dependent upon the traffic of US 59. Transportation is such an important issue in Texas, especially this corridor. It is imperative to our community that the plans for I-69 include Nacogdoches. Our community will be happy to provide the businesses required for interstate travel. The ability of our community to partner with the Chamber, NEDCO, SFA, the city and county are like none other. We will all work together as processes are required to complete this task.
213	75965	Nacogdoches	Just make sure it's a cement highway rather than tar and gravel. Tired of driving on tar and gravel here in piney woods/east Texas.
214	75965	Nacogdoches	A sign was erected near my home today designating Hwy 59 as the future I-69 corridor. This project, I am told, has no funding and could be many years from completion. I am very upset that this signage could negatively impact the sales potential and property values of this neighborhood. I would appreciate the signs being removed!
215	75965	Nacogdoches	I support I-69, Driven by Texas
216	75965	Nacogdoches	I wanted to voice my support for I-69, Segment one. I-69 will help make our segment safer and more economically competitive.
217	75965	Nacogdoches	This will be a great boon for the Nacogdoches area and should also help relieve the congestion on US 59. I-69 can't come too soon for this area.
218	75965	Nacogdoches	I am excited about the prospect of I69 going through Nacogdoches County. I believe the economic impact will be huge and will help in developing our county. Thank you for doing this.
219	75965	Nacogdoches	I do not know enough about the plan, but I am from Michigan and anything that is safer to get me home is better.
220	75965	Nacogdoches	I 69 through Nacogdoches is vital to our community!



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
221	75965	Nacogdoches	I am in support of the I-69 project.
222	75965	Nacogdoches	I firmly believe this is the most important TXDOT project since the last interstate highway was built in Texas. The system was/is the greatest asset to US safety, security, and mobility excellence ever and I-69 is the most needed highway improvement in the state. With the addition of truck traffic from the Panama Canal induced port unloading we have to have a better artery to move goods. Please expedite this project.
223	75965	Nacogdoches	Very much in support of the I-69 project.
224	75965	Nacogdoches	I fully support I-69 coming through Nacogdoches. I see nothing but good things and positive changes. I once lived in a city that had an interstate built through (around) it and it was a very positive experience.
225	75965	Nacogdoches	I am a business owner in Nacogdoches and this would be a great thing for the economic development in Nacogdoches. I approve!!
226	75965	Nacogdoches	I support the creation of I69 using the existing US Hwy 59 through Nacogdoches County. It will need to follow the Hwy 59 route from Houston and when it gets just south of the Nacogdoches Loop, it will need to go to the East of the large line of motels (just south of the loop) and then intersect with the loop so that the traffic can travel on the western side of the loop which is already set up just like an interstate hwy.
227	75965	Nacogdoches	Fully support the I69 project!
228	75965	Nacogdoches	I support the I69 project as it is currently designed. This is the most important economic development project for Texas in many years and will be an engine to drive Texas' growth into the future!
229	75965	Nacogdoches	I am in favor of the rapid development of I-69. Living in other parts of the country, I saw that economic growth followed the interstate development. Speed of travel is a major factor. The current Hwy. 59 can be dangerous because so much local traffic uses the highway that slow vehicles, including farm vehicles turn on to the road ahead of semi trailers. An interstate system would be safer for long distance vehicles as well as being faster. I would also like to see a four-lane highway between East Texas and the state capital, Austin. I also suggest that TXDOT send copies of the proposed highway sections to the public libraries along the way. People can go to their library and study specifically where the roadway will go through whose property. This way they don't have to access Internet to comprehend the plan.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
230	75965	Nacogdoches	This will be a great opportunity for businesses, communities, colleges & universities along East Texas to grow. Since I am a Stephen F. Austin State University student, this route can link me all the way to the north. Also SFASU can have more students coming from out of state since we have one of the best teaching colleges in the country. Nacogdoches, TX has a great potential to grow & US-59 has helped that a lot already. So I-69 is one great project I want to see in the works on a faster pace. This will also improve our community and provide more jobs to our people. So Go I-69!
231	75965	Nacogdoches	Where can I get a detailed map of the map showing the "current proposed "maybe" route around Nacogdoches? The information in the paper leads me to think the route will "take out" property that I own west of Nacogdoches, Texas. T D T has already ruined my farm on CR 2345 when it split my land crossing thru to Highway 59 Northeast of Nacogdoches. Now it looks/sounds like you want to cross my other property west of Nacogdoches to "miss the Nacogdoches W Loop!
232	75972	San Augustine	Why did "I-69" get so numbered? It should have been designated "I-47" at least here in Texas. It starts in far south Texas and goes through most of east and northeast Texas, between I-45 and I-49, and thus it should follow the normal interstate numbering convention. Just because it eventually will connect to I-69 in Michigan is not a good reason to violate the standard numbering system. Many interstates connect to other interstate highways where they change numbers.
233	75972	San Augustine	Transportation is key to economic growth and Interstate Systems are the largest driver. Even a four lane highway or proximity to an Interstate can make a positive contribution.
234	75974	Not Provided	Need to declare route as soon as possible - Several possible business waiting to build - I think it should go around Tenaha and connect to 84 to Joaquin and Logansport thus connecting with the other highways
235	75976	Wells (Lufkin)	If I-69 will use US 59 through Lufkin and Nacogdoches please respect the vibrant trade areas of these two cities. This is a trade area which serves many thousand rural residents. Hopefully the access to transportation improvements will foster industrial development and provide jobs to many.
236	77024	Houston	Hell no!! You have time & money to bring this back and no time to secure our borders?? We realize your priorities, so if you cannot understand our wishes then you need to be replaced by people that listen to Texas citizens.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
237	77027	Not Provided	<p>We, a portion of concerned residents and property owners in Moscow, Texas, appreciate that we're being given an opportunity to provide comments and ask questions concerning the proposed route of I 69. The proposed pathway of I 69 will deeply affect the residents and property owners along Highway 59 South of Corrigan. First, along the south of Corrigan will be the elimination of a well known landmark, Laruelia Hill, which at one time, according to our elders, was a part of the community of Jack Station that was previously known as Laurelia. There are businesses, homes, churches and cemeteries located along and immediately off of Highway 59 in Moscow. The students in Moscow are transferred to the Corrigan-Camden ISD. We feel I 69, as proposed, will interfere with the safety of accessing, loading and unloading of our children. It may also cause relocation of some families to a different school district causing a decrease in the local school district.</p> <p>As many as four generations live in the combined communities on Highway 59, Jack Station Road and Pine Hollow Sub Division. Ages span from early years to late eighties. Some homes are new, but other properties and homes have been in families for 70 years or longer passed down through generations. Young families own property, homes and some plan to move back upon retirement. To relocate will leave some families without a home and a heritage. It will separate families, friends and neighbors as well as severing support groups of children, grandparents, church families, and extended families. We are sincerely hoping that our concerns will be taken into consideration and re-route I 69 to the west of Moscow and in the process preserve this historical town as well as the multicultural villages within.</p>



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
238	77027	Not Provided	<p>Mr. Ashby Johnson, Deputy Director of Transportation for the Houston-Galveston Area Council shared the IH 69 corridor program presentation with the Transportation Policy Council on July 22, 2011. Below is the excerpt from the approved, recorded minutes on what was discussed. Mr. Johnson provided a status update of the TxDOT I-69 public outreach effort. His presentation included potential improvement options and benefits of the I-69 route to our region, including improvements to assist in evacuation events and enhancement of economic development. In addition, public outreach brochures for I-69 Segment Committee's 2 and 3 were distributed. Five (5) segment committees have been formed along the route. TxDOT will use the public feedback to develop recommendations along the route. Judge Emmett commented that Segment 3, as shown in the I-69 working draft of the brochure handout, needs to extend relief options to include most of Matagorda County. The relief option for Segment 3 is a major decision to be made because the counties southwest of Harris and Fort Bend are looking for relief routes that would serve the Ports of Freeport, Galveston, Texas City, and Houston on the east side instead of the west side. Commissioner Norman Brown commented that the Segment 2 relief would have to connect with Segment 3, possibly through Liberty County northeast of Cleveland.</p>



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
239	77027	Not Provided	<p>Mr. Ashby Johnson, Deputy Director of Transportation for the Houston-Galveston Area Council shared the IH 69 corridor program presentation with the Transportation Advisory Committee on July 13, 2011. Below is the excerpt from the approved, recorded minutes on what was discussed. Mr. Ashby Johnson provided a brief overview of the coordinated public outreach efforts and priorities of its Segments Two and Three Committees. Mr. Johnson informed the Committee that Texas is growing significantly according to most recent census data. Mr. Johnson added that the City of Houston is also growing. Mr. Johnson emphasized that all planning for the I-69 project is conceptual and is considered a way of accommodating some of Houston's growth in freight and vehicular traffic commuting.</p> <p>Mr. Johnson reported that citizen input in the beginning phase of the I-69 public outreach effort is heavily emphasized by TxDOT. Mr. Johnson identified existing highways and informed the Committee that the I-69 project will include a series of improvements using existing highways where possible instead of building new roads. The full I-69 project, as it is currently conceived, will be an interstate corridor that will run from Texas to Michigan if it comes to fruition. Mr. Johnson later informed the Committee that it is a very long-term project that could take at least 25 years.</p> <p>Mr. Johnson informed the Committee that there are five (5) segment committees for the I-69 project. Houston is represented by Segments Two and Three Committees with each having varying issues. Mr. Johnson identified himself as the H-GAC representative on the Segment Two Committee and Ms. June Farrell as the representative on the Segment Three Committee. Mr. Johnson also identified remaining representation, preliminary priorities, and corridor segment boundaries for each segment committee.</p> <p>Mr. Johnson briefly discussed the benefits of I-69 Texas and identified the public outreach initiatives for Segments Two and Three Committee campaign. Mr. Johnson concluded the presentation by introducing comment cards and brochures to solicit public input for the Segments Two and Three Committees. Question and answer with comments later followed.</p>
240	77036	Houston	<p>We are <u>for</u> I-69 in Fort Bend County with a connector road using Islieb Rd. in Beasley. I own highway 59 frontage in Ft. Bend County.</p>



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
241	77042	Houston	I would like information on the route numbering of the 3 segments of the IH-69 corridor south of Victoria, TX. I understand not each of the 3 spurs can all be numbered IH-69. What numbering system has TxDOT proposed to AASHTO on how the 3 spur segments are to be numbered? By default, I know one of them has to be numbered IH-69, but which spur will take the IH-69 number and what will the other 2 be numbered? IH-169, IH-369, etc?
242	77043	Not Provided	Get the road built! Release the funds in the Harbor Maintenance fund out and put it to use! Look at Toll Roads where funds are not available. Have restraints on how long it can remain a toll road. Use private funds with available along with public funds
243	77055	Houston	Sounds Great!
244	77063	Houston	Re: Highway Designation Since I-37 ends in Corpus Christi, why not extend the I-37 designation down US 77 to the Valley? This would save the I-69 designation for the Victoria-Laredo route. Victoria-Corpus should be a spur route of either I-69 or I-37. Existing I-37 from the US 77 junction to downtown Corpus should be a spur route of I-37, as should US 83 through the Valley.
245	77327	Cleveland	Does it really have to be "69"? Can't we get a different ID 'cause we've got enough PR problems in Cleveland, TX without adding all the jokes that go with that designation?!
246	77327	Cleveland	Without the bridge the traffic will have to continue North toward Cleveland to reach the u Turn. Then accelerate to 65 move over 2 lanes to Ranch A, decelerate to turn right on the Bypass. I fear you have created a situation that will be very dangerous. Heavy loaded trucks will be very difficult make this exchange.
247	77327	Plum Grove	The first time I came to Houston in 1957 from my home in Alabama I took U.S. 59 for the first time a little west of Shreveport but a lot has changed in the country since 54 years ago. Unfortunately U.S. 59 has remained pretty much the same even though it's now mostly "four lanes", you still creep through Corrigan and Diboll even Lufkin while Dallas, San Antonio and Austin have "I" connections with the commerce of the U.S.A. and Mexico. All I can say is keep it going and "get er done" asap. When we get this country moving again be ready to start put down concrete.
248	77327	Not Provided	Assume preservation of Riggs Cemetery and maintain access.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
249	77327	Not Provided	TxDOT for decades has moved all Fed Hwy funds to Hwy 90 and away from US 59. The San Jac River bridge will never be built & get re-routed to Trinity R. Bridge on US 90. Liberty county's section of I-69 will forever be the in completed section. Note: Liberty & Dayton never got over the jealousy of Interstate 10 communities - and have constantly built on US 90 - for 4 decades.
250	77328	Not Provided	I am concerned for two things in the I 69 construction between the 105 bypass and the San Jacinto River. The sight line for North bound traffic is impaired when cars enter the highway from the Riggs Cemetery crossover. Just weeks ago a serious accident occurred at that site when a vehicle pulled into the traffic lane of northbound U.S. 59. My second concern is for the protection of the Riggs Cemetery. Almost twenty graves are there including a confederate war veteran. My family would like for the cemetery not to be impaired by being bridged over or with access impaired. The cemetery is over 100 years old.
251	77331	Coldspring	Isn't this just an excuse to bring in more trucking from Mexico so illegals and Drugs can get deep into our country.
252	77339	Kingwood	Living in Kingwood, TX and traveling to Ohio frequently, I-69 would be a dream come true for me. Please, keep the heat on and let's get this done. We can use the added jobs.
253	77350	Seven Oaks	I would like for the I 69 to go west in Moscow
254	77350	Leggett	Please go east of US 59 for right of way.
255	77350	Leggett	Try to purchase land with right-a-way to the East.
256	77351	Livingston	We need to have a complete loop around Livingston. We recently had a wreck on the loop and ALL traffic was stopped and re-routed, from highway 59 and 190. It was a mess!! We had 18 wheelers all over the place. Those trucks could have been re-routed on the other side of town if we had a complete loop. The loop we have now is a mess anyway, at FM 1988.
257	77351	Livingston	As the Emergency Management Coordinator for Polk County I am adamant about the necessity of a loop around the City of Livingston. Recent Commodity Flow Studies of Hazmat Material demand the removal of unnecessary risk of loss of life and property by compelling hazardous materials be rerouted around the City of Livingston rather than through its most vulnerable population.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
258	77351	Livingston	What a huge mess we had in Livingston on the 59 Loop! We need another loop on the other side of town. The wreck on the overpass blocked traffic on 59 and everyone had to get on the feeder road - we had traffic merging from 59 and 190 - then school traffic was added - a total mess that could have been avoided by having a loop on the other side of town. Thank God it was not a tanker truck that turned over. The same thing happens in hurricane evacuation. We need a complete loop!!
259	77351	Livingston	Our community is growing very fast. We need a loop around Livingston that allows traffic to avoid local traffic by McDonald's and Wal-Mart. We do not need semis that are passing thru our area mixing with local drivers and school traffic. Put a loop around all of it!
260	77351	Livingston	We need a loop around everything that connects Hwy 190 and Hwy 59. You should look at those two projects together, not separately. A loop would keep big trucks separate from local traffic and tourist traffic. It would also help the Onalasca Area with boats and trailers separated from trailer trucks.
261	77351	Not Provided	If I-69 remains in Hwy 59 existing lanes through Livingston, TxDOT <u>please</u> plan and implement an <u>east</u> loop around the town. The Hwy 190 & 59 intersection is a nightmare.
262	77351	Not Provided	Bypass Livingston to the <u>east</u> Bypass Livingston/Hwy 190 intersection south of this curve where truck stops and cafe are located. This has always been a dangerous area. Concerned that there's insufficient right of way at US 59 and Hwy 190 intersection. Bring I-69 back in north of Corrigan to existing Hwy 59 easement.
263	77351	Not Provided	Bird Rookery, wetlands, Migratory birds
264	77351	Not Provided	Grand Parkway Segment H will connect at Community Drive and continue to the east Route is published in approved DEIS
265	77351	Not Provided	Keeping all those heavy trucks and semis on the same road as school buses and kids is just asking for trouble - the current loop is affected by 2 schools (and soon a college - we hope), a hospital, nursing homes & several businesses. Why don't you route the highway traffic around Livingston on the other side? (East of town). That would also tie into hwy 190 and keep that truck traffic out of the mix.
266	77351	Not Provided	We need a Loop on the east side of Livingston. I know they (politicians) want to stay where it is - but that is not safe for our school buses, teenage drivers, or elderly. Also, that would give the other side of town a chance to grow.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
267	77351	Not Provided	Please consider a loop around Livingston. The traffic on our current loop is already bad and is only going to get worse. I cannot imagine what it will look like in ten years! Getting onto the loop (west side of town) is already dangerous. Both north and south is bad. We have too many big trucks going too fast and to many elderly, teenagers, school buses, etc. getting on and off the highway.
268	77351	Not Provided	It would be safer for all of us if you put a loop around Livingston. Getting on and off the loop (now) is dangerous and the highway traffic to and from Houston is only going to get worse.
269	77351	Not Provided	We are the intersections for 59 and 190. We should have a loop that goes completely around Livingston and keeps all that major traffic away from town. We do not need all those big trucks coming through town or on the loop trying to get back and forth from highway 190 and 59 (plus hwy 146). They do not contribute to our economy at all. They just crowd up the loop. If we had a loop on the other side of town then someone could build a truck stop for them! Then they would contribute to our economy. Right now they just make our loop unsafe for everyone.
270	77351	Not Provided	I realize our City Council said they wanted to keep the hwy 69 plan where the 59 loop is now, but I think they are wrong and are being short sighted about our county and its future. We need a loop on the east side of town that would allow traffic to flow around Livingston instead of having to go through it. We already have a lot of traffic on our loop. We need to find a way to spread all of that out and keep it from mixing up with the local traffic. A loop would take care of that.
271	77351	Not Provided	I live on hwy 146. Why don't you put a loop completely around Livingston that will relieve traffic from 59, 146 and 190? That makes a lot more sense than keeping tanker trucks, semis, and local traffic all together. You could designate the other side for hazardous cargo + large trucks to keep them away from school traffic and local traffic.
272	77351	Not Provided	After the wreck on 59 Loop yesterday - I went straight home and found my card to complete. We need a complete loop around Livingston. It would be safer for all of us.
273	77351	Not Provided	We need a loop on the other side of town. We had a wreck this week that blocked everything! What if the intersection had been blocked? All those trailers could have fallen down onto 190 and then we really would have had a mess. The long range plans for Polk Co. should include a complete loop, not the semi-half loop that we have now.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
274	77351	Not Provided	Why don't you put a wide loop on the East side of town? You could start it in Goodrich (on 1988) and connect to 146 toward Livingston. Then veer off of 146 and take it up to Leggett. Make it a truck and hazardous materials route around Livingston. That would also help with all the traffic down 146.
275	77351	Not Provided	Please get us some traffic relief on the west side of town by building a loop on the east side. Traffic is a nightmare in the mornings and afternoon. Even during the day when school gets out and around lunch. We need a loop around Livingston. Traffic is just as bad down 146.
276	77351	Not Provided	Put a loop around Livingston that will take the traffic from hwy 146, 190 and 59 around town. Traffic that is not coming to Livingston needs to be able to go around and get out of the way quickly. At certain times we have too much traffic congestion with tanker trucks and 18 wheelers in the middle of all of it.
277	77351	Not Provided	We need a loop around Livingston. It needs to be a complete loop on the east side, not a partial loop like the one on the west side. The loop on the west side is a mess since you have to go back and forth around the Baskins area.
278	77351	Not Provided	I'm not sure why City Council wants to stay on the current loop only! (Have some ideas, but not voicing them). We need a loop on the EAST side of town. We got lucky with the wreck that happened recently. Hazmat had to be called out of Lufkin but it wasn't anything serious. We may not be so lucky next time. Also, since 59 is an evacuation route for Houston having a loop around Livingston would allow traffic to flow. What if we have an accident at that intersection during a hurricane or emergency? Everything will be shut down??
279	77351	Not Provided	Please put a loop completely around Livingston that connects 190E + 190W and 59N / 59S. It is not logical to have large trucks coming through town and through local traffic. I realize Livingston City Council is trying to save downtown & main St. but at what sacrifice to the rest of us?
280	77351	Not Provided	We need a loop around the City of Livingston. We are hindering economic development and endangering our citizens by not providing a way for semi's to go around the city - traffic always bogs them down, especially on 190W and the corners of 146 and 59 downtown. A loop would allow truckers to get thru town and the county quickly. Also, consider doing something w/146.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
281	77352	Livingston	Government to government consultations are anticipated for historic properties and traditional properties of cultural significance in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended between TXDOT and the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas within this Segment
282	Not Provided	Livingston	If you expand (widen) the loop where it is then all of those businesses that are currently there will be adversely affected (Catfish king, Eastex, Telephone, Pine Ridge, etc.) Someone is going to have to move or be eliminated. Have you considered a loop to the East Side of town? Lufkin has done very well w/a developed loop on the west side and a faster loop on the east.
283	Not Provided	Livingston area	We need a better way for people to get around and through Livingston. Right now, they have to go downtown or on the loop and sometimes downtown is faster! We need a loop on the other side of town so that traffic will be spread out more and the loop we have now will be safer. If all the tankers and semi's had to travel on the other side, then local people could stay on the loop we have now.
284	Not Provided	Livingston	We need a loop on the east side of town so that the businesses that are currently located on the loop are not affected. If you widen our current loop to meet interstate standards then Texas Pepper, Catfish King, Eastex Telephone & Pine Ridge will be affected. What about the hospital, Jo HS, Chambers and Pedigo Park. We need to leave the loop alone and build another one on the other side.
285	Not Provided	Livingston	Why are we limiting ourselves to one side of town? That makes no sense to me. We are going to force container traffic, tankers with hazardous materials, log trucks, everything to follow our current loop? Why? We should have another loop on the east side of town that would allow all those large trucks to get around Livingston without a problem. that would make our current loop a lot safer for all us and certainly for our children and grandchildren
286	Not Provided	Livingston	It is already difficult to drive on the west side of town which is the direction that we appear to be growing - most growth appears to be between Livingston and Onalaska. Why don't you fix it so that the semis and tractor trailers go round Livingston? We need a loop completely around the Livingston area. It needs to be far outside the City Limits of Livingston.
287	Not Provided	Livingston	Check the article in the newspaper about the wreck on hwy 59 by pass. They got it cleared quickly but it would have been a huge mess! Put a loop completely around Livingston.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
288	Not Provided	Livingston	Please consider a loop around Livingston. Traffic on the west side is already crazy and only going to get worse.
289	Not Provided	Livingston	Put a loop around the City of Livingston. We need to get traffic to go around us from 190, 59 and 146.
290	77406	Not Provided	Worried about how financing would occur with the current federal deficit. Also worried on how the border security would control even more access to drug/people trafficking plus illegal immigrants invading Texas even more.
291	77407	Fort Bend County	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Need to expedite implementation 5-10 yrs. (max.) 2) Need to allow P3's or CDA's to make Item #1 happen 3) Get Routes set, ROW acquired, & get Feds out of the way 4) Allow privatization (funding) 5) Look at combining U59, SH 99 (Grand Parkway), & I69 as much as feasible.
292	77417	Beasley	We are for I-69. All of our neighbors on Islieb Road in Beasley would love to see the Connector Loop come down Islieb Road. Go Segment 3!
293	77437	El Campo	Well I give you kudos for putting the call to Texans on the FRONT PAGE, instead of the back section as you did in the past... I attended a later Segment Meeting this 2011, and came away with the impression that some on the Committee members were only interested in figuring out how to pass off the Trans-Tex Corridor plan by changing the "wording to I-69" perhaps with more signage... That was a direct quote from the head of the committee. Only further proof that our local members had not heard a word we had said... Also all the public meetings were all scheduled for the same day and hour to prevent those of us who wanted to hear more explanations for choices planned by TXDOT officials. We were forced to regroup and split up into pairs, so as to get more information. I have searched the site for info I thought important to people living along Hwy 59 and cannot really find much to benefit us, but much to benefit to hauling merchandise, or people coming in from the south. And then there is always the question of Eminent Domain.
294	77437	El Campo	I live in Segment 3, El Campo, and am very much in favor of I-69. I was very much opposed to the Trans-Texas Corridor, and am pleased that the focus, I hope, has returned to upgrading U.S. 59 to I-69. The sooner the better.
295	77448	Hungerford	Why the city of Kendleton is not listed on the route upgrade map? It is located between Rosenberg and Wharton on the map.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
296	77451	Kendleton	Why do you skip the City of Kendleton on your Segment Three Recommendations map? Kendleton is between Rosenberg and Wharton, TX. It (Kendleton should be on any maps with Rosenberg and Wharton)
297	77451	Kendleton	I am concerned about the City of Kendleton not listed on the map. Kendleton is before you get to Wharton south of Rosenberg. Why isn't Kendleton listed on the map for I69?
298	77451	Kendleton	Where does Kendleton come on your map? It is the city between Wharton and Rosenberg, Texas.
299	77451	Kendleton	If I69 is coming as the map shows, Kendleton should be on there. You should show Kendleton between Rosenberg and Wharton. Really, you have Rosenberg, Beasley, Kendleton, Wharton, El Campo in that order when you are traveling in that direction shown on your map. Why do you omit those two cities?
300	77451	Kendleton	Why the city of Kendleton is not listed on the route upgrade map? It is located between Rosenberg and Wharton on the map.
301	77451	Kendleton	Why the city of Kendleton is not listed on the route upgrade map? It is located between Rosenberg and Wharton on the map.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
302	77455	El Campo	<p>I was certainly glad to read in the article "Public Input Sought on I-69 Plan" what Mayor Richard Young had to say about the recent meeting with TxDOT Officials. It encouraged me to think that TxDOT is finally wanting to do the right thing for the people rather than condemn the land and exercise their legislated right of eminent domain. That really means a lot to all of us. Back to the article, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe that the article inferred that again the I-69 Corridor is dead and that the I-69 Interstate Freeway is now forthcoming. If this is so, I ask why was the word "corridor" even mentioned once in the text and twice in the next to last paragraph of the article. If this is so, why do the road signs in the Kendleton area still read "Future I-69 Corridor" instead of "Future I-69 Interstate Highway"? For years, I have travelled this highway, and there were only 2 such signs, but now I am certain that I saw 2 more signs. It really does make me wonder again how truthful are the officials of TxDoT. How about you? Back to public input, I think that it is a great idea. I do find it strange that such a large organization and operation as TxDOT with its large road inspection and engineering departments would not have the most up-to-date information of every road in Texas. Plus, one would think that all the things that need be done to improve this highway and make it into a fine Interstate would be at their fingertips as they work with it daily. Nonetheless, I am appreciative of TxDOT's request for public input for the I-69 Interstate Freeway, and I will definitely respond to it with the hope that some one of authority will read it and place it in there. Things-To-Do-Folder rather than put it in File 13 for recycling. And I encourage everyone to send in your comments. I feel certain that most of you do not want the crossovers to be closed as then you have to go many miles to the next overpass to get back to your farm, favorite eating place, or store across the freeway. In addition, to improve the flow of traffic from Rosenberg-Richmond to Victoria, TxDOT needs to add that third lane going and coming, which will also help to eliminate any major unsafe bottleneck as there is on Hwy 59 at Greatwood-Parkway, just east of Richmond. And with study, they might make one lane a truck lane. Lastly, and as promised by TxDOT, increase the speed from Kendleton to and thru Houston and vice versa to 70 miles per hour.</p>



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
303	77455	El Campo	<p>If they really want to keep people happy and improve the traffic on this highway: 1. They should not close the crossovers and make people drive for miles to get to the other side. That alienates people, fields and towns. A perfect example is that stretch of road from Little Rock to Memphis. Total isolation towns dried up and you cannot get any service of fuel, food, lodging or maintenance between. And you hope that you have a car that will go the distance. 2. They should make the third lane going north and south or east and west. There are more lanes on Hwy 59 from Greatwood (Parkway) Hwy 99 to Houston, which is a high density area than they have going down to Rosenberg, yet when the traffic is coming southbound, it is bottle necked (merges 3 times) into two lanes. I am truly amazed that there has not been a major pile up there with so much merging traffic plus a feeder lane there too. (Just saw where there was a 7 car and tanker pile up there yesterday.) Three lanes would handle this traffic well all the way to Laredo. Likewise, you now have the option of designating a lane, either center or right lane for trucks only. This was done from Washington DC to New York City, plus they also limit truck speed to a slower speed. 3. As promised, the TX Hwy Dept has indicated that they plan to increase speed to 75 mph across TX and should do it. This has not occurred. One fact , there has not been any major construction on Hwy 59 from Kendleton to Houston for years, yet the speed is 60 from Kendleton to Rosenberg and 65 to and thru Houston. We recently travelled thru Idaho, Montana and part of Wyoming and Utah, where the speed is 75 and never saw an accident. The traffic moved smoothly and well. Everyone was watchful and respectful of the other. 4. They should not do stupid things like take one of the two major lanes and suddenly make it an exit to another road, (a perfect example is like at the intersection of Hwy 35 and FM 1468. Now you have high speed southbound traffic being crammed into one lane for 500 yards. And FM 1468 is not even a high density roadway. The key to good traffic flow is the third lane being added, a higher speed and better truck control. So much money is wasted on building a median cable fence where the third lane could go. It also saves on maintenance and unsightly medians. A good example is Hwy 99 to Houston via Hwy 59. I hope that a responsible person with authority reads this and puts at least if not all into effect.</p>



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
304	77461	Needville	Why bother to ask for comments? This is another way to encourage new illegals to come on in! Opening the door for more murders, more drugs and more Americans being put out of work. Will you stupid politicians wake up and get your head out of your butts long enough to see what's happening in the real world. Making access easier is not the way to keep America safe! Although all any politician is interested in is more votes whether legal or illegal. Plus giving our Country away.
305	77461	Needville	The local business owner is right. Who in their right mind would want to make illegal drug, illegal alien, unsafe truck, car and whatever so easy to bring into the United States? Claiming it's to give commerce a boost is a crock. It's just another avenue for Gov. Goodhair to get votes from Mexicans that should be deported! It's no more than another way to make the taxpayers pay for a politician's love child.
306	77469	Not Provided	We have major public safety issues on 59 from Hwy. 99 down south beyond FM 762. The Hwy. 59 lanes reduce from 4 southbound lanes to 2. Numerous accidents occur almost daily in this area. TxDOT needs to continue the expansion from Hwy. 99 southward to beyond Hwy. 36 to save lives, and improve public safety on our state funded highways.
307	77471	Rosenberg	Why are you trying to build I-69 when you can't even build a little local project like Spur 10 in fort bend county? Spur 10 has been a total disaster, no one group is working together. The U.S. government built an overpass over U.S 59, after that nothing has happened. We live on the south leg of this project, which is Hartledge Rd. We have 18 wheel rigs roaring down our little 2-lane road at 70 miles per hour, bridges are rated at 12 tons, there are no shoulders on this road, the trucks are destroying the road, there is no traffic control, It is unbelievable the total lack of concern that we living on this road are getting
308	77471	Rosenberg	I think this is not only of economic value to our community, but makes a LOT more sense than having to re-build roads and roadways where there is not secured land. It's important to know this IS NOT the larger Texas Transway with the 18 lanes, etc. Good work on this for Texas.
309	77471	Rosenberg	I think this is not only of economic value to our community, but makes a lot more sense than having to re-build roads and roadways where there is not secured land. It's important to know this IS NOT the larger Texas Transway with the 18 lanes, etc. Good work on this for Texas.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
310	77471	Rosenberg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Designate US 59 through Rosenberg as IH 69 since it is to Interstate Standards. - Be sure Rosenberg is included in the IH 69 Route.
311	77471	Rosenberg	Endorse this! Add lanes from 99 or 36 or past that. I am 110% for segment three IH 69
312	77471	Rosenberg	I am all for I-69. Please hurry. Upgrade and re-designation is of the utmost urgency. I am in support of I-69 Segment III. I endorse this project.
313	77471	Rosenberg	Keep up the good work. I've been utilizing Hwy. 59 for the past 40 years – Texarkana to Rosenberg – through Houston. It was 2 lanes and through downtown Houston.
314	77479	Not Provided	1) Real time frame dates are important. Hard to get excited about "Maybe's". 2) Emphasis on staying within Hwy 59 corridor. Too much experience in seeing by passed communities retail crumble. 3) Many people assume 59 is already I-69 designated re corridor signage.
315	77480	Sweeny	The transportation corridor needs to be improved. I-69 will be receiving goods from Freeport, from the newly developed intermodal facility near Kendleton as well as the continuing development in western Fort Bend County. Work needs to start now before traffic becomes unbearable. The connector roads like Hwy 36 also need development. I drive it daily from West Columbia to Rosenberg. It is no longer safe. An increasing number of large trucks transporting goods from Freeport need room! They do not co-exist well with farm implements, school buses, and lots of local traffic.
316	77488	Wharton	This is a suggestion. Include Kendleton on your map. It is a city between Rosenberg and Wharton, or it is a city between Wharton and Rosenberg - either way, you go through Kendleton.
317	77488	Wharton	I question your statement concerning economic development. Does this statement mean a new direction for TxDOT who for years has stated they are not in the economic development business? Yes you may lose a large plant if it is not I-69 close by but my concern is the small towns with highway access to that limits easy on & off intersections & emergency personnel with a way to cross the I-69 in various spots which it appears that you have not addressed.
318	77488	Wharton	Need 1301 to go Hwy 59 for I-69 Transportation logistics to work for hurricane evacuation, move trucks to & from Port Freeport, and safety over Railroad I-69 Access



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
319	77488	Wharton	I-69 is critical to prosperity & public safety to our county. Growth is coming our way & we need to ensure it is done in a managed way. Wharton County is a great place to live & do business.
320	77488	Not Provided	I am so glad that you are considering making Highway 59 through Wharton County into I-69. I hope your plans and goals will be accomplished.
321	77901	Victoria	I think we need to create I-69 ASAP. It is a safety as well as an economic development issue. Texas will be better for it.
322	77901	Victoria	I think we need to create I-69 ASAP. It is a safety as well as an economic development issue. Texas will be better for it.
323	77901	Victoria	We travel all segments from Victoria to McAllen and through Houston to Florida. We fully support the development of I-69.
324	77901	Victoria	With recent business/economic developments in the Victoria county area including eagle ford shale & caterpillar, it is of utmost importance to upgrade commercial & transportation improvements or enhancements to coincide w/ inevitable growth.
325	77901	Victoria	I am in favor of I-69 being routed through Victoria, Texas following the existing footprint of US Highways 59 & 77. Denise McCue
326	77901	Victoria	Great concept! I can only hope that the state can find funding for it. This will be a great economical boost to south Texas and the entire state.
327	77901	Victoria	We need a main highway to evacuation during hurricanes! This is a safety issue.
328	77901	Victoria	Everyone I know is adamantly opposed to toll roads because tolls are another layer of taxes in addition to the gasoline taxes we already pay. Highway 59 bypass south of Victoria, TX is wide enough for I69 therefore another bypass in addition to the one already there would be very wasteful. All avenues for economizing in the building of I69 absolutely must be pursued. TxDOT official must be careful not to be viewed as empire builders as they expand the transportation system of Texas.
329	77901	Victoria	I strongly oppose any plan that includes private funding. Public roads should not be owned or operated by any private entity. It's a recipe for too many complications.
330	77902	Victoria	Worthy project connecting Mexican goods distribution to US ports and Midwest.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
331	77904	Victoria	The way this was initially started and tried to force down our throats should make you well aware of the necessity of being more open and above board in the future. This will probably be on the drawing board for years and years to come, especially since the current state of the economy is what it is with a more dire direction it is heading. It is totally wrong to have the funding come from any outside source, or country. If it is I am totally against it. TxDOT left a really bad taste in a lot of Texans throats, and I do not think it will be easily forgotten.
332	77904	Victoria	I favor I-69 in our area. I know that it will be good for our economic growth and also for the existing companies in the area. The Interstate systems contribute greatly to the economies of many businesses both in our area and other states. It also makes travel to areas safer.
333	77904	Victoria	I am a citizen of Victoria, TX. I69 is not good: There is no way we should waste money on this. It will only move us more in debt. It will only help move jobs out of the US It will only help put money in the pockets of the ones pushing this... There is no way we can afford this. New roads will help and allow for drugs to be delivered more effectively. If it passed you will bypass Victoria Texas I've seen this in Midland where the interstate bypassed town and big parts of the town died. I say no a big no to this...big waste of money
334	77904	Victoria	In my opinion this is a very important and must project and is long overdue. We need to have this not only to move commerce but to improve the safety of those of us who travel on the highways of this great nation. I have followed this very slow moving project since its inception and would like to see it completed in my lifetime. I will be 84 years old this year. Whatever it takes to complete this project is justified if only on the basis of safety.
335	77904	Victoria	Safety is the key word in upgrading US 59/77 to Interstate standards. This upgrade will provide a 'controlled access facility' by adding frontage roads and interchanges and removing the median crossovers. Initial locations for upgrade should be where there is increased use of direct access points such as near town such as Victoria. The section between US 59 Bus. and SH 185 should be given a high priority. Billy Parks
336	77904	Victoria	I would prefer I-69 would be a route from Victoria direct to Laredo. If it joins US 77 that it would be below Corpus Christi and not going through the southern part of Victoria County.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
337	77904	Victoria	We absolutely must have I69 developed. It is imperative to have this coastal connection from the border with Mexico to Houston. Connecting these two points and on North will give Texas a lot more choices for large businesses. It will be a missed opportunity if we let this pass us by. I am 100 in favor of I69.
338	77905	Victoria	I am still concerned about the impact I-69 will have on our family's property on the west side of Victoria County. It frightens me to think we will lose our major means of supporting ourselves financially and the thought of losing a home that has been in our family since 1845. How will I know if this highway is going to take everything we have worked for away from us? The maps are vague and I was told that TXDOT would take 5 miles on either side of the project. We fear for our home, our business and our safety.
339	77905	Victoria	No tolls. Absolutely!!!! Do not confiscate more land. You have plenty right of way. Merely add two more lanes to each side...northbound and southbound. Limit trucks to two outside lanes. Pay for them by indexing the gasoline tax to inflation and making all Texas gasoline tax and federal gasoline tax go to build and repair highways.
340	77905	Victoria	I believe I-69 should use the existing divided Hwy 77 from Victoria to Refugio. That route is a straighter highway that covers a larger amount of miles between cities rather than using Hwy 59 from Victoria to Goliad to Beeville etc. Hwy 77 is a long established route and would have less citizen impact than disturbing those of us along Hwy 59 to Goliad. The new four lanes from Victoria to Goliad is great to have as is.
341	Not Provided	Victoria	Right of Way purchase and condemnation of private land must be minimized. We have been through the Trans-Texas Corridor mess and it will not be repeated, period. This was the message sent to Texas Department of Transportation. This message was noted at a I-69 meeting in Victoria during 2010. I am skeptical about this project from the outset. The highway system in Texas belongs to the citizens of Texas and not private companies or foreign corporations. If Texans cannot fund this highway, it does not need to be built.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
342	77905	Coletoville	I am not against progress and upgrading our highway system - however we live in a small community (Coletoville, TX.) between Goliad & Victoria - your proposal or recommended route would be totally out of the question because it would take our church/gravesites and many people would lose their property for un-needed right-of-way. Widen & upgrade what you have now and don't try to re-invent the wheel. Country folks don't care for all the noise and distractions a new highway will bring. Most folk around here don't trust Rick Perry and his "great" ideas for our state. Fix what needs fixing gut leave ya'lls expensive ideas out. There is entirely too much waste in our government now.
343	77957	Edna	There is already a four lane divided highway between Victoria and Houston that is actually just fine the way it is. I don't understand spending millions of dollars when our country is already in such a great debt on something that is quite exceptionally functional.
344	77957	Edna	Stick to improvements within existing rights of ways. In Jackson County, there is ample room to add lanes and not jeopardize small towns along the path. Jackson County has "lost" more than enough acreage over the years to various "improvements" such as Lake Texana. No more land grabs -- make practical improvements and make the existing rights of ways work! We stressed over the TTC issue, now this! Enough!
345	77963	Goliad	While the state is cutting back on all social programs and child healthcare, it does not seem to be a good time to build a multibillion dollar freeway. Also, since we are unable to stop the drug traffic coming north and guns south to Mexico, why would we want to make that access even easier. Don't even think about raising taxes to pay for this when you can't tax big oil to help pay for our children's education. In fact get big oil to pay for it if you want it, they will get the most benefit from it. And don't even get me started on the environmental impact.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
346	77963	Goliad	I travel US 59 from Goliad south to Berclair about 3 x week. Traffic is very sporadic & generally light. Some days I don't see a vehicle in front or behind me for several miles. When there is traffic, a high proportion of it is 18 wheelers, usually hauling containers, and buses going to or coming from Mexico. Building an Interstate for such traffic is a boondoggle, and I strongly oppose it. I would greatly favor a triple line RR from Laredo to Houston and beyond, however. This would eliminate most of the traffic that is there, because trucks cannot compete with an efficient RR over a long distance. Moreover, if the state pays for the RR, they can require the RR company to provide reasonable passenger service several times a day, which would cut into the bus traffic. This is a far more efficient way to handle the traffic in this area than a wasteful interstate.
347	77968	Victoria (Inez)	I think educating our children & taking care of Medicare & social security - are top priority for Texas - not building road so Mexico & China can have fast travel for drugs & illegals, etc. Make more jobs for the Texans to work - too much unemployment in this state
348	78336	Not Provided	The planned route of I69 using existing Interstate Systems from Mexico To Canada is a viable plan. Although Texas is a primary route from Mexico to other States; adjoining States, such as Louisiana and Arkansas, could circumvent the I59 sections To Texarkana by developing subordinate route at I-20 From Dallas To developing I49 designation from Louisiana To Arkansas To Texarkana Truck Station. The subordinate route could alter the I59 route. Such alteration could influence the I10 system from Houston into Louisiana, changing politically the influence of TxDOT, altering the potential of Federal Funds, in turn the potential of the Gulf Coast plan.
349	78351	Driscoll	My wife and I live on the east side of county road 79 located on the east side of Driscoll, TX. We have heard that the preferred route for Driscoll is a relief route to the east coming through our property/house. I would like to know the status/timing of this relief route. I was planning on doing some home improvements but do not want to spend a lot of money if our house is going to be demolished in the near future.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
350	78363	Kingsville	As a 20 plus year community member, I am concerned that a relief route that by-passes Premont would have devastating effects on many of the small businesses. Premont does not have much of an economy and depends heavily on traffic passing through. Effects in Premont would be very different, in my opinion, than Alice or Falfurrias. I am in favor of using the existing route through town, whether at ground level or including overpasses. I do not live in Premont but do manage property there, have numerous employees living there and conduct a considerable amount of business in town. Please take note of the affects you can have, not only on individuals but on a whole community, when deciding the route to follow through this portion of Segment Four.
351	78374	Portland	Strongly supportive of committee recommendations and all efforts to improve transportation issues related to San Patricio County and surrounding area. Also believe State Hwy 181 will continue to grow in traffic and need additional attention and route modifications.
352	78374	Portland	This is a must do project, especially in a time when employment is an issue. Get the Valley connected and get our largest exporting state better connected to Canada and Mexico, our top importing partners.
353	78374	Portland	This segment is of particular importance to the economic development of South Texas. It would have a major impact on the South Texas port trade and trade from Mexico to Canada.
354	78374	Portland	I want to let you know I support your efforts to move the I69 project forward. I especially like the Segment 5 priorities that connect Laredo to the Port of Corpus Christi.
355	78374	Corpus Christi	Please build this road as quickly as possible.
356	78374	Not Provided	Use \$2B to fund projects from Corpus Christi District.
357	78379	Riviera	In connection with the various options listed on the Public Meeting Comment Sheet: Item 1, I support the second option [street level relief route through Premont]. Item 2, I am not aware of any environmental or community features not shown on the maps presented on the website.
358	78379	Riviera	Think it would be great and hope it is started soon.
359	78380	Robstown	We shouldn't spend money on I-69 at this time while we are 14 trillion dollars in the hole and going over a cliff. Doesn't anybody have common sense these days? We already have too much drug traffic, not to mention "open boarders", which needs to be closed. This I-69 should be stopped until things improve. We the people of the United States, tax payer, can't afford it.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
360	78390	Taft	I think the Hwy 77 corridor should definitely be used as major future developments in San Patricio County will benefit from close access. Also communities in Sinton, Refugio, Odem will benefit from increased traffic flow.
361	78402	Not Provided	I encourage you to move forward with the planning & development of I-69. The entire south Texas region would benefit greatly from this project. If funding could be obtained for even a short section, that would be a great beginning. I-69 will help connect South Texas to the rest of the state and nation.
362	78404	Corpus Christi	As fast as Texas is growing, it is critical I-69 becomes a reality. Please make I-69 a priority... we have been patient for many; many years... we have the traffic & economic growth & business case to increase the trajectory of construction of I-69.
363	78411	Not Provided	Keep the focus on I-69 - It is very needed for the region, the state and the nation.
364	78412	Corpus Christi	I support I-69 - We need an Interstate to the Valley. I also support the use or existing right of ways especially the 77 route which connects all of the major ports.
365	78413	Corpus Christi	Get control of the border FIRST. Jobs for Americans FIRST.
366	78413	Corpus Christi	I am strongly in favor of continuing to pursue the development of I-69 throughout Texas. This will be an economic boon to the entire state to stimulate growth and development. The cities along the I-69 will profit as will the inter-state access and trade benefit the nation. South Texas wants this to happen.
367	78418	Corpus Christi	All Americans should be opposed to this proposed highway.
368	78520	Brownsville	I am submitting this note to communicate my support for I-69 and the Segment Four Committee. The route running through Cameron County along existing US 77, especially, offers advantages through its easy access to Mexico, its close proximity to our railway system and the Port of Brownsville. Please give this segment strong consideration.
369	78520	Brownsville	Please consider Brownsville section four i69 we have lots to offer with the border to Mexico and the port to sea. With four bridges to Mexico and a deep water port what a way for I 69 to meet the gateway to export and import commerce.
370	78520	Brownsville	Improvements to upgrade U.S. 77, from Willacy County north to Robstown, should be funded. This leg of I-69 was recognized as part of a nationally significant corridor in the 1990s. Given the deep-water (Port of Brownsville) connection and the numerous international bridges in Cameron County; it is time to achieve an interstate connection from the Rio Grande Valley to the USA.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
371	78520	Brownsville	Why is taking so long. I-69 is going to be a good thing for the area - The Rio Grande Valley - and I am for it.
372	78520	Brownsville	I am submitting this note to communicate my full & complete support of running I-69 through Cameron County given our proximity to the border, our bridges allowing easy access to Mexico, our railroad system, and our Port of Brownsville.
373	78520	Brownsville	I want the I-69 to reach Brownsville.
374	78521	Brownsville	I am speaking on behalf of Trico Products Corporation and we desperately need I 69 extending down to Matamoros, Mexico. We receive shipments from Michigan and other points north and we ship finished goods manufactured in our twin plants located in Brownsville/Matamoros to points located throughout the U.S. and Canada. The extension of this route down to our location will help us create greater employment opportunity in this area.
375	78521	Brownsville	It is essential that we get I-69 routed towards Brownsville. It will certainly help our economy and built more jobs. Also, it will make it easier and faster for the companies that need to transport goods from the port to other cities and states. They will avoid taking detours or going thru populated cities, that is time consuming. Please let the valley grow even more, by having I-69 routed our way.
376	78521	Brownsville	The whole community along the I-69 will benefit. We will have better transportation system to and out of our Port.
377	78521	Brownsville	Please consider extending I-69 to the Rio Grande Valley.
378	78521	Brownsville	I-69 needs to extend to Brownsville. We are in a region that it economically distressed and have a deepwater port that would benefit quite a bit. It would be great from an economic standpoint and from a transportation standpoint.
379	78521	Brownsville	Yes the residents of the lower Valley, Brownsville in particular, are very much in favor of being included in the I69 corridor. The Port of Brownsville is the only deep water port in the State without interstate access. It is a tremendous economic engine for the area and would greatly benefit from this interstate, to help freight move efficiently.
380	78521	Not Provided	The benefits of I-69 for business and recreation are substantial. I strongly support the I-69 corridor as it work faster direct access and make it much easier for both commercial and tourist traffic to reach the Rio Grande Valley.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
381	78526	Brownsville	I-69 is vital to the growth of the Lower Rio Grande Valley. Brownsville/Harlingen recently upgraded their portions of US 77 to I-69 standards which will mitigate the cost. Bro/HRL has two ports, one deep of which is a deep water port. We also have three, soon to be four international bridges, international train crossing, air freight. I-69 would not only serve an economically depressed area and allow for our growth and expansion but would also link the United States with Mexico to strengthen our economic ties.
382	78526	Brownsville	Yes I support US I-69.
383	78526	Not Provided	Support expeditious completion of Segment 4, Rio Grande Valley Segment, of I-69. Connectivity with Port of Brownsville on I-69 Spur critical for freight mobility. Federal funding is critical to complete the project. An appropriation of \$180 million will complete the project.
384	78552	Not Provided	We need an earmark of 180 million dollars to finish I-69 from Corpus to Brownsville in order to get it done in the next two years. Our port is going to expand and the need for I-69 becomes even greater.
385	78553	Harlingen	Segment #4 Get it done to the Valley
386	78575	Rancho Viejo	I-69 is a very good idea. It connects North to South. I-69 should cover 59 & 77 through Brownsville. It is needed for transport.
387	78577	Pharr	The I-69 Interstate needs to begin or end at the Pharr International Bridge. TxDOT needs to make sure that this is not over looked.
388	78577	Pharr	US 281Northleg begins @ Military Road. In our thoroughfare plan, it had always considered I-69 beginning in Pharr to the north. We believe the designation of 281 to I-69 is long overdue. And that it is a vital component to the nation's road system. From our view point we would consider I-69 as the Front Door to the United States from Mexico.
389	78577	Pharr	Objection to re-designate US 281 as Interstate from US 83 to North of McAllen. It should be "Re-designate US 281 as Interstate from US 83 to south of Pharr. I 69 should be designate through Pharr all the way to Military Hwy as per your January 2011 design engineer plans.



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
390	78577	Pharr	Please be advised, that as an interested citizen of Pharr, Texas, it is my understanding that the most current re-designate of US 281 as Interstate from US 83 requires that it remain through Pharr. The attached I-69 Segment Four preliminary map indicates a re-designate of US 281 as Interstate from US 83 to McAllen. It is my contention that this discrepancy needs to be corrected by TxDOT as this planning process continues. Also, it is my recommendation that this segment of I-69 continue through Pharr and end at the Pharr/Reynosa International Bridge.
391	78577	Not Provided	Re-designation of US 281 as interstate from US 83 to north McAllen should not occur and should remain in Pharr. I69 should continue through Pharr!! As indicated in your plans in January 2011.
392	78577	Not Provided	You failed to mention that the interstate 281 & US 83 interchange is in Pharr, TX and not in McAllen as stated on the recommendations map. There is no mention of a loop or connector to Pharr-Reynosa bridge or other port of entry.
393	78611	Burnet	Looks like a great idea.
394	78701	Austin	How will weight limits on I69 differ from I59? Currently, with a surety bond, vehicles can travel on I59 up to 80,000lb total, 23,000lb on the front axle, and 46,000 lb on the tandem axle. It is my understanding that when the I69 designation is attached to a current I59 roadway (with no new construction), the surety bond will no longer be accepted, and the Federal standards of 16,000 lb on the single axle and 34,000 lb on the tandem axle will be enforced by DPS. IS this true? And where can I find direct and specific information on this?
395	78735	Austin / Corpus Christi	I-69 is a project that makes complete sense and should be completed. Texas leads the nation in roads and we are proud of that. I-69 reflects the reality of growth in Texas.
396	Not Provided	Not Provided	I-69 critical for moving cargo to the Port of Corpus Christi to Port of Laredo & other way. Brownsville needs an Interstate. All this will encourage economic development.
397	Not Provided	Not Provided	Go West not 59
398	Not Provided	Not Provided	Don't - go down 59. go - west
399	Not Provided	Not Provided	I am excited about the construction of Hwy. 69. I would enjoy the convenience of this interstate. Everyone I talk to thinks this will be great for Texarkana.
400	Not Provided	Not Provided	Stay on The 59 Route to Corrigan



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
401	Not Provided	Not Provided	Let's get started. East Texas commerce needs everything that an interstate can bring.
402	Not Provided	Not Provided	Let get er done, quit dragging the government red tape all over Texas.
403	Not Provided	Not Provided	I beg to differ that I-69 is well on its way to becoming a critical part of our economy. I have driven the route through Beasley and it is undersized for a major transportation corridor from Mexico to the USA.
404	Not Provided	Not Provided	Please bypass Moscow to the west. I have a double wide in Moscow Texas on Hwy 59. Going through Moscow would take my property as well as many other. Small minority owned businesses will be taken as well as residential. One lumbar company will be affected which is the primary jobs for many in the community.
405	Not Provided	Not Provided	Federal legislation (Section 1105(e)(5)(c)(i) of ISTEA) currently mandates that US 59 from Laredo to Houston will be I-69 and the US 77 (I-69 East) and US 281 (I-69 Central) routes will be suffixed:
406	Not Provided	Not Provided	How many miles is the Relief Route east of Lufkin going to extend down Highway 69 South?
407	Not Provided	Not Provided	I have commented at previous settings but wish to further emphasize the need to take advantage of multi-modal opportunities to leverage all the transportation and economic benefits of the Interstate 69 development. Specifically in Segment One, the route planned to the East of Lufkin should provide for ingress and egress from I-69 to State Highway 103 East and any adjoining (planned) service roads at a point near where the route intersects the Angelina & Neches River Railroad's tracks.
408	Not Provided	Not Provided	Could it be possible to make US Route 83 from McAllen to the 77/83 Interchange in Harlingen an Interstate auxiliary route to 69 since it is a major artery route for the Valley?
409	Not Provided	Not Provided	I believe that good judgment should prevail in determine the design route. Use of existing right of ways along the US 59 route would make the residents a lot happier about this proposed project.
410	Not Provided	Not Provided	It seems as though it would be more efficient for I-69 to follow US79 from the LA state line to the intersection of US77 @ Rockdale. Then, it should follow US 77 South to Victoria.
411	Not Provided	Not Provided	Finish the Homework!!
412	Not Provided	Not Provided	I-69 is needed in Nacogdoches County now!



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
413	Not Provided	Not Provided	My comments regarding I-69 development which is so important for East Texas and our Nacogdoches County!
414	Not Provided	Not Provided	It would be a great thing for 69 to come by Nacogdoches, Texas.
415	Not Provided	Not Provided	I support the I 69 project. I heard about it from Karen Owen MPO Longview and Charles Thomas Carthage.
416	Not Provided	Not Provided	I believe the following items to be of peak significance as I69 is being planned and developed:
417	Not Provided	Not Provided	Given the current economic climate, it's critical that we take advantage of real opportunities to promote growth. The I-69 project can make a real difference for the people in East Texas as well as the people moving goods in general.
418	Not Provided	Not Provided	The construction of I-69 is absolutely critical to the economic future of East Texas. Throughout history, communities have risen and fallen based upon their proximity to a major transportation artery and ready access to the interstate highway system is a modern-day version of the railroads a century ago.
419	Not Provided	Not Provided	I believe implementing I-69 through city of Brownsville will not only boost this area's economy but will strengthen the nation's economy simply because city of Brownsville has more advantages over city of McAllen or Laredo on the basis of the following reasons:
420	Not Provided	Not Provided	As a teacher in a small East Texas town, this idea of spending billions of dollars to create an enormous disaster of a construction project makes me ill! I look at students every day who are at risk of losing teachers and necessities of their education because the state's budget is already in such a bind.
421	Not Provided	Not Provided	The I-69 Corridor will be a big help & I'm comfortable with it as long as another country doesn't own it and lease it back to us. This project must be owned by the United States!!!
422	Not Provided	Not Provided	One of our readers read the article "Regional Leaders to Hold Open Houses to Receive Public Comment on I-69 Development" and asked this question: Can you do an article showing how the latest proposal affects Houston County?
423	Not Provided	Not Provided	What is the state going to do in Leggett?
424	Not Provided	Not Provided	I live just north of Nacogdoches. Let me just say that I am not against the idea of I-69 in theory. In theory it would fit along the current footprint of 59. In theory it would make the area prosper due to the increased traffic. But when it starts to get put into process I am afraid it will stop at a great theory.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
425	Not Provided	Not Provided	Use existing highways.
426	Not Provided	Not Provided	I have been a resident of Carthage for 34 years, and I think I-69 would be a great boost to our economy. It would also make things much more convenient!
427	Not Provided	Not Provided	I am deeply concerned that TxDOT is not being forthcoming on the plans for the relief route around Nacogdoches. It seems that everywhere else the proposed plan is to follow Hwy.59 through the towns on the path. But in Nacogdoches for some unknown reason be it political or financial gain, the route deviates through private property-farms and ranches and smaller home sites that have been in the rural countryside for years. My first complaint is that how this will help the economy of Nacogdoches is beyond me, as it will completely leave Nacogdoches out off the beaten path and it will likely continue its downward slide economically and physically. The only development this will encourage is truck stops and fast food vendors. My second complaint is that it will ruin the west side of Nacogdoches for development of any kind other than the above as no one wants to be near a noisy and dangerous highway. I am not opposed to the idea of I69, but I am opposed to the selective deviation from the use of already existing highway infrastructure to further some unknown (at least to me) agenda.
428	Not Provided	Not Provided	Thank you for the attention that TxDOT is giving the I69 routes through Texas. We need these road improvements especially the part that brings an interstate to the Valley.
429	Not Provided	Not Provided	Thank you for the attention that TxDOT is giving the I69 routes through Texas. We need these road improvements especially the part that brings an interstate to the Valley.
430	Not Provided	Not Provided	We are property owner in Sinton and Corpus Christi. We think the exposure brought by the Interstate 69 corridor development will aid in business development in our area.
431	Not Provided	Not Provided	We are property owner in Sinton and Corpus Christi. We think the exposure brought by the Interstate 69 corridor development will aid in business development in our area.
432	Not Provided	Not Provided	Thank you for the attention that TxDOT is giving the I69 routes through Texas. We need these road improvements especially the part that brings an interstate to the Valley.
433	Not Provided	Not Provided	Improving the connectivity of Texas, the largest exporting state in the U.S., to the rest of the U. S. and Canada via the I 69 route makes economic sense. Our interstate system needs to go north and south, not just east and west



Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
434	Not Provided	Not Provided	Improving the connectivity of Texas, the largest exporting state in the U.S., to the rest of the U. S. and Canada via the I 69 route makes economic sense. Our interstate system needs to go north and south, not just east and west
435	Not Provided	Not Provided	We need I-69! The City of Portland supports this project 100.
436	Not Provided	Not Provided	The focus of building the I69 corridor as much as possible in existing right of way makes both economic and emotional sense. As a landowner along the proposed route, I believe that TXDOT should improve what we have. The proposed I69 route along the old 59 route is the best plan for section 5.
437	Not Provided	Not Provided	The City of Ingleside is in support of the I 69 corridor and bring existing segments of the highways up to Interstate standards.
438	Not Provided	Not Provided	I want to let you know I support your efforts to move the I69 project forward. I especially like the Segment 5 priorities that connect Laredo to the Port of Corpus Christi.



Citizen Comments

Number	Zip Code	City	Comment/Question
439	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>After reading the comments shown on the segment four and five meeting summary for November, I must say the consultants are being paid to tell the most deliberate lies I have ever seen in my life. All of the "thousands" of people that have been apprised of this roadway aren't even in the area of the proposed route. Ninety nine percent are from and in the Corpus Christi city limits and should have nothing to say about what happens thirty miles from where they are, and really could care less; they just happened to attend a meeting that is being used for head count by the promoters. Terry Simpson is the most prolific liar that has been involved in this so called public process that I have ever seen. He does not want participation from "real" citizens and when they show up at the meetings they are told in no uncertain terms that they are not welcome and should not even be there, and will not be allowed to speak. There is not one member of that appointed board that truly represents the citizens; everyone has ties to being enriched by this diesel spewing, Mexico promoting, American job killing, route. If the federal government believes the figures that have been presented by this group of people then someone needs to enlighten them as to what the facts really are. This is a total SHAM and shows that government is bought and paid for by special interests while the average taxpayer is robbed, raped, and told that the government is here to help you. When is this crap going to stop and the members of this "special" committee going to be held accountable for their actions, by the federal government. I also believe that environmental concerns as to the health of the people in the path of this monster have not and are not being considered at all. The majority of population that will be affected are Hispanic, but the board members, consultants, beneficiaries, and entities that will profit are awfully white and do not live in the path of this cancer producer, but we have been made aware that, so what if a few Mexicans die, economic development first, foremost and forever. It is time to go to another level of government and let them know that local control always produces intimidation and fear, which takes away the rights of the majority, (which is usually the minority population) by the connected, moneyed, few, and the taxpayers pick up the tab for all the misery that this causes.</p>