

Date	Date Updated	Question	TXDOT Response
8/14/2019	8/30/2019	The notes on Sheet 14 say to replace all 48 elastomeric bearings on SH 44 and 1,092 on SH 361, for a total of 1,140 bearings. However, the bid quantity is only 318 each for Item 4002. How many bearings are we supposed to remove and replace and where do they go?	Addressed on Addendum No. 1
8/14/2019	8/30/2019	The bearing standards in the plans are for steel beams. The notes on Sheet 14 seem to indicate we are only replacing the concrete beam bearings on SH 44 since Note 2 says to clean and paint the steel bearings. SH 361 is a concrete beam bridge according to the note on the bridge layout sheet. What kind of bearings are we supposed to use here?	Addressed on Addendum No. 1
8/14/2019	8/30/2019	There is no information on the existing bridge (beam size, spacing, existing construction, etc.) and no specific information on where these bearings go. We have to raise the bridge to replace the bearings, so we need a significant amount more information than what is given here to be able to do anything with this project.	Addressed on Addendum No. 1
8/14/2019	8/30/2019	There are 10 armor joints to be replaced on the SH 361 bridge. Can we have a detail for that work? How thick is the deck? How far back do we replace the deck? Do we need new reinforcing in the deck? Can we have a detail of the armor joint?	Please reference As-built plans posted on FTP site for informational purposes only.
8/14/2019	8/16/2019	On Sheet 14 there are several instances of the description of the items referencing different specifications than the bid item. For example, the bearings are to be replaced in accordance with Item 434 but the pay item is 4002 and is not referenced. Similarly, the armor joint is to be in accordance with Item 454 but the pay item is 785. Should these be revised?	No. The wording is correct. These items will conform to a separate standard specification item as noted but will be paid under its respective pay item as set up in the plan quantities.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 104 – existing riprap has stone riprap in the water along the toe area of the existing riprap – are we supposed to remove & replace this stone?	No, stone riprap to remain in place
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 104 – does TXDOT have any need for the concrete debris generated from the removal of the riprap?	No
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 446 – do you have an estimated SF of steel painting on the bridge oat Agua Dulce Creek?	Bid as proposed.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 446 – does the existing steel contain any lead?	Yes, see sheet 42A.

8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 446 – is the replacement of the lead sheets incidental to the clean & paint item or is this what the 80 each of Item 784-6010 for?	Yes, the replacement of the lead sheets is subsidiary to Item 784-6010.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 502 – for the SH 361 bridge – since we will need 24 hour lane closures to perform the bridge joint replacement on this bridge, will we need to use a TCP plan with temporary traffic signals? Only saw TCP plans with & without flaggers in the plans.	Bid as proposed.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 502 – noticed other contractor with temporary lane closures in the area – will we need to wait until this other project is complete to start the work on SH 361?	No, coordination will need to be made with other contractors working on adjacent projects.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	If we have 24 hour – 7 day a week lane closure for SH 361 – do we need to use temporary rumble strips?	Yes, see sheet 33
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 784-6010 – if our lifting plans can accommodate live traffic can we lift & replace the lead sheets/ bearing pads under live load or do we need to close the lanes and do the lifting without traffic on top of the structure?	Reference is made to Item 495 in the 2014 Standard Spec. A detailed plan to raise the structure will need to be submitted for the Departments approval.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 785 – bridge joint replacement – there are 10 joints at 46’ wide which is the overall width of the bridge – the armor joint doesn’t go out to the edges – wanted to confirm if we need to remove & replace the existing concrete bridge rail at these locations and if so if that is incidental to Item 785 or if additional bid items will be added for this work?	No, the existing concrete bridge rail does not need to be removed and replaced. See Addendum No. 1 sheet 39A.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 785 – there is existing asphalt in the travel lanes, are we pouring back the new concrete to match the existing concrete and having to replace the existing asphalt or are we pouring the joints to match the existing asphalt?	Concrete replacement will match the existing concrete deck. See Addendum No. 1 sheet 39A and As-built plans posted to FTP site for informational purposes.
8/26/2019	8/30/2019	Item 785 – if we have to replace the existing asphalt what type of asphalt are we supposed to use?	Bid as proposed.
8/30/2019	8/30/2019	Item 785 has a note about a 4 day cure unless directed otherwise. Will you approve of the 4 day cure requirement?	Unless directed otherwise, a 4 day minimum curing time must be met as per Item 785.