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Section 1 — Introduction

Purpose

This document presents guidelines for designing bridges in Texas. This document should be used in companion with the policies stated in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD.

The main objectives of this document are to:

♦ Serve as a resource for engineers designing bridges for TxDOT.
♦ Provide guidelines specific to TxDOT policies, details, and design assumptions.

Updates

Updates to this guide are summarized in the following table.

Guide Revision History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
<th>Summary of Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-1</td>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>New guide published.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-1</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>Revised for updates to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual – LRFD due to the issuance of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 9th Ed. Added a table of contents. Chapter 1 was updated to include a list of references used throughout the guide. Chapter 3, Section 1 updated the beam guidance and added guidance on vertical clearance and PCPs. Sections 8 and 9 were updated with additional geometric information. The guidance on inverted tees in Chapter 4, Section 5 was updated. Additional guidance was added to Chapter 5, Section 1 on widenings. Joint guidance was added into Chapter 5, Section 5. Additional FAQs were added to Chapter 6, Section 2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organization

The information in this Guide is organized as follows:

♦ **Chapter 1**, About this Guide
♦ **Chapter 2**, Load and Resistance Factor Design
♦ **Chapter 3**, Superstructure Design Guidelines
♦ **Chapter 4**, Substructure Design Guidelines
♦ **Chapter 5**, Other Design Guidance
♦ **Chapter 6**, Frequently Asked Questions
♦ **Appendix A**, Pretensioned Concrete TxGirder Haunch Design Guide
♦ **Appendix B**, Pretensioned Concrete U-beam Design Guide
♦ **Appendix C**, Steel Twin Tub Girder System Redundancy Simplified Method Guide

Feedback

For TxDOT policy on designing bridges, please refer to the TxDOT *Bridge Design Manual - LRFD*. Please direct any questions on the content of this document to the Bridge Design Section Director, Bridge Division, Texas Department of Transportation.

References

Bracketed `<references>` reference relevant sections of the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, edition specified in the TxDOT *Bridge Design Manual - LRFD*.

Links to External documents:
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♦ Roadway Design Manual. Texas Department of Transportation. 

Links to websites:

♦ Bridge Publications website. Texas Department of Transportation. 
https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/forms-publications/consultants-contractors/publications/bridge.html#design

Contains:

• Live Load Deflection Spreadsheets
• Quality Assurance/Quality Control Guide
• Recommended beams spacings and span lengths

♦ Bridge Standards website. Texas Department of Transportation. 
http://www.dot.state.tx.us/insdtdot/orgchart/cmd/cserve/standard/bridge-e.htm

♦ Engineering Software website. Texas Department of Transportation. 
https://www.txdot.gov/business/resources/engineering-software.html

♦ Extended Span Precast Girders. Texas Department of Transportation. 
https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/division/bridge/girders.html

Contains:

• Long Span Precast I-Girder Sections
• Long Span Precast U-Girder Sections Straight and Curved

♦ Structure Design - Corrosion Protection Guide, Texas Department of Transportation. 
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Section 1 — Load Factors

Load and Resistance Factor Design

Load and Resistance Factor Design (LFRD) is a methodology that makes use of load factors and resistance factors based on the known variability of applied loads and material properties.

Load Factors

TxDOT recommends the following load factors from <Article 3.4.1>:

♦ The engineer may reduce the maximum load factor for wearing surfaces and utilities <DW in Table 3.4.1-2> to 1.25.
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Section 1 — General Recommendations

Vertical Clearance

If project circumstances such as construction phasing require temporary vertical clearance to be less than the recommended vertical clearance, as specified within the Roadway Design Manual, take the following considerations into the design of the bridge:

♦ Discuss with the TxDOT Project Manager and Area Engineer for the project location to verify that a reduced temporary vertical clearance is acceptable.

♦ Consider structure types that can better withstand an over-height impact prior to deck placement, eg. prestressed slab beams, prestressed box beams, U-beams, and prestressed X beams.

♦ If structure types, eg. prestressed Tx Girders, that are less able to withstand an over-height impact before deck placement are needed due to geometric restrictions such as span length, adjust the construction phasing to minimize duration of time that girders are in place prior to placement of the concrete deck.

Prestressed Concrete Beam and Girder Design

Unless a design exception is granted by the Bridge Division, the maximum allowable concrete strength ($f'_{c}$) at time of release of prestressing will be 6.0 ksi. Designers may request an exception for cases in which they can demonstrate that slightly higher release strengths will allow for longer spans to keep bents out of channels, a girder line can be eliminated, or some other discernible benefit.

Previously, TxDOT policy held designs to a firm maximum of 6.0 ksi compressive strength at time of release of prestressing tension. The TxDOT Standard Specifications now include provisions for limiting cement content to prevent issues related to Alkali Silica Reaction (ASR), Delayed Ettringite Formation (DEF), autogenous shrinkage, and other problems related to high cement content. With that, TxDOT will consider proposed higher release strengths on a case-by-case basis. While each case will be considered, designers should generally aim for 6.0 ksi maximum release strength in order to prevent negative impact to the precast fabrication production rates.

Prestressed Concrete Panels

TxDOT has standard detail sheets for prestressed concrete panels (PCP) on prestressed concrete beams and structural steel beams. These details can be viewed on the Bridge Standards website. PCP designs are highly standardized, and follow the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications.

The panels support the slab dead load. The composite PCP/CIP slab cross section resists slab live load moments. Distribution reinforcing is not required.

Strands are located at mid-depth in a 4-inch thick panel. When 5-inch panels are needed consider locating strands at 2-inches above the bottom. This makes panel construction
simpler for the fabricators.

PCPs are reinforced with ⅜-inch or ½-inch diameter Grade 270 strands at 6 inches on center. Regardless of size, the strand is stressed to 14.4 kips and an assumed total loss of 25 ksi is used for design. These limits are set to reduce the cracking of the panels. The panels are generally not wide enough to develop larger strand, so the design is based on the amount that can be developed rather than full development.

For short panels, usually 5 feet or less, #4 Grade 60 reinforcing steel can be substituted for the strands. Reinforcing steel instead of prestressing strands is required in panels shorter than 3.5 feet to prevent splitting.

The panel width (span) can be adjusted in each panel to accommodate flaring beams but this requires diligence on the contractor’s part to ensure that the panels are set in the correct location.

TxDOT does prohibit the use of PCPs for certain applications:

♦ Curved steel girder bridges. TxDOT prefers a monolithic deck on these units because of the complicated interaction between the deck, the curved girders, and the diaphragms. PCP fabricators can fabricate a pie-shaped panel for use on curved girders, but TxDOT has elected not to use them for this application.

♦ Bridge widenings. PCPs are not typically allowed in the bay adjacent to the existing structure because it is usually not possible to set the panels properly on the existing structure. PCPs can be used in the other bays when the widening involves multiple girders.

♦ Steel girders with narrow flanges. Girders with flanges less than 12 inches wide make PCP use difficult because the shear studs conflict with the panels. Standard details allow shear studs to be skewed across the flange width to facilitate the use of PCPs where sufficient flange width is available.
Section 2 — Superstructure Phasing Guidance

Phased Construction Recommendations

Do not use span standard detail sheets for phased structures. In other words, for a 38-ft. roadway phased bridge, the 38-ft. roadway standards are not applicable and cannot be used.

Geometric Constraints

When selecting a location for the phase line, consider the following items:

♦ Traffic needs and the placement of any temporary barriers. If the clear distance between the back of the barrier and the edge of the slab is less than 2 feet, pin the barrier to the deck. If possible, allow a 2-ft. buffer when placing the temporary barrier on new bridge deck to avoid the need for installing pins in the new bridge deck.

♦ When building next to an existing structure (such as for phased replacements), provide enough space between the existing structure and the new construction to accommodate the following: splicing of the deck reinforcement; the portion of the beam that extends beyond the edge of slab; the portion of bent or abutment that extends past the beam edge; any reinforcing of the bent or abutment that extends into the next phase; and form work.

♦ For TxGirders, place the phase line as shown in Figure 3-1. Other considerations as follows:
  • Do not place a phase line in the middle or at the edge of a precast panel as shown in Figure 3-6.
  • Do not place the phase line closer than 10 inches from the beam edge, to allow for the use of precast panels in the future phase.
  • Place the phase line a minimum of 4 inches past the centerline of the girder, so that the horizontal interface reinforcement is cast into the initial construction phase of the slab.
  • Alternately, consider placing the phase line between two beams. Treat the slab between the beam and the phase line as an overhang. Do not allow the use of panels in this bay.

♦ For adjacent slab or box beam superstructures, place the phase line at the edge of the beam, as shown in Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3. Do not place a phase line within the top flange of a Slab Beam or adjacent Box Beam as shown in Figure 3-7.
For U-beam and X-Beams, place the phase line as shown in Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5. Other considerations as follows:

- Place the phase line along the top flange of the beam. If the phase line is located along the top flange of the beam, the majority of the beam will be under the initial phase of construction.

- Do not place the phase line closer than 6 ½ inches from the beam edge for U-beams and 10 inches for X-Beams, to allow for the use of precast panels in the future phase.

- Alternately, consider placing the phase line between two beams. Treat the slab between the beam and the phase line as an overhang. Do not allow the use of panels in this bay.

If a full depth open longitudinal joint is used at the phase line, the bridge is considered two structures and should have two NBI numbers.

Phased superstructures may require a different beam spacing for each phase and for the beams near the phase line.

Load rating of the existing structure is required if the phasing scheme removes portions of the existing structure. Acceptable load rating limits for phased construction of existing structures should be discussed with the District where the work is performed.

Figure 3-1: Phasing for TxGirders
Figure 3-2: Phasing for Slab Beams

Figure 3-3: Phasing for Adjacent Box Beams

Figure 3-4: Phasing for U-beams
Figure 3-5: Phasing for X-Beams
Figure 3-6: Incorrect Phase Joint Location Examples

Figure 3-7: Incorrect Phase Joint Location Examples
Structural Analysis

When designing the beams, consider all temporary loading such as temporary rails as permanent loads for that phase. Design beams so that they meet all requirements for all phases of construction.

The beam located under the phase line will have less dead load deflection than the other beams constructed at the same time. This beam will not deflect additionally when the remainder of the slab is cast, due to the added stiffness of the cured slab. When calculating haunch for the beam along the phase line, use the dead load deflection from the initial slab weight. Do not use the full dead load deflection due to the full slab weight (initial and final).

Consider lowering the bearing seat elevations of later phases to account for the potential for higher than predicted cambers. There is no way to adjust the roadway grade in subsequent phases to accommodate high camber girders.

Software

It is recommended to use BridgeLink (PGSuper) for beam design. Model phasing in PGSuper by using separate files for each phase and the completed structure. Refer to PGSuper Design Guide for further guidance about using PGSuper for beam design. PGSuper calculates live load distribution factors. Alternatively, use the spreadsheets on the Bridge Publications website to calculate live load distribution factors and manually input them into PGSuper. BridgeLink (PGSuper) can be downloaded from the Engineering Software website.
Section 3 — Corrosion Protection Measures

For corrosion protection information, refer to Structure Design - Corrosion Protection Guide. This web page includes information on the following:

♦ High Performance Concrete (HPC)
♦ Corrosion Resistant Reinforcing
♦ Increased concrete clear cover
♦ Air entrainment
♦ Corrosion inhibiting admixtures
♦ Bridge deck overlays
♦ Limiting the use of open bridge rails
♦ Crack control in structural design
♦ Shrinkage control in bridge decks
♦ Other protection measures
♦ Information on District specific requirements
Section 4 — Concrete Deck Slab on Stringers

Materials

See Corrosion Protection Measures in Section 3 for special considerations.

Geometric Constraints

Deck slabs less than 8.5 in. thick are not recommended with TxDOT’s standard prestressed concrete panels because they are not as durable or as constructible, and they do not provide enough practical room above a 4-in. panel. An 8.5 in. thick deck provides added durability and allows for grinding if the roadway grade is off.

Design Criteria

TxDOT’s slabs, on beams and girders, are based on empirical deck design, also known as isotropic deck design. This decision was based on various research projects; collaboration with construction and maintenance experts; and past performance. For more information on TxDOT’s policy on empirical deck design refer to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual – LRFD.

Software

No software is needed for the majority of deck slabs. For special cases software programs may be needed.

Detailing

To account for reduced wheel load distribution at transverse slab edges, strengthen the slab by increasing its depth, as shown on the Thickened Slab End Details standard drawings.

The standard deck slab corner breakback dimension is 2 ft. when skew is more than 15 degrees. The corner break point must occur at least 1 in. and preferably 3 in. from the toe of any concrete parapet into which an expansion joint is upturned.

With simple-span construction, minimize expansion joints by creating multi-span units with the slab continuous over interior bents. At bents without expansion joints, locate a control joint or construction joint in the deck. However, if a short span is placed at the end of multi-span unit, verify that slipping of the bearing pads will not occur. Also, the engineer should verify that the standard bearings do not exceed their design limits when units are comprised of more than 3 spans. See I-Girder Continuous Slab (IGCS) standard for unit limitations for use with the standards.

Additional longitudinal reinforcing steel is required for continuous steel girders <Article 6.10.1.7>. Adding one #5 bar in the top slab between each longitudinal bar usually meets this requirement.
Section 5 — Concrete Deck Slab on U-beams

Materials

See Corrosion Protection Measures in Section 3 for special considerations.

Geometric Constraints

Consider using a normal overhang when conditions make the sloped overhang unsightly or difficult and expensive to construct. For the sloped overhang, the slope of the bottom face of the overhang may vary significantly when used with curved slab edges primarily because of the overhang distance varies along the length of the exterior U-beam.

On a straight bridge slab edge, however, the slope of the bottom face of the overhang varies only because of the vertical curvature of the roadway surface and the camber and dead load deflection of the exterior U-beam, thereby creating a more pleasing appearance.
Section 6 — Pretensioned Concrete I Girders

Design Criteria

For grade separation structures, use the same girder depth for the full length of structure for economies of scale and aesthetic reasons. Stream crossing structures may have different types and sizes of girders for purposes of economy. Optimize girder spacing in each span. Maintaining constant girder spacing for the full length of structure is not necessary.

Selection of the proper type of girder for a span is a matter of economics; calculate relative costs using current average bid prices for girders and slab.

Use relative humidity of 60% regardless of the location of the bridge. The reason for this is that 60% is about an average relative humidity for Texas and is consistent with designs shown on standard drawings. In addition, the beams could be cast at a location with a different humidity than the bridge location.

For bridges with multiple spans, it is more economical to group beam designs. This allows the fabricator to limit the number of different types of beams to fabricate. Beams should also be grouped across various bridges in the same project. For grouping beams, TxDOT recommends grouping beams where there is a difference of 4 strands or less. Provide a unique beam design where there is a difference of 6 strands or more.

There are physical limits on the total prestress force a fabricator’s production lines can handle and too many strands can overwhelm the mild reinforcement meant to control bursting and spalling cracks in the girder end regions. The software might indicate a design works, but the design can very well be impractical or impossible to construct. For TX girders, restrict the number of strands in girders as follows:

- TX28 thru TX40, 44 – 0.6” strands
- TX46 thru TX70, 54 – 0.6” strands

If this strand limit needs to be exceeded, contact Bridge Division to discuss alternatives. If more strands are decided on then the girder’s ends need to be designed for splitting resistance <Article 5.9.4.4.1>. Consider flaring as few beams as necessary when framing a flared span to avoid fabricating several geometrically different precast deck panels.

Software

It is recommended to use BridgeLink (PGSuper) for beam design. Refer to PGSuper Design Guide for further guidance about using PGSuper for beam design. PGSuper calculates live load distribution factors. Alternatively, use the spreadsheets on the Bridge Publications website to calculate live load distribution factors and manually input them into PGSuper. BridgeLink (PGSuper) can be downloaded from the Engineering Software website.
Detailing

For each design, show optional design parameters for maximum top flange stress, bottom flange stress, and ultimate moment due to all design loads on the plans. The fabricator has the option to use other strand arrangements if design parameters are satisfied by the prestress and concrete strength selected.
Section 7 — Pretensioned Concrete U-beams

Geometric Constraints

U-beams are not vertical but are rotated to accommodate the average cross slope of a given span. As a result, the depth of slab haunch at the left and right top edges of the beam may differ. Pay special attention to these beams in calculating the haunch values.

Left and right bearing seat elevations are located at the intersection of the edges of bearing seats with centerline bearings. When calculating these elevations for each bearing seat, be careful to apply the appropriate deduction at that elevation point - that is, the minimum deduction at the correct elevation point and the maximum deduction at the other elevation point. Typically, the minimum deduction and maximum deduction are each applied at diagonally opposite corners of a beam in plan view. See Pretensioned Concrete U-Beam Design Guide for information on calculating U-beam slab haunches. The information is tailored for use with Bridge Geometry System (BGS), but the principles behind the method remain the same.

One method for framing U-beam centerlines is at the top of the beam. This prevents spacing at the top of the beam from varying due to the cross slope of the beam and, thus, simplifies slab formwork dimensions for construction.

The alternate method for framing U-beam centerlines is at the bottom of the beam. This method allows the U-beams to be framed as vertical members whereby the beam spacings dimensioned on the span details and beam layouts match the beam spacings shown on the substructure details. However, if this method is used, call attention to the variable beam spacing at the top of the beam in the plans. A construction note is recommended on the span details stating, "Beam spacing shown is measured at bottom of beam. Beam spacing at top of beam may vary due to cross slope of U-beams."

TxDOT's Bridge Division currently uses the Bridge Geometry System (BGS) software program to frame U-beams. The latest version of BGS frames U-beams using the alternate method. The BGS manual includes information on three framing options written specifically for U-beams: Options 20, 21, and 22. These framing options help the designer calculate accurate slab haunch values, bearing seat elevations, and bearing pad taper reports for U-beams under the alternate method.

Use the same minimum haunch value for all U-beams in a given span if reasonable to do so.

Provide at least 3 in. from the end of the cap or corbel to the edge of the bearing seat.
Software

It is recommended to use BridgeLink (PGSuper) for beam design. Refer to *PGSuper Design Guide* for further guidance about using PGSuper for beam design. PGSuper calculates live load distribution factors. Alternatively, use the spreadsheets on the Bridge Publications website to calculate live load distribution factors and manually input them into PGSuper. BridgeLink (PGSuper) can be downloaded from the Engineering Software website.

Detailing

Detail span sheets for a cast-in-place slab with prestressed concrete panels. A full-depth cast-in-place deck with permanent metal deck forms may be provided at the contractor's option.

Use thickened slab ends at all expansion joints with non-inverted tee bents. See the Miscellaneous Slab Details standard drawing for details of thickened slab ends.

Do not show a detailed bill of reinforcing steel on production drawings. Instead, show a table of bar designations with sizes used in the slab as is currently done with TxGirder structures.

If inverted-tee caps are used and are sloped to match the sloping face of the U-beam, use a 4:1 slope normal to the centerline of the bent.

Use slab dowels to provide lateral restraint when constructing U-beams with inverted-tee bents. These dowels are located at the top of the inverted-tee stem and are in a slotted pipe to allow for expansion and contraction of the unit. Typically, only one dowel is placed at the centerline of every beam. Slab dowels need to be placed on only one side of the centerline of the bent.

A left and right bearing seat elevation is given for each U-beam bearing seat location. Bearing seats for U-beams are level perpendicular to the centerline of the bent but slope uniformly between the left and right bearing seat elevations. This allows the bearing pads to taper in one direction.

Include a Bearing Pad Taper Report sheet in the plans summarizing bearing pad tapers to be used by the fabricator. See *Pretensioned Concrete U-Beam Design Guide* for information on the calculation of bearing pad tapers for U-beams.
Section 8 — Pretensioned Concrete Slab Beams and Decked Slab Beams

Geometric Constraints

A three-pad system is currently used with slab beams and decked slab beams. Typically, the forward station end of the beam sits on a single elastomeric bearing pad while the back station end sits on two smaller pads.

Limit skew to 30 degrees. The standard beam details do not accommodate skews larger than 30 degrees. Larger skews may result in beam twist and uneven bearing on the pads.

The requirement to bevel the bearing pads to match the beam slope on the Elastomeric Bearing Details sheet will not result in parallel pad and beam surfaces for skewed bridges. The actual calculations and fabrication of pads for each particular skewed case is complex. Given the small area of the pads, experience with slab beams and the nearly parallel surfaces, the pads should be able to deform sufficiently to accommodate the mismatches.

When both a vertical curve and skew exist, a complex planar relationship develops between the skewed bottom of the slab beam, bearing pad, and bent or abutment cap: a stepped bearing seat arrangement on the caps may be required.

Except for the T411 and C411 railings, no adjustment is needed to individual reinforcing bars embedded into the slab beam to account for the effects of vertical curve. The vertical curve requires the slab to be thicker either at the ends of the beam or at midspan. Theoretically, each embedded bar should protrude from the beam a different amount. However, in the most extreme case (VC length = 600 ft., tangent slopes = -5%, 5%, and span length = 50 ft.), the maximum variation of the profile grade line from a straight line drawn between top of slab at adjacent bents is only \( \frac{1}{8} \) in. This is not significant enough to warrant complicating the detailing, fabrication, and installation of the railing reinforcing.

Design Criteria

Avoid slab overhangs on slab beams. Choose beams and gap sizes so that the edge of the slab corresponds to the edge of the top flange of the exterior beams.

Slab beams and decked slab beams are not appropriate for use on curved structures and should be avoided on flared structures. The complexity of the geometry required to frame the bridge increases dramatically as the degree of curvature exceeds 1 or 2 degrees.

When two or more different widths are needed, use the largest width as exterior beams.

Do not use dowels for lateral restraint. Provide lateral restraint by ear walls located at the ends of each abutment and interior bent cap. Provide a ½-in. gap between the ear wall and the outside edge of the exterior beam.

Bearsitting seats are not used with slab beams and decked slab beams. The pads sit directly on top of the cap. Provide top-of-cap elevations at the points coinciding with the outer edge of the exterior beams at the centerline of bearing. Also provide elevations at any intermediate points along the cap, at the centerline of bearing, where either a change in cap slope or change in cap elevation occurs.

Slab beams and decked slab beams are not vertical but either parallel the roadway surface
when the cross slope is constant or are rotated to the average cross slope of a span in a transition area. Because there are no bearing seat build-ups, the top of the cap must be sloped to match the rotation of the beams.

Provide a minimum of three elevation points for unskewed spans with an even number of slab beams and a constant housetop profile: one at the outside edge of each of the exterior beams and a third point at the center of the middle joint. Provide four elevation points for spans with an odd number of beams: one at the outside edge of each exterior beam and one at the center of each joint on either side of the middle beam.

Framing is complicated in cross-slope transition areas and skewed bridges. Orient the beams to minimize the variation in slab thickness both longitudinally and transversely along the span. This may require stepping the cap at some joints so that adjacent beams not only have a different slope but also sit at a different elevation. Elevation points may be required as often as every joint in some situations. The forward half of an interior bent cap may have a different elevation than the back half at some locations.

**Software**

It is recommended to use BridgeLink (PGSuper) for beam design. Refer to *PGSuper Design Guide* for further guidance about using PGSuper for beam design. PGSuper calculates live load distribution factors. Alternatively, use the spreadsheets on the Bridge Publications website to calculate live load distribution factors and manually input them into PGSuper. BridgeLink (PGSuper) can be downloaded from the Engineering Software website.
Section 9 — Pretensioned Concrete Box Beams

Geometric Constraints

A three-pad system is currently used with box beams. Typically, the forward station end of the beam sits on a single elastomeric bearing pad while the back station end sits on two smaller pads.

Box beams are fabricated using a two-stage monolithic casting. The bottom slab is cast in the first stage, and the sides and top are cast in the second stage while the slab concrete is still plastic. In addition, cardboard void forms are no longer permitted. All interior voids must be formed with polystyrene. Void drain holes are installed at the corners of the bottom slab during fabrication.

The requirement to bevel the bearing pads to match the beam slope on the Elastomeric Bearing Details sheet will not result in parallel pad and beam surfaces for skewed bridges. The actual calculations and fabrication of pads for each particular skewed case is complex. Given the small area of the pads, experience with box beams and the nearly parallel surfaces, the pads should be able to deform sufficiently to accommodate the mismatches.

When both a vertical curve and skew exist, a complex planar relationship develops between the skewed bottom of the box beam, bearing pad, and bent or abutment cap: a stepped bearing seat arrangement on the caps may be required.

Except for the T411 and C411 railings, no adjustment is needed to individual reinforcing bars embedded into the box beam to account for the effects of vertical curve. The vertical curve requires the slab to be thicker either at the ends of the beam or at midspan. Theoretically, each embedded bar should protrude from the beam a different amount. This is not significant enough to warrant complicating the detailing, fabrication, and installation of the railing reinforcing.

Design Criteria

Use a cast-in-place reinforced concrete slab rather than an ACP overlay on box beam bridges. The slab should have a 5-in. minimum thickness, typically at the center of the span (or at center of bearing in situations such as sag vertical curves).

Avoid slab overhangs. Choose box beams and gap sizes so that the edge of the slab corresponds to the edge of the top flange of the exterior beams.

Box beams are not appropriate for use on curved structures and should be avoided on flared structures. The complexity of the geometry required to frame the bridge increases dramatically as the degree of curvature exceeds 1 or 2 degrees.

Use 5-ft. boxes as exterior beams when the roadway width requires a combination of both 4-ft. and 5-ft. boxes.

Do not use dowels for lateral restraint. Provide lateral restraint by ear walls located at the ends of each abutment and interior bent cap. Provide a ½-in. gap between the ear wall and the outside edge of the exterior beam.
Bearing seats are not used with box beams. The pads sit directly on top of the cap. Provide top-of-cap elevations at the points coinciding with the outer edge of the exterior boxes at the centerline of bearing. Also provide elevations at any intermediate points along the cap, at the centerline of bearing, where either a change in cap slope or change in cap elevation occurs.

Box beams are not vertical but either parallel the roadway surface when the cross slope is constant or are rotated to the average cross slope of a span in a transition area. Because there are no bearing seat build-ups, the top of the cap must be sloped to match the rotation of the beams.

Provide a minimum of three elevation points for unskewed spans with an even number of box beams and a constant housetop profile: one at the outside edge of each of the exterior beams and a third point at the center of the middle joint. Provide four elevation points for spans with an odd number of beams: one at the outside edge of each exterior beam and one at the center of each joint on either side of the middle beam.

Framing is complicated in cross-slope transition areas and skewed bridges. Orient the beams to minimize the variation in slab thickness both longitudinally and transversely along the span. This may require stepping the cap at some joints so that adjacent beams not only have a different slope but also sit at a different elevation. Elevation points may be required as often as every joint in some situations. The forward half of an interior bent cap may have a different elevation than the back half at some locations.

**Software**

It is recommended to use BridgeLink (PGSuper) for beam design. Refer to *PGSuper Design Guide* for further guidance about using PGSuper for beam design. PGSuper calculates live load distribution factors. Alternatively, use the spreadsheets on the Bridge Publications website to calculate live load distribution factors and manually input them into PGSuper. BridgeLink (PGSuper) can be downloaded from the Engineering Software website.
Section 10 — Straight and Curved Plate Girders

Resources


G12.1-2020 Guidelines to Design for Constructability and Fabrication, American Association of Highway Officials (AASHTO) and National Steel Bridge Alliance (NSBA)
Section 11 — Spliced Precast Girders

Structural Analysis

Bridge Division suggests using the section properties given on the Extended Span Precast Girders website:

- I-Section: The top flange thickness can be increased up to 2 inches to accommodate more prestressing strand in the top flange.
- U-Section: Web width of these sections may be varied to optimize the sections in meeting design requirements.

Design Criteria

A calculated positive (upward) camber is desired after application of all permanent (dead) loads for segments resisting positive flexural moment. This may not be possible for all roadway geometries.
Section 12 — System Redundancy Evaluation for Steel Twin Tub Girders

Overview

The TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD, Chapter 3, Section 17 presents a LRFD based methodology to design spans with two tub girders in cross section such that the span will not collapse after the fracture of one of the girders. The probability of such a fracture for tub girders designed for infinite fatigue life is considered exceedingly small in comparison to the bridge’s design life. Therefore, the method addresses the design of a simulated fracture with the extreme event limit state. The methodology establishes a simplified method for evaluating system redundancy in two tub girder span bridges and was developed on the basis of behavior observed during a series of full-scale tests (Barnard et al., 2010).

For the simplified analysis to be permitted, certain established conditions and detailing requirements must be met. If these conditions and requirements are met, the simplified method specifies the entire self-weight of the span under consideration and the entire live-load is carried by the intact girder after the assumed fracture event. The bottom flange in tension and the webs attached to that flange of the fractured girder are assumed to be fully fractured at the location of the maximum factored tensile stress in the bottom flange determined using Strength I load combination. The bridge deck is a vital link in the transfer of load from the fractured girder to the intact girder and the shear studs connecting the deck to the fractured girder must have sufficient tension capacity and the deck must have adequate shear and moment capacity.

In some cases, the results obtained from the simplified method may not provide the level of detail necessary to design for the redundancy of twin tub girder bridges. Hence, it may be necessary to carry out a refined structural analysis to account for the capacity of the intact girder as well as portions of the fractured girder that can still provide structural resistance, such as interior support locations.

Design Criteria

The 1.10 live load factor in Extreme Event III limit state in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD, Chapter 2 Section 1, is considered appropriate for determination of system redundancy as specified in Chapter 3 Section 17, in consideration of the very low probability of fracture of one steel tub girder in a twin tub-girder superstructure cross-section which has been designed for infinite fatigue life.

It is considered appropriate when evaluating system redundancy for the Extreme Event III limit state, as specified in TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD Chapter 3 Section 17, to restrict the number and width of design lane(s) to the actual number and width of striped traffic lane(s) on the bridge. If a future lane configuration is known at the time of design, the future lane configuration should also be considered when evaluating system redundancy. It is considered overly conservative to place additional live load in a striped shoulder to represent a parked or disabled vehicle when evaluating system redundancy.
Analysis

The criteria for a refined analysis used to demonstrate the presence of redundancy in the structure have not yet been codified in AASHTO. Chapter 3, Section 17 in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD provides a method to evaluate the system redundancy in spans of twin tub-girder cross-sections to allow for the designation of the bottom tension flanges and webs attached to those flanges in the span under consideration as SRMs (Structurally Redundant Members) rather than FCMs (Fracture Critical Members). Modeling the Response of Fracture Critical Steel Box-Girder Bridges, Barnard et al., Research Report 5498-1, 2010, demonstrated that spans with twin tub-girder cross-sections can possess adequate system redundancy to prevent collapse and carry a substantial live load in excess of HL-93. To evaluate the system redundancy for twin tub girders for the extreme event limit state, therefore, the loading cases to be studied, location of potential cracks, degree to which dynamic effects associated with a fracture are included in the analysis, and fineness of models and choice of element type structural analysis approach should all be agreed upon by TxDOT and the Engineer. The ability of a particular software product to adequately capture the complexity of the analysis should also be considered and the choice of software should be mutually agreed upon by TxDOT and the Engineer. Relief from the full factored loads associated with the Strength I Load Combination of appropriate load factors associated with Extreme Event III from the modified Table 3.4.1-1 in Chapter 2 Section 1 in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD should be considered, as should the number of, loaded width, and location of the design lanes versus the number of striped traffic lanes to be loaded.

One of the most accurate ways to assess the redundancy of complex systems, such as twin tub-girder systems, is through finite-element modeling (Samaras et al., 2012). Such models, however, require a substantial amount of time to develop and analyze. A simplified method for evaluating the system redundancy in spans of twin tub-girder bridges was developed on the basis of behavior observed during a series of full-scale tests.

Simplified Method:

Barnard et al. (2010) present a simplified method for analyzing twin tub girder bridges in the event of a fracture and which is permitted in Chapter 3 Section 17 of the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD for spans meeting a list of conditions. Appendix C: Steel Twin Tub Girder System Redundancy Simplified Method Guide presents guidance for performing the simplified method. For the simplified method redundancy evaluation, the bridge under consideration needs to satisfy three conditions:

1. Intact girder has adequate shear and moment capacity
2. Deck has adequate shear capacity
3. Shear studs have adequate tension capacity.

If the twin tub girder bridge satisfies the first two conditions: 1) the intact girder having adequate shear and moment capacity and 2) adequate shear capacity of the deck; but doesn’t satisfy 3) the condition of adequate tension capacity in the shear studs, then a more refined analysis can be used to evaluate the ability of the deck to transmit load to the intact girder without the shear studs connecting the deck to the fractured girder.
Also, if a bridge meeting these conditions does not satisfy the strength checks using the results from the simplified analysis method, either the designer can revise their design to satisfy the strength requirements or a three-dimensional finite element model can be developed to provide a more accurate estimate of the bridge performance in the event of fracture. Finite element modeling techniques for assessing system redundancy are also described in Barnard et al. (2010).

Live Load Truck Position:

Consistent with the experimental testing program described in Barnard et al. (2010), it is specified in Chapter 3 Section 17 of the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD that evaluations of bridge redundancy be performed for the case in which the truck or tandem portion of the HL-93 live load is positioned on the bridge deck in the striped traffic lane or lanes above the presumed fracture location so as to cause the most severe internal stresses to develop in the assumed intact girder. Thus, on an in-service bridge, this worst-case scenario would occur when the design truck was passing across the bridge at the location that induced the maximum internal bending moment at the same instant that a fracture event occurred at that same point.

Flexure:

The flexural resistance of the intact girder in regions of positive and negative flexure needs to be checked after the assumed fracture event to ensure that the girder can sustain the load transferred from the fractured girder in conjunction with the self-weight of the intact composite girder.

Shear:

The shear in the intact girder due to the torsion and vertical loads transferred from fractured girder should be included in the strength check. Results from the test program described in Barnard et al. (2010) on the full-scale test bridge indicated that the torsion introduced into the intact girder was nearly symmetrical, indicating that the torque was resisted equally at each end of the intact girder. Therefore, for simplicity, it was assumed that the intact girder had symmetrical torsional boundary conditions so that each end resisted one-half of the total applied torque. For the simplified method, boundary conditions would be idealized or approximated, so the research recommends symmetrical torsional boundary conditions. Due to the fracture location or other mitigating factors, this simplification may not be appropriate in all cases, and an engineer may have to approximate the boundary conditions for torsion or complete a more detailed analysis. The research presents equations for calculating torques for dead and live load. These equations were used to calculate the torques on the experimental tested bridge and compared them with torques computed using strain gauge data. The results showed that the proposed simplified method to compute the applied torque is conservative because it overestimated the applied torque. Furthermore, it is assumed that the live load and dead load is uniformly distributed.
Section 12 — System Redundancy Evaluation for Steel Twin Tub Girders

Chapter 3 — Superstructure Design Guidelines

Torsion:

The radius of curvature must be taken into account for the intact tub girder. A decrease in the radius of curvature increases the torsion on the bridge, which must be resisted by the intact girder in the event of a fracture of a critical tension flange. Under such conditions, the eccentricity should not be taken as the radial distance between the centerlines of the girders and the loads; it should be computed as the distance from the center of gravity of the loads to the line of the intact girder interior supports. The center of gravity for non-prismatic girders can be determined by using equations developed by Stith et al. (2010), modified for the case of tub girders.

This applied torque is resisted by a couple generated by the bearings of the two girders (i.e., bearing reactions). The reaction at the bearing of the fractured girder is equal to the torque applied to the intact girder divided by the distance between the bearings of the two girders. If two bearings per girder are used, the torque applied to the intact girder could be distributed to its two bearings.

Bridge Deck:

The bridge deck is a vital link in the transfer of load from the fractured girder to the intact girder. In lieu of using the provisions of <6.16.4.3> to design the shear connectors, the method suggested in Barnard et al. (2010) is considered to be an acceptable alternative.

External Diaphragms

External intermediate diaphragms or cross-frames can help in some instances to obtain a reasonably uniform concrete deck thickness during the deck placement by controlling the box-girder twist relative to the adjacent box girders. Helwig et al. (2007) and Helwig et al. (2004) have shown that 2 to 4 external intermediate diaphragms or cross-frames are typically sufficient for this purpose in horizontally curved box-girder spans.

The requirement to provide permanent external intermediate diaphragms in spans of twin tub-girder cross-sections designed for Extreme Event III limit state as specified in Chapter 3 Section 17 of the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD is intended to enhance system redundancy by providing additional load paths on each side of an assumed fracture location within the span under consideration. External intermediate bracing elements are sometimes removed after the deck placement for aesthetic purposes, but must permanently remain in the structure in this case to provide additional load paths in the event of a fracture.

System Redundant Members (SRMs)

For twin tub-girder superstructures evaluated in accordance with TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD Chapter 3 Section 17 and for other bridges where refined analysis has demonstrated that collapse would not occur following simulated failure of a member for which the redundancy is not known by engineering judgment, the members or portions should not be subjected to the hands-on in-service inspection requirements described in 23 CFR 650. FHWA (2012a) recommends identifying such members or portions as System Redundant Members (SRMs), and noting in the contract documents that SRMs are to be fabricated in accordance with Clause 12 of the AASHTO/AWS D1.5M/D1.5 Bridge Welding Code.
Resources


Helwig, T., J. Yura, R. Herman, E. Williamson, and D. Li. 2007. *Design Guidelines for Steel Trapezoidal Box Girder Systems*, FHWA/TX-07/0-4307-1. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, University of Texas, Austin, TX.

Helwig, T.A., R.S. Herman, and D. Li. 2004. *Behavior of Trapezoidal Box Girders with Skewed Supports*, 0-4148-1. University of Houston, Houston, TX.
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Section 1 — Overview

This section provides guidance and recommendations on Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) of specific bridge substructure components.
Section 2 — General Recommendations

Limit States

TxDOT recommends the following limit states for design of bridge system substructure components <Article 3.4.1>:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Limit State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Bent Caps</td>
<td>Strength I, Service I, and Service I with Dead Load only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns</td>
<td>Strength I, III, and V, Service I and IV, and Extreme II (for vehicle or vessel collision, when required)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corrosion Protection Measures

Refer to Chapter 3 for information on corrosion protection measures.
Section 3 — Abutments

Detailing

Consider using a construction joint in abutment caps when the length of cap exceeds 80 ± ft. Evaluation should be made on a per project basis. Locate the joint close to a dead load inflection point. The joint should clear the bearing seat by a minimum 3”.

Place dowels D at outside TX girders and X beams only. Phased construction may require additional dowels, and wide structures may require dowels to be moved to inside girders. When the distance between the centerlines of the outside girders exceeds 80 feet, move the dowels to an inside girder. Keep the distance between the dowels a maximum of 80 feet (+/-) apart. Use dowels D at abutments for single span TX girder and X beam bridges, however, do not use them at the ends of multi-span TX girder and X beam units.

Structural Analysis

Analysis of abutments is similar to rectangular bent caps. Refer to Section 4 for information on the structural analysis.
Section 4 — Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Caps

Materials

Class C concrete is preferred for caps with $f'_c = 3.6$ ksi. When using a higher concrete strength, use Class F, H, or K.

Geometric Constraints

Cap width should be a minimum 3 in. wider than the supporting columns to allow column reinforcing to extend into the cap without bending.

Structural Analysis

Apply dead load reactions due to slab and beam weight as point loads at centerline of beam. For all beams, except U-beams, distribute the weight of one railing, sidewalks, and/or medians to no more than three beams, applied to the composite cross section. For U-beams, distribute 2/3 the weight of one railing, sidewalks, and/or medians to a single U Beam and 1/3 to the adjacent beam, applied to the composite cross section. Considerations should be given to wide medians and sidewalks, where the weight may be distributed to more than the prescribed number of beams. Distribute dead loads due to overlay, when used, evenly to all beams.

If using CAP18, model the total live load reaction as two wheel loads, distributing the remainder of the live load over a 10-ft. design lane width. Carefully consider lane boundaries to produce the maximum force effect at various critical locations:

$$W = \frac{LL_{Rxn} - 2 \times P}{10 \text{ ft}}$$

Where:

- $W$ = The uniform load portion of the live load (kip/ft.).
- $LL_{Rxn} = $ Live load reaction/lane or $(LL_{Truck} \times 1.33) + LL_{Lane}$ (kip).
- $P = $ The load on one rear wheel of the HL-93 truck increased 33% for dynamic load allowance (kip). Typically, $P = 16k \times 1.33$. 


The following figure shows the recommended live load model:

![Figure 4-1: Recommended Live Load Model for CAP18](image)

**Design Criteria**

It is advisable to provide a design where all the tensile reinforcement yields at ultimate, which results in a ductile failure.

Bridge Division encourages the engineer to use <Appendix B5> General Procedure For Shear Design With Tables. Bridge Division discourages the use of <Article 5.7.3.4.1> Simplified Procedure for all designs and <Article 5.7.3.4.2> General Procedure for nonprestressed sections, as it results in a significantly overly conservative shear design. However, if the engineer selects to use the Simplified Procedure or <Article 5.7.3.4.2>, the engineer must ensure that the minimum stirrup spacing requirements are not violated. If the required stirrup spacing is less than the minimum allowed per AASHTO, re-evaluate using <Appendix B5> General Procedure For Shear Design With Tables, before increasing the dimensions of the cross section in an attempt to force the Simplified Procedure or <Article 5.7.3.4.2> to be sufficient.

**Detailing**

Consider using a construction joint in multicolumn bents when the distance between outside columns exceeds 80 ± ft. Evaluation should be made on a per project basis. Locate the joint close to a dead-load inflection point. The joint should clear the bearing seat by a minimum of 3”.

Typically, the minimum number of flexural bars is four top and bottom, and the maximum number in a layer is limited by a 3-in. clear-spacing requirement to facilitate concrete placement and vibration. A second layer may be placed 4 in. or greater on center below or above the outside layer. A third layer should be used only in very deep caps. A horizontal tie bar tied to the vertical stirrup legs should support second and third layers. In heavily reinforced caps, bundling bars in two-bar bundles may be used to maintain necessary clear spacing. Layered and bundling bars should comply with <Chapter 5>.

Bars longer than 60 ft. require laps. Try to locate these laps in compression or very low tension zones. Base lap lengths on tension lap requirements <Article 5.10.8.4.3>. Consider staggering or alternating laps in adjacent bars to minimize congestion. Mechanical couplers or welded splices may be specified for phased construction.
Lap lengths for bundles bars or bars spaced closely in either direction may need a longer development length than shown in the Bridge Detailing Guide; see <Article 5.10.8.2.3> for modifications for bundled bars, <Article 5.10.8.4.2a> for how to treat the lap of individual bars in a bundle, and <Article 5.10.8.1c> for the calculation of $\lambda_{rc}$.

Bars with yield strengths above 60 ksi will need lap lengths longer than shown in the Bridge Detailing Guide; see <Article 5.10.8.2.1> for the tension development length and <Article 5.10.8.4> for lap length requirements.

Many cantilevers are too short to allow full development length for the #11 Grade 60 top reinforcement. However, for TxGirder superstructures, the reaction from the outside beam provides a clamping effect and a bar extension of 15 in. beyond the center of the beam will develop the bar.

For most conventional caps, use #5 stirrups with a 4-in. minimum and 12-in. maximum spacing. Double stirrups may be required close to column faces. For large heavily reinforced caps, use #6 stirrups.

Pay attention to the bearing seat build-up for prestressed beam spans. Extreme grades and skews can produce conflicts between the bearing seat or bent cap and the beams or bearings of adjacent spans. The bearing seats need to be shown properly on the bent details. The Bridge Detailing Guide shows typical bearing seat configurations. Bearing seat build-ups taller than 3 in. require reinforcement, which should be shown on the detail.

Place dowels D at outside TX girders and X beams only. Phased construction may require additional dowels, and wide structures may require dowels to be moved to inside girders. When the distance between the centerlines of the outside girders exceeds 80 feet, move the dowels to an inside girder. Keep the distance between the dowels a maximum of 80 ± feet apart. Use Dowels D at the ends of TX girder and X beams single spans, but do not use them at the ends of multi-span units.
Section 5 — Inverted Tee Reinforced Concrete Caps

Materials

Class C concrete is preferred for caps with $f'_c = 3.6$ ksi. When using a higher concrete strength, use Class F, H, or K.

When calculating spacing requirements per Article 5.10.3.1 use the absolute maximum aggregate size, the smallest sieve with 100% passing, not the maximum nominal size of the aggregate per Item 421, “Hydraulic Cement Concrete”.

If smaller reinforcing spacing is required, limit the sizes of aggregate in the material notes on the plan sheet. Contact Bridge Division for guidance.

Geometric Constraints

Stem width should be at least 3 in. wider than the supporting columns to allow column reinforcing to extend into the cap without bending.

Use a stem height in whole inch increments.

Verify the ledge width provides sufficient development length for the ledge reinforcing as shown in Figure 4-2.

![Figure 4-2: Ledge Width](image-url)
Extend caps at least 2.5 ft. past centerline of the exterior beam to prevent excessive hanger and ledge reinforcing requirements and to provide adequate punching shear capacity. For skewed bridges or phased designs, consider punching shear capacity for the exterior beams; a cap extension of 2.5 ft. may not be adequate.

**Structural Analysis**

Consider torsion in bents due to the bearings being relatively far from the center of the cap and where there is a considerable difference in adjacent span lengths or beam spacing.

Apply dead load reactions due to slab and beam weight as point loads at centerline of beam. For all beams, except U-beams, distribute the weight of one railing, sidewalks, and/or medians to no more than three beams, applied to the composite cross section. For U-beams, distribute 2/3 the weight of one railing, sidewalks, and/or medians to a single U-beam and 1/3 to the adjacent beam, applied to the composite cross section. Considerations should be given to wide medians and sidewalks, where the weight may be distributed to more than the prescribed number of beams. Distribute dead loads due to overlay evenly to all beams.

If using CAP18, model the total live load reaction as two wheel loads, distributing the remainder of the live load over a 10-ft. design lane width. Carefully consider lane boundaries to produce the maximum force effect at various critical locations.

\[
W = \frac{LL_{Rx} - 2 \times P}{10 \text{ ft}}
\]

Where:

- \(W\) = The uniform load portion of the live load (kip/ft.).
- \(LL_{Rx}\) = Live load reaction/lane or \((LL_{Truck} \times 1.33) + LL_{Lane}\) (kip).
- \(P\) = The load on one rear wheel of the HL-93 truck increased 33% for dynamic load allowance (kip). Typically, \(P = 16k \times 1.33\).

The following figure shows the recommended live load model:

![Figure 4-3: Recommended Live Load Model for CAP18](image-url)
Limitations to the construction sequence should be shown on the plans. In some instances the unbalanced dead load during construction could cause the controlling torsional force in the cap and on the cap to column connection.

**Design Criteria**

It is advisable to provide a design where all tensile reinforcement yields at ultimate, which results in a ductile failure.

Longitudinal reinforcing check must pass for all inverted tees. Beam loads on an inverted tee are applied at the bottom of the section; they do not provide the clamping effect that is exhibited with beam loads applied to the top of a rectangular cap.

Bridge Division encourages the engineer to use <Appendix B5> General Procedure For Shear Design With Tables. Bridge Division discourages the use of <Article 5.7.3.4.1> Simplified Procedure for all designs and <Article 5.7.3.4.2> General Procedure for nonprestressed sections, as it results in a significantly overly conservative shear design. However, if the engineer selects to use the Simplified Procedure or <Article 5.7.3.4.2>, the engineer must ensure that the minimum stirrup spacing requirements are not violated. If the required stirrup spacing is less than the minimum allowed per AASHTO, re-evaluate using <Appendix B5> General Procedure For Shear Design With Tables, before increasing the dimensions of the cross section in an attempt to force the Simplified Procedure or <Article 5.7.3.4.2> to be sufficient.

Inverted tee caps are usually deeper than 3 feet, provide beam side reinforcing according to <Equation 5.6.7-3>.

Ledge reinforcing is determined by <Article 5.8.4.3> and the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD.

Size web reinforcing for hanger loads, vertical shear, and vertical shear/torsion when applicable.

**Detailing**

Consider using a construction joint in multicolumn bents when the distance between outside columns exceeds 80 ± ft. Evaluation should be made on a per project basis. Locate the joint close to a dead-load inflection point. The joint should clear the bearing seat by a minimum of 3”.

The maximum number of main flexural reinforcement in a layer is limited by a 3-in. clear-spacing requirement to facilitate concrete placement and vibration. A second layer may be placed 4 in. or greater on center below or above the outside layer. A third layer should be used only in very deep caps. A horizontal tie bar tied to the vertical stirrup legs should support second and third layers. In heavily reinforced caps, bundling bars in two-bar bundles may be used to maintain necessary clear spacing. Layered and bundling bars should comply with <Article 5.10.3.1>.

Bars longer than 60 ft. require laps. Try to locate these laps in compression or very low tension zones. Base lap lengths on tension lap requirements <Article 5.10.8.4.3>. Consider staggering or alternating laps in adjacent bars to minimize congestion. Mechanical couplers or welded splices may be specified for phased construction.
Lap lengths for bundled bars or bars spaced closely in either direction may need a longer development length than shown in the Bridge Detailing Guide; see Article 5.10.8.2.3 for modifications for bundled bars, Article 5.10.8.4.2a for how to treat the lap of individual bars in a bundle, and Article 5.10.8.2.1c for the calculation of $\lambda_{rc}$.

Bars with yield strengths above 60 ksi will need lap lengths longer than shown in the Bridge Detailing Guide; see Article 5.10.8.2.1 for the tension development length and Article 5.10.8.4 for lap length requirements.

Cap hanger and ledge reinforcement may be aligned to match the cap skew when simpler detailing can be achieved as shown in Figure 4-4. For larger skewed caps, this detail is preferred rather than transitioning normally oriented hanger and ledge reinforcement by fanning the reinforcement at the cap ends when a skewed end detail is needed as shown in Figure 4-5.

![Figure 4-4: Skewed Reinforcing](image)

![Figure 4-5: Flared Reinforcing](image)

For caps required to be investigated for torsion according to Article 5.7.2.1, detail stirrup reinforcing according to Article 5.10.8.2.6d including providing the 135-degree standard hooks.

Show bearing seats on the bent details. Detail in accordance with the Bridge Detailing Guide which shows typical bearing seat configurations. Bearing seat build-ups taller than 3 in. require reinforcement, which should be shown on the detail.

Place dowels D at outside TX girders and X beams only. Phased construction may require additional dowels, and wide structures may require dowels to be moved to inside girders. When the distance between the centerlines of the outside girders exceeds 80 feet, move
the dowels to an inside girder. Keep the distance between the dowels a maximum of 80 ± feet apart. Use Dowels D at the ends of TX girder and X beams single spans, do not use them at the ends of units. For inverted tee bent caps with two expansion joints, slab dowels are used to provide continuity between the inverted tee cap and the slab. See the Miscellaneous Slab Details for I-Girders (IGMS), steel girders (SGMS), and U-beams (UBMS) standard details for more information.

For inverted tee bent caps with U-beams, with construction joints at the deck slab and inverted T stem interface, slab dowels are used to provide lateral restraint. These dowels are located at the top of the inverted tee stem and are in a slotted pipe to allow for expansion and contraction of the unit. Additional lateral restraint will be needed for bridges over waterways for the limits provided in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual – LRFD. See the UBMS standard details for more information.

See Figure 4-6 for end of cap reinforcing and the Bridge Detailing Guide for additional information.

![Figure 4-6: End of Cap Reinforcing](image-url)
Section 6 — Substructure Phasing Guidance

Phased Construction Recommendations

Do not use standard abutment, bent, or trestle detail sheets for phased structures.

Geometric Constraints

In most cases, the phase line in an abutment or interior bent will be offset from the phase line for the slab. The phase line should not be under a beam or within a bearing seat. Extend the abutment or interior bent past the slab phase line in order to provide support for the beam or girder. Preferably, the phase line should be a minimum of 3 inches from the bearing seat or edge of beam, whichever is greater.

When phasing an abutment or an interior bent, consider providing enough space between the existing structure and the new construction to accommodate splicing of the reinforcement and formwork. Consider how the next phase of construction will be impacted by the placement of phase lines and reinforcement that extends beyond the phase line. Drilled shaft or pile for the next phase may lie within the length necessary for splicing. Avoid having splices that overlap drilled shaft or pile locations in order to facilitate construction.

If unable to provide enough room to splice the reinforcement through traditional lapping, use welded splices or mechanical couplers. In some cases, a combination of couplers/welded splices and traditional lapping may be utilized for elements with varying bar sizes. Extend reinforcement that will be spliced by welds or mechanical couplers beyond the end of the cap by at least 1-foot.

As an alternative to splicing or welding the reinforcement, a full depth joint may be used at the phase line. For abutments, if a full depth joint is used, limit the space between abutments to 1-inch. Use bituminous fiber to fill the gap between the phases. Use a PVC waterstop across the space along the full height of the cap and backwall.

For bent caps, the full depth open joint at the phase line should be at least 1-foot wide to allow for forming of the adjacent phases. Individual bent caps would support each phase.

When selecting column or drilled shaft/pile spacing, try to keep the distance from face of column or drilled shaft/pile to the phase line between 0.5 and 4 feet. Overhangs greater than 4 feet can result in high negative moments and permanent deflection of the overhang under loading. The construction of additional phases will not remove this deflection.

Phased construction of abutments or bents may require that columns or drilled shafts be spaced at irregular intervals.
Offset old bent lines and new bents by at least 5 feet, if possible, to keep from fouling foundations on the existing structure. Pay attention when battered piling is shown on either existing or new construction. Also, investigate potential battered pile conflicts with wingwall foundations when abutments are heavily skewed.

**Structural Analysis**

When designing bents and abutments to be continuous after phasing, consider all stages of construction (including temporary loads) and the final configuration. Select flexural and shear reinforcement so that loading in all phases can be supported.

Design bents and abutments that have full depth joints at the phase line as individual components.

**Detailing**

Phased construction may require additional dowels, and wide structures may require dowels to be moved to inside girders. See the guidelines for abutments, interior bents, and inverted tees for maximum recommended space between dowels. If a full depth joint is used between the phases, each component may require a dowel.
Section 7 — Lateral Restraint for Bridge Superstructures

General

Lateral movement of superstructures can occur on water crossings due to flooding events and on grade separations due to cross slope with certain beam types. Provide effective lateral restraint in the form of shear keys as described in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual – LRFD.

Bridges Crossing Water Features

The design criteria for bridges over water crossings provide an economical way to prevent the beams from separating from the substructure during a major storm or flood event.

I-Girder Bridges

Refer to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD and Bridge Standard Shear Key Details for I-Girders (IGSK) for design and detailing information.

U-beam Bridges

Grade Separations:

Shear keys are required on abutments and bent caps when the roadway has a single direction cross-slope because U-beams are placed in a rotated position to match the roadway cross-slope; as such, the center of gravity of the beam is not coincident with the center of the bearing pad, and the beam has the potential to slide downhill with resistance provided by the shear resistance of the elastomeric pads. When the roadway cross-slope is crowned, beams on opposite sides of the crown will provide opposing forces, thus limiting lateral movement of the superstructure. When the roadway cross-slope is single-direction, the superstructure is not self-restrained and shear keys are needed to limit lateral movement.

Crossing Water Features:

Refer to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD and Appendix B of this Guide for more information.
Spread Slab Beam and Spread Box Beam (X-beam) Bridges

Grade Separations:

Shear keys are required on bent and abutment caps of X-beams because X-beams are placed in rotated position to match the roadway cross-slope; as such, the center of gravity of the beam is not coincident with the center of the bearing pad, and the beam will slide downhill. When the roadway cross-slope is crowned, beams on opposite sides of the crown will provide opposing forces, thus limiting lateral movement of the superstructure. When the roadway cross-slope is single-direction, the superstructure is not self-restrained and shear keys are needed to limit lateral movement.

Crossing Water Features:

Refer to the TxDOT Bridge Standard Shear Key Details for X-Beams (XBSK) for design and detailing information. Contact TxDOT Bridge Division for guidance on shear key details for spread slab beam bridges.

Slab Beam, Box Beam, and Decked Slab Beam Bridges

Additional measures of lateral restraint are not required for slab beam, box beam, and decked slab beam structures because the earwalls on the abutments and bents are considered to be adequate for preventing transverse movement.

Steel Beam or Girder Bridges

For additional considerations and guidance, refer to the TxDOT Preferred Practices for Steel Bridge Design, Fabrication, and Erection.
Section 8 — Columns for Multi-Column Bents

Structural Analysis

For typical bridges only:

♦ For analysis, the designer should consider predicted scour when determining column heights.

♦ Moments can be magnified to account for slenderness (P-delta) effects by using Article 5.6.4.3 or other analytical methods. Article 5.6.4.3 is typically considered highly conservative.

♦ Column size may change within the bent height, producing a multi-tiered bent. Consider multi-columns bent tiers with web walls to be braced in the transverse direction. Column capacity in the longitudinal direction is not affected by the web wall.

♦ For multi-tier bents with square or round columns separated by tie beams, analyze as a frame, and magnify transverse and longitudinal moments separately.

♦ Design and model single-tier bent columns without a tie beam or web wall as individual columns with bottom conditions fixed against rotation and deflection at the location of fixity. The top-of-column should be modeled consistent with the detailing of the cap to column connection.

♦ In most cases, it can be assumed when determining fixity conditions for loads that columns on single drilled shafts are fixed at three shaft diameters but not less than 10 ft. below the top of the shaft. This should always be reviewed by a Geotechnical Engineer to evaluate and determine the fixity condition.

♦ Refine designs by limiting longitudinal deflections to the maximum movement allowed due to joint closure.

Guidance

For typical bridges only:

♦ Round columns are preferred for multicolumn bents with rectangular caps and are used for most structures. See the TxDOT Bridge Detailing Guide for available column size, typical reinforcement, and recommended height limits. Round columns with diameters less than 36 in. are unable to provide sufficient structural resistance when subjected to collision loads. See the Bent (Pier) Protection Guide for additional guidance.

♦ Square or rectangular columns are occasionally used for aesthetic enhancement of a structure.

♦ Refer to TxDOT Bridge Detailing Guide for guidance on reinforcement in square columns.
♦ Refer to the TxDOT Bridge Detailing Guide for desirable column-to-tie-beam connection details.
Section 9 — Columns for Single Column Bents

Structural Analysis

For typical bridges only:

♦ Longitudinal and transverse moments can be magnified separately for P-delta effects using the method in <Article 4.5.3.2.2> or with a second order analysis computer program.

♦ Effective length factor may be taken as 2.0 in both directions unless restraints provided by the superstructure sufficiently limit secondary moments.

♦ Refine designs by limiting longitudinal deflections to the maximum movement allowed due to joint closure.

Guidance

See the Bent (Pier) Protection Guide for additional guidance on designing for vehicular collision.
Chapter 5 —
Other Design Guidance
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Section 1 — Bridge Widening Guidance

General Recommendations

Bridge widenings involve added horizontal width to accommodate such items as widened shoulders, additional lanes, and pedestrian sidewalks. Before proceeding with widening, consult the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD for basic requirements. Evaluate a range of geometric aspects when developing a bridge widening including:

♦ Vertical clearance in the existing and widened condition;
♦ Relative horizontal clearances to lower roadways or reconfigured roadways;
♦ Maintain bridge skew, if possible;
♦ In stream crossings, consider relative stream geometry with widened bridge; and
♦ Plan and elevation information based on existing plans may not reflect in-situ conditions. Provide surveyed information where possible and ensure plans require contractor verification in terms of notes that require “Field verify existing dimensions and elevations prior to ordering materials and beginning work”.

Widenings may involve bridge superstructure types different than the original construction. Consult original as-built plans for such items as bearing conditions, expansion joint conditions, continuous beams, and lateral restraint that might influence design and details for the widened condition. Match the expansion joint system of the existing structure unless it has been retrofit with a header joint. Evaluate condition of existing joint and replace full width if damage exists. Strip seals in SEJ’s should be replaced over the full combined width. Widen existing approach slabs with approach slabs that meet the current standard thickness and design.

Superstructure Recommendations:

Superstructures that involve a cast-in-place composite bridge deck in the existing and widened construction should have deck details that preferably:

♦ Utilize the modern standard deck thickness in the new construction regardless of the thickness of the existing bridge deck.
♦ Examine the load carrying capacity of existing exterior beam in the proposed condition as a factor in determining location of proposed beams.
♦ Locate slab breakback lines at the centerline or towards the outside of the centerline of existing beam to ensure an appropriate level of composite action is retained in the broken back condition.
♦ Clean and extend existing transverse steel full length and lap with transverse steel in the widening. Use grade 60 reinforcing. Do not widen a traditionally designed deck with an empirically designed deck.
♦ Allow a thickened end slab (if applicable) in the widened construction regardless of original diaphragm details.
♦ Evaluate bedding and strand extension for prestressed concrete panels used in the first beam bay adjacent to the existing. If insufficient room exists, restrict the use of panels. Timber or permanent metal deck forms may be used as forming in this bay.

The following describes common existing Texas bridge and suggested superstructure types and design/detail considerations for the widening.

Cast-in-Place Slab Spans

Widen cast-in-place slab spans with cast-in-place slab spans. Skewed slabs with the main reinforcing perpendicular to the bents will be weak if the edge beam is removed under traffic. Shore the edge under this condition. Alternatively, keep the curb in place and grout dowels into the existing slab edge and then place the widening with reinforcing parallel to the centerline of the roadway. Remove the curbs after the new slab has cured.

Widening of Flat slab (FS) Bridges is not recommended. FS Bridges should be replaced. FS Bridges have a structural curb acting as an edge beam that cannot be removed without shoring the span.

Figure 5-1: Cast-in–Place Slab Span Widening Examples
Cast-in-Place Concrete Girders

Concrete girder spans can be widened with concrete girders, pretensioned I-girders, or pretensioned box beams. Pretensioned beams are recommended. Box or slab beams may be used if depth is an issue.

Figure 5-2: Concrete Girder Span Widening with TxGirder Example

Figure 5-3: Concrete Girder Span Widening with Slab Beam Example
Pan Form Girders

Pan form girders can be widened with pan forms, prestressed box beams, or prestressed slab beams.

Figure 5-4: Pan Form Girder Widening with Pan Form Example

Figure 5-5: Pan Form Girder Widening with Slab Beam Example
Steel I-Beam

Steel I-beam spans can be widened with prestressed TxGirders or steel I beams. Steel I beams may be used if depth, framing, or aesthetics is an issue.

Continuous Steel I Beam

Continuous steel I beam units can be widened with prestressed beams or steel I beams. Simple-span prestressed girders with the slab continuous are commonly used. The slab should have traditional reinforcing and be tied to the existing slab.

Cantilever/Drop-In Steel I Beam

Cantilever/drop-in steel I beam units can be widened with prestressed concrete girders or continuous steel I beams. Simple-span prestressed beams with expansion joints over the bents connected by longitudinal open joints to the existing expansion joint at the notches are commonly used. Other solutions that get rid of the longitudinal joint are recommended.

Continuous Steel Plate Girders

Continuous steel plate girder units can be widened with continuous steel plate girders or with prestressed beams if the span is 150 ft. or less.
Pretensioned concrete I beams and I girders should be widened effectively in kind with I-girders (TxGirders).

Figure 5-7: Pretensioned Concrete I Beam Widening with Pretensioned Concrete TxGirder Example

Figure 5-8: Pretensioned Concrete TxGirder Widening with Pretensioned Concrete TxGirder Example
Prestressed Concrete Box Beams

Prestressed concrete box beam spans and units should be widened in kind. Existing box beams prior to the mid-1980’s had specific details that showed the cast-in-place end diaphragms partially integral with the substructure. In addition, existing box beam bridges may have no composite concrete slab and only overlay. Evaluate the condition of these bridges carefully to determine if widening is feasible. Consult with Bridge Division for guidance on preferred details.

Prestressed Concrete Slab Beams

Prestressed concrete slab beam spans and units should be widened in kind. Various breakback and doweling details have been applied, and the designer should consider the limited slab thickness and damage potential in removal operations. No clear preferred method presently exists from these options.

Figure 5-9: Pretensioned Concrete Slab Beam Widening in Kind – Deck Connection Option 1
Figure 5-10: Pretensioned Concrete Slab Beam Widening in Kind – Deck Connection Option 2

1. Remove existing bridge rail.
2. Breakback existing slab to facilitate an overlapping slab connection between and widened construction and widened construction. Take care to avoid damage to existing pretensioned slab beam to remain. Clean and extend existing transverse reinforcing into new construction. Existing longitudinal steel may be replaced with new bars T.
3. Provide #5 dowel bars x 3'-6" length spaced at 12" max longitudinally. Drill and epoxy into existing slab a minimum of 1'-9" in accordance with item 422.4.6.10. Install with 3" clear cover.

Figure 5-11: Pretensioned Concrete Slab Beam Widening in Kind – Deck Connection Option 3

1. Remove existing bridge rail.
2. Provide #5 dowel bars x 3'-6" length spaced at 12" max longitudinally. Drill and epoxy into existing slab a minimum of 1'-9" in accordance with item 422.4.6.10. Install with 3" clear cover.
Substructure Recommendations:

Foundations of widened bridge sections can be of different type than the existing foundations. Design foundations to the same depth or deeper than the existing foundation. Consider minimum clearances for pile driving leads or drilled shaft equipment. Provide a minimum clearance between the new foundation and the existing foundation of two times the least dimension of the existing/proposed foundation. If a foundation element is outside of the bounds of supporting the substructure due to adherence to the minimum clearance requirements, a new foundation may be installed closer, provided the following is evaluated:

♦ Group effect interaction of the existing foundations and the new foundations
♦ Load carrying capacity of existing foundation while it remains in service during installation of adjacent foundation

If the immediate adjacent substructure of a widened bridge section employs a tie beam or web wall, incorporate a tie beam or web wall into the substructure widening, unless the existing bridge inspection report indicates that these components will cause measurable negative impacts to scour, debris accumulation, or excess stream force.

Abutment Widenings:

♦ Connect using a bent cap shear connection consisting of 4 ~ #6 dowels minimum using an epoxy post-installed connection, or larger reinforcing bar as necessary.
♦ If there is an existing backwall and if the bearing area is sufficient for the new superstructure, match the face of the existing backwall and connect by breaking back and providing a minimum splice length of horizontal steel.

Interior Bent Widenings:

Interior bent widenings may consist of one of the following:

♦ Independent non-connected bents with two or more supporting columns, conforming to the criteria of Chapter 4 Section 8.
♦ Independent non-connected bents with one supporting columns, conforming to the criteria of Chapter 4 Section 9.
♦ A connected bent with one or more columns using a bent cap shear connection consisting of 4 ~ #6 dowels minimum using an epoxy post-installed connection, or larger reinforcing bar as necessary.
♦ A connected bent with one or more columns using a bent cap shear and moment connection with mechanically coupled longitudinal reinforcing. Verify that localized removal of end concrete in the existing bent cap does not compromise the structural resistance of the existing bent cap relative to existing structural loads (dead and live loads).
Figure 5-12: Abutment Widening Example

1. Drill and epoxy Dowels Q 1'-6" minimum into existing structure in accordance with Item 422.4.7.10.
2. Clean and extend existing backwall horizontal reinforcing into widened backwall and lap 1'-7" min with existing reinforcing.
Figure 5-9: Bent Widening Example
Section 2 — Steel-Reinforced Elastomeric Bearings for Pretensioned Concrete Beams

Geometric Constraints

Rectangular pads are preferred over round pads, which makes it harder to satisfy rotation requirements.

Structural Analysis

Expanding length of prestressed concrete beam units can be taken as ½ total unit length. For highly skewed bridges and very wide bridges, take expanding length on a diagonal between slab corners to obtain the most unfavorable expansion length.

Design Criteria

For Design Method A in Article 14.7.6, shape factor S is preferred to be between 10 and 12.
Section 3 — Approach Slabs

Geometric Constraints

Supporting an approach slab on wing walls is strongly discouraged. Compaction of backfill is difficult and loss of backfill material can occur. Without the bearing on the backfill, the approach slab is supported on only three sides (at the two wing walls and the abutment backwall), and the standard approach slab is not reinforced for this situation nor are the wing walls designed to carry the load. The approach slab should be supported by the abutment wall and approach backfill only, and appropriate backfill material is essential. TxDOT supports the placement of a cement-stabilized abutment backfill (CSAB) wedge in the zone behind the abutment. CSAB solves the problem of difficult compaction behind the abutment, and it resists the moisture gain and loss of material common under approach slabs.

Beginning of the Bridge Bump

There are many mechanisms that cause the bump at the beginning of bridges. The main reasons can be caused by the following:

- Consolidation settlement of foundation soil
- Poor compaction and consolidation of backfill material
- Poor drainage and soil erosion
- Traffic volume

Mitigation Techniques

Mitigation techniques to remove or lessen the bump at the beginning of the bridge for bridge approaches are:

- Improvement of the embankment foundation soil – if the foundation soils are too weak to support the embankment, they can be improved by:
  - Excavation and replacement
  - Preloading/precompression
  - Dynamic Compaction
  - Stone Columns, compaction piles, auger cast piles, deep soil mixing
♦ Improvement of Approach Embankment/Backfill Material

- Use high quality fill – limit the percentage of fines and ensure that the material is properly compacted. Compaction of fill adjacent to the back wall and wingwalls can be problematic.

- Use cement stabilized abutment backfill (CSAB) or flowable fill – this solves the compaction problems and is resistant to moisture gain and loss of material.

♦ Effective Drainage and Erosion Control Methods

- Design for drainage of the bridge and where it is going once off the bridge. Avoid having water run over expansion joints particularly at abutments. Strategically placed deck drains can solve issues with water flowing under approach slabs.

- Use surface drains and/or gutter system.

- Reduce the amount of fines in the backfill and use a more porous material or CSAB

♦ Design of approach slab (more information below)

**Approach Slab Use**

The positive aspects of using approach slabs are as follows:

- Provides a smooth transition between the bridge deck and the roadway pavement.

- Minimizes the effect of differential settlements between the bridge abutment founded on shafts or piles and the embankment fill. An approach slab is designed to span across a section that is approximately half of its length without any problems. Consequently, they do a good job of mitigating issues with settlement behind the abutment backwall.

- Approach slabs are relatively economical compared to other options (i.e. pile supported embankment, grouted columns, etc.) and are a natural extension of the bridge.

- Approach slabs also decrease the live load effect on the abutment backwall, which decreases the lateral load and overturning of the abutment cap.

- Approach slabs, when properly placed, provide a seal to prevent water from seeping into the soil behind the abutment backwall and in front of the wingwall. Sealing the gap between the approach slab and the wingwalls is very important.

- Districts that have not used approach slabs have found that the maintenance required to minimize the bump at the end of the bridge is very high. This is especially true in swelling clays, where during the dry times the clay shrinks so additional asphalt is placed and then during wet times the clay swells so the asphalt has to be milled off. This can become bothersome after a couple of wet/dry cycles.
The negative aspects of using approach slabs are as follows:

♦ Voids can develop under the approach slabs. The size of the void depends upon what is the cause of it. For example, most approach slabs have a small gap (<1 inch) between the approach slab and the soil immediately behind the abutment backwall, which is due to the settlement of the soil. This gap is not a problem, as the approach slab is designed to span over sections like this. However, if there is considerable settlement or water erosion behind the abutment backwall, the void can be fairly significant in size and if left unfilled could potentially grow in size. This would need to be filled in with flowable backfill. (It should be noted that in the event of considerable settlement or erosion due to water seepage behind the backwall, if the approach slab wasn’t present there would considerable and constant maintenance required to maintain a nearly constant grade for the roadway.)

♦ If the entire embankment settles then the approach slab can become tilted. The portion of the approach slab that is connected to the backwall would remain fixed but the end away from the backwall would settle. This would create a bump at the end of the approach slab. This would also be problematic for roadway/bridges without an approach slab.
Section 4 — Strut-and-Tie Method

Structural Analysis

Place nodes at applied loads and reactions. More nodes can be added as long as the tension ties are located where reinforcement is normally placed. In general, nodes need to be located at the center of the tension ties and compression struts. If there is sufficient concrete in the connected member the strut can be considered within both members, such as in the case where a column connects to a footing; the nodes can be placed where the two members meet. If the nodes are placed where the two members meet, use the lower concrete strength of the two members for strut checks.

A 3-dimensional truss can be broken into multiple 2-dimensional trusses to design tension ties. When analyzing the 2-dimensional trusses, use the same reactions as the 3-dimensional truss, but recalculate the applied loads so equilibrium is satisfied. Use a 3-dimensional truss to verify the strut-to-tie angles satisfy the geometric limitations in Article 5.8.2.2 and to check the strut-to-node interface stresses.

Guidelines

The tension tie reinforcement must be close enough to the drilled shaft to be considered in the truss analysis. Therefore, the tension tie reinforcement must be within a 45-degree distribution angle (i.e. no more than $d_c$ away from the member on either side).

Use strut bearing lengths proportional to the amount of load carried by the strut at a node Figure C5.8.2.2-4).

Conservatively assume the width of a strut in a CCC node, $h_s$, as the height of the compression block as a starting point. Adjust $h_s$ as needed as long as the truss model geometry is modified to place the strut at the midpoint of $h_s$. 
Section 5 — Expansion Joints

Material

See Item 454, “Bridge Expansion Joints” for material requirements.

Aluminum joint components are discouraged.

Geometric Constraints

Bridge deck continuity by providing multi-span units is recommended in order to minimize the number of joints. Unit lengths of up to 350 feet are not uncommon. All expansion joints in de-icing zones should be sealed or drained. Stream crossing structures may have open joints in the salt-free zones. Joints for all grade separation structures should be sealed.

Design Criteria

Thermal Expansion:

The total movement required through a bridge deck expansion joint may be based on a temperature range of 10 to 110 degrees for concrete bridges and 0 to 120 degrees for steel bridges. Alternatively, the temperature contour maps in *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, Article 3.12.2 may be used.

The expansion length considered for sizing a joint can be assumed as one-half the unit length on one side of the joint plus one-half the unit length on the other side of the joint.

Use coefficients of expansion equal to 6.0x10-6 for concrete and 6.5x10-6 for steel.

When placed on a skew, sealed expansion joints (SEJ) have a reduced ability to accommodate longitudinal movement. Calculate reduced movement range by multiplying joint size by cosine (skew).

When integral or semi-integral bents are used, give consideration to the effect column stiffness has on the distribution of thermal movement.

Available Joints for New Construction:

*Pourable Seal (TYPE A):*

Preferred joint for low traffic volume off-system structure

Used for spans or units no longer than 100 feet.

*Open Armor Joint (ARMOR JOINT):*

Not allowed on CIP slab spans, in de-icing zones, grade separations, or over steel beams

Min opening = 0 in.

Max opening = 2 in.

Total movement = 2 in.
Pourable Seal (Sealed Armor Joint (ARMOR JOINT)(SEALED)):

- Min opening = 0.75 in.
- Max opening = 2.25 in.
- Total movement = 1.5 in.

Sealed Expansion Joint (SEJ):

Mechanically Bonded (SEJ-M and SEJ-S(O)): –
- Preferred joint for freight corridors or other high truck volume roadways
  - SEJ-M - No overlay
  - SEJ-S(O) – With ±2” overlay
- Minimum slab and overhang thickness = 6.5 in.

Available in two sizes:
- 4-in.
  - Min opening = 0 in.
  - Max opening = 4 in.
  - Total movement = 4 in.
- 5-in.
  - Min opening = 0 in.
  - Max opening = 5 in.
  - Total movement = 5 in.

Bonded Strip Seal (SEJ-B) –

- No overlay
- Minimum slab and overhang thickness = 6.5 in.

Available in one size:
- 4-in.
  - Min opening = 0 in.
  - Max opening = 4 in.
  - Total movement = 4 in.

Adjacent box beam and slab beams have 5” slab thickness. These beams have a required block out in the beam that allows an increased slab thickness for the AJ and SEJ joints.

Header Type Joints with Seal:

If there is a concern with snow plows or deicing, consider selecting an unarmored concrete header with seal, instead of an AJ or SEJ.

Consider only using when there is a thickened slab end. If there is no thickened slab end, give consideration to the impact the encroachment of the header material has on the bridge slab. Alternately, use with ACP overlay to reduce the encroachment.

Set joint opening based on design requirements with the following limits:

- Minimum joint opening = 0.5 in.
- Maximum joint opening = 3 in.
The joint seal can accommodate +/- 50% movement. The joint opening shown on the plans at 70 degrees typically should not be less than 1-in. or greater than 2-inches. The minimum joint opening is to keep the sealant from squeezing upward and being abraded. The maximum joint opening is to limit the actual width to reduce the potential for impact loading at the span end, which could cause the header to fail.

**Finger Joint and Modular Bridge Joint Systems (MBJS):**

For expansion movements greater than 5 in., Finger Joints or Modular Joints may be used. These systems tend to be high maintenance. Consult with the Bridge Division on the use of these joint types.

**Detailing**

Expansion joints are predesigned and shown on standard drawings except for Finger Joints and Modular Bridge Joint Systems (MBJS), which require project specific details. Additional or supplemental joint details may be required for widenings or bridges constructed in phases.

For projects with inverted tee bent caps use two joints (one at each face of the inverted tee stem).
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Section 1 — Overview

This Chapter captures some of the most frequently asked questions.
Section 2 — FAQ’s

Why is Texas disregarding the requirement for braking force specified for 25 percent of the combined axle loads?

The braking force in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications is significantly larger than what was specified in the AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges. Based on the performance of our standard columns, our historic treatment of braking force was deemed adequate.

Why is the minimum spacing for reinforcement in conventional rectangular caps set at 4 inches instead of 3 inches?

The minimum is set at 4 inches for vertical space between rows and 3 inches for horizontal space. This allows for adequate consolidation of concrete.

How do standard bents have sufficient development length without hooking the longitudinal bars?

From research Report 52-1F [Ferguson, 1964], it was experimentally shown if the exterior beam is 0.5d to 1.2d from the effective face of the column and the flexural reinforcing extends more than 15 inches from the centerline of the beam, research has shown that there is sufficient development for bars up to #11 at yield strengths up to 75 ksi. If the exterior beam is further than 1.2d from the effective face of the column, development length should be provided based on <Article 5.10.8.2>.

Why do we ignore shear in overhangs when the exterior beam is within 1.2d from the effective face of the column?

This assumes the use of the standard 6-in. stirrup spacing in the overhang. From research Report 52-1F [Ferguson, 1964], it was experimentally shown that the shear strength was larger than calculated by the shear provisions and not affected by the presence of stirrups when the exterior beam is placed between 0.5d to 1.2d from the effective face of the column on the cap overhang, indicating that the load was directly strutting to the column.

Why does the shear design spreadsheet state that longitudinal reinforcement check can be ignored for typical multi-column bent caps?

This is not a policy statement, but an interpretation of <5.7.3.5> along with research Report 52-1F [Ferguson, 1964] for multi-column bents.

<Article 5.7.3.5> states: “Except as required by <Article 5.7.3.6.3> where the reaction force or load at the maximum moment location introduces direct compression into the flexural compression face of the member, the area of longitudinal reinforcement on the flexural tension side of the member need not exceed the area required to resist the maximum moment acting alone”. For example, where there is a beam on a cap overhang.
near a column creating a clamping force on the cap.

If there is no beam on the overhang or if the exterior beam is closer than 0.5 \( d \) from the exterior column the beam no longer provides a clamping effect, so the longitudinal reinforcing checks in <Article 5.7.3.5> should be verified.

AASHTO LRFD 5.7.3.6.3 doesn’t usually apply to standard (rectangular) TxDOT multi-column bent caps due to the little amount of torsion in them. Inverted-Tee bents may see a significant amount of torsion requiring <Article 5.7.3.6.3> to be verified. Whether or not to perform the longitudinal reinforcing check is an engineering judgement call.

**Why are we using still using <Appendix B5> for shear capacities of reinforced concrete members?**

The shear design method in <Article 5.7.3.4.2> General Procedure is a valid shear design procedure. The development of this article was based on simplifying the iterative method now found in <Appendix B5> General Procedure For Shear Design With Tables. In simplifying the <Appendix B5> procedure, some assumptions needed to be made that made <Article 5.7.3.4.2> a closer solution for some cases and further for others. For mildly reinforced design <Article 5.7.3.4.2> is often conservative, sometimes excessively conservative. For prestressed sections this is not the case.
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Section 1 — Components of Haunch

Camber

Camber is the upward deflection in the girder after release of the prestressing strands due to the eccentricity of the force in the strands. The camber of the girder is usually the largest contribution to haunch. In most cases, as camber increases, so does haunch.

Figure A-1: Camber of Girder (Before Slab is Placed)

Dead Load Deflection

The dead load deflection used in haunch calculations is the deflection due to the dead load of the slab only (it does not account for haunch weight). The dead load helps lessen the haunch. As the dead load deflection increases the haunch decreases. Note: The dead load deflection calculation assumes a monolithic, cast-in-place slab, whether or not prestressed concrete panels are used. Where prestressed concrete panels are used deflections used to screed the roadway surface will be different since the deflection due to the panels will already be there.
Cross Slope

The cross slope is the slope of the slab across the transverse section of the girder. The cross slope correction (CSC) is the distance from the bottom of the slab to the top of the girder at the center of the top flange needed to prevent encroachment of the girder into the slab at the lowest point of the cross slope. The CSC is needed for TxGirders since the girders are placed vertically on the bearing pads. As CSC increases, the haunch also increases.
**Vertical Clearance Ordinate (VCO)**

The VCO is the distance from the Bridge Geometry System (BGS) reference line to the roadway surface. The reference line is the chorded roadway surface between the center of bearings. The VCO is given in the BGS output as negative when the roadway surface is above the reference line and positive when the roadway surface is below the reference line. When the VCO is negative (crest curve) the haunch decreases at center of bearing, and when the VCO is positive (sag curve) the haunch increases at center of bearing.

![Figure A-4: VCO with Respect to BGS Reference Line](image)

![Figure A-5: All Haunch Components Working Together](image)
Section 2 — Minimum and Maximum Haunch Values

TxGirders

♦ The maximum haunch without reinforcing is 3 ½”.

♦ The minimum haunch at the center of bearing is 2” to accommodate the thickened slab end since the TxGirder flange is too thin to notch like the I-beam.

♦ The minimum haunch at mid-span is ½” to accommodate bedding strips for prestressed concrete panels.
Section 3 — Steps to Calculate Haunch

This section applies to simple geometric cases only. Refer to Section 4 for guidance on complex geometry.

Step 1

Execute a preliminary BGS run using a beam framing option from 1-10 in the FOPT card. On the BRNG card, input a “Depth Below the Reference Line”, or bearing deduct, of zero. This gives the VCLR output as the VCO defined above. Include a VCLR card for each span with the bridge alignment as the specified alignment.

Step 2

Examine the BGS output. The vertical ordinate (VO) will be given along the girder at as many segments as defined in the VCLR card. The first and last columns of each VCLR table are the ordinates at the center of bearings and should equal zero. The maximum magnitude VO is used in haunch calculations. The critical VO typically corresponds to the 0.5L column in the VCLR table as shown below. The sign convention shown in BGS should be used in the haunch calculations.

Figure A-6: BGS Output of VCLR Table

Step 3

Calculate the required minimum haunch at center of bearing at center of girder top flange that will work for all TxGirders in a span.
Haunch_{CL,Brg,Req} = (C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) + VCO + CSC + \text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}}

Where:

\begin{align*}
C &= \text{Camber of the TxGirder, ft (taken from PGSuper “TxDOT Summary Report” under “Camber and Deflections”, the Design Camber. Design Camber includes girder self-weight deflection, camber at release, and camber due to creep. NOTE: PGSuper gives camber in inches and feet. The camber will need to be in feet for the above equation.)} \\
\Delta_{DL} &= \text{Absolute value of dead load deflection of TxGirder at midspan due to a cast-in-place slab, ft (taken from PGSuper “TxDOT Summary Report” under “Camber and Deflection” for deflections of Slab and Diaphragms. NOTE: PGSuper gives dead load deflection in inches and feet. The dead load deflection will need to be in feet for the above equation.)} \\
VCO &= \text{Maximum magnitude vertical clearance ordinate, ft (taken from BGS VCLR output table; keep BGS sign convention)} \\
CSC &= \text{Cross slope correction, ft (this equation assumes a constant cross slope above the girder top flange)} \\
CSC &= CS \times \frac{1}{2}(w_f) \\
Where:
\begin{align*}
CS &= \text{Cross slope of slab above girder top flange, ft/ft} \\
w_f &= \text{Width of top flange, ft}
\end{align*} \\
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}} &= \text{Minimum required haunch measured at the least-haunch edge of the girder flange. Minimum haunch typically occurs at mid-span. However, with large crest curves and superelevation transitions, Minimum Haunch can occur anywhere along the beam.}

Use the largest Haunch_{CL,Brg,Req} value for each girder in that span:

Haunch_{CL,Brg} = \max \{ \text{Haunch}_{CL,Brg,Req} \} \quad \text{(round up to the nearest ¼“)}

Haunch_{CL,Brg} is the haunch that will be provided at center of bearing for each TxGirder in that span.
Step 4

Calculate the theoretical minimum haunch along center of girder top flange.

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} = \text{Haunch}_{\text{CL, Brg}} - (C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) - \text{VCO} - \text{CSC}
\]

Step 5

Calculate the slab dimensions at the center of bearing, “X”; the theoretical slab dimensions at mid-span, “Z”; and the depth from top of slab to bottom of girder at center of bearing, “Y”, for each TxGirder in the span.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{“X”} & = \text{Haunch}_{\text{CL, Brg}} + t_s \\
\text{“Y”} & = \text{“X”} + \text{Girder Depth} \\
\text{“Z”} & = \text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} + t_s + \text{CSC}
\end{align*}
\]

Where:

\[
t_s = \text{Slab thickness}
\]

*Figure A-7: “X” and “Z” Dimensions in Elevation View*
Figure A-8: “X”, “Y”, and “Z” Dimensions in Section View

NOTE: “Z” is a theoretical dimension. The true value depends on actual beam camber, which is difficult to predict.

Step 6

Calculate the required bearing deduct used in computing the final bearing seat elevations.

Bearing Deduct = “Y” + Bearing Pad Thickness

If sole plates are required,

Bearing Deduct = “Y” + Bearing Pad Thickness + Sole Plate Thickness

(Values should be rounded to the nearest 1/8”)
Section 4 — Vertical Curve Effects on Haunch

The three most common classes of sag and crest curve haunch effects are illustrated below. Figure A-9 shows a sag curve, which creates a minimum haunch at mid-span with a much larger haunch at the ends. Figure A-10 shows a large crest curve with respect to the girder camber. In this case, there is more haunch at mid-span than at the ends. Figure A-11 shows a small crest curve where there is less haunch at mid-span than at the ends.

Figure A-9: Sag Curve Effect on Haunch

Figure A-10: Large Crest Curve Effect on Haunch
Figure A-11: Small Crest Curve Effect on Haunch
Section 5 — Superelevation Transition Effects on Haunch

Superelevation transitions can create unusual VCO patterns. The typical VCO pattern is a parabolic shape with a maximum or a minimum at mid-span. When there is a superelevation transition that starts or ends in a span, the VCLR table of BGS needs to be looked at more closely to determine if the haunch needs to be calculated at points other than mid-span. This also applies when a superelevation transition ends at a skewed bent because all the girders not along the PGL will see the same effects as if the superelevation transition was terminated within the span. When the VCO output has an unusual pattern as shown below in Figure A-12, the haunch needs to be calculated at multiple points along the girders since the camber and dead load deflection vary along the girder. This means that it is incorrect to calculate the haunch using the critical VCO and the camber and dead load deflection from mid-span when the critical VCO does not happen at mid-span. When considering quarter points, dead load deflection can be calculated as $0.7123 \times \Delta DL$ and camber can be estimated as $0.7123 \times C$. Figure A-13 through Figure A-17 give a visual representation of the superelevation transition on the bridge. In general, the use of superelevation transitions increases the haunch in order to prevent encroachment of the girders into the slab.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SPAN 1 OF ROADWAY H WITH ROADWAY H</th>
<th>0.00 L</th>
<th>0.10 L</th>
<th>0.20 L</th>
<th>0.30 L</th>
<th>0.40 L</th>
<th>0.50 L</th>
<th>0.60 L</th>
<th>0.70 L</th>
<th>0.80 L</th>
<th>0.90 L</th>
<th>1.00 L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A-12: BGS VCLR Table Output for Superelevation Transition

Figure A-13: Elevation View of a Superelevation Transition
Figure A-14: Section A-A (House top cross-slope)

Figure A-15: Section B-B (Full superelevation)
Figure A-16: Section C-C

Figure A-17: Detail A.
(Showing the kink that is created by a superelevation transition at mid span.)
Section 6 — Example Tx-Girder Haunch Calculations

Bridge Information

This bridge consists of three Tx54 spans with a straight horizontal alignment and a vertical profile with a crest curve. It has a 2% house top cross slope with no superelevation transition. The following calculations are for Span 2 (115ft) only.

Step 1

*Execute a preliminary BGS run.* As shown in Figure A-19, the beam framing option used is 5, the bearing deduct (depth below the reference line) is set to zero, and there is a VCLR card for every span using the bridge alignment.

Step 2

*Examine BGS output.* As shown in Figure A-18, the first and last columns of the VCLR table for Span 2 are zero. Also, the VCO values are all negative indicating a crest curve. For these haunch calculations we will be using the VCO at mid-span.

Figure A-18 shows the VCO as -0.19 ft for all the beams in the span.

```
| BEAR 1 | 0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | 0.00 |
| BEAR 2 | 0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | 0.00 |
| BEAR 3 | 0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | 0.00 |
| BEAR 4 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.00 |
| BEAR 5 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.00 |
| BEAR 6 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.00 |
| BEAR 7 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.00 |
| BEAR 8 | 0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.00 |
| BEAR 9 | -0.00 | -0.14 | -0.19 | -0.14 | 0.00 |
```

*Figure A-18: BGS VCLR Table Output*
Figure A-19: BGS Input
Step 3

Calculate the required minimum haunch at center of bearing at center of girder top flange that will work for all TxGirders in a span. Since all girders have the same VCO, Camber, and Dead Load Deflection, the haunch will be the same for all girders.

Using PGSuper (See Figure A-20)

\[ \text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg,Req}} = (C - 0.8\Delta_{\text{DL}}) + \text{VCO} + \text{CSC} + \text{Haunch}_{\text{Req}} \]

\[
C = 4.675 \text{ in.} = 0.390 \text{ ft} \quad \text{(From PGSuper)}
\]

\[
\Delta_{\text{DL}} = 1.818 \text{ in.} = 0.152 \text{ ft} \quad \text{(from PGSuper)}
\]

\[
\text{VCO} = -0.19 \text{ ft} \quad \text{(From BGS)}
\]

\[
\text{CSC} = \text{CS} \times 0.5\text{w}_f
\]

\[
= 0.02 \text{ ft/ft x } \frac{1}{2}(36 \text{ in.} / 12)
\]

\[= 0.030 \text{ ft} \]

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}} = 0.5 \text{ in.} / 12 = 0.0417 \text{ ft} \quad \text{(See Section 2)}
\]

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg,Req}} = (0.390 \text{ ft} - 0.8(0.152 \text{ ft})) + (-0.19 \text{ ft}) + 0.030 \text{ ft} + 0.0417 \text{ ft}
\]

\[= 0.1501 \text{ ft} \]

\[= 1.801 \text{ in.} \]

The required haunch at center of bearing needs to be greater than the 2” minimum (see Section 2). Rounding the required haunch up to the nearest ¼” gives a haunch for Span 2 of 2.00”.

\[\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg}} = 2.00 \text{ in.}\]
Step 4

Calculate the theoretical minimum haunch along the center of girder top flange. The haunch used for the remaining calculations will be from PGSuper.

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} = \text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg}} - (C - 0.8\Delta_{\text{DL}}) - VCO - CSC
\]

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg}} = 2.00 \text{ in.} = 0.167 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
\Delta_{\text{DL}} = 0.152 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
C = 0.390 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
VCO = -0.19 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
CSC = 0.030 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} = 0.167 \text{ ft} - (0.390 \text{ ft} - 0.8(0.152 \text{ ft})) - (-0.19 \text{ ft}) - 0.030 \text{ ft}
\]

\[
= 0.0586 \text{ ft} = 0.703 \text{ in.} (>\text{Haunch}_{\text{min, req}} = 0.5 \text{ in.})
\]
Step 5

Calculate “X”, “Z”, and “Y”.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{“X”} &= \text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg}} + t_s \\
\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg}} &= 2.0 \text{ in.} \\
\text{ts} &= 8.5 \text{ in.} \\
\text{“X”} &= 2.0 \text{ in.} + 8.5 \text{ in.} = 10.5 \text{ in.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{“Y”} &= \text{“X”} + \text{Girder Depth} \\
\text{Girder Depth} &= 54 \text{ in.} \\
\text{“Y”} &= 10.5 \text{ in.} + 54 \text{ in.} = 64.5 \text{ in.} = 5’-4\tfrac{1}{2}”
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{“Z”} &= \text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} + t_s + \text{CSC} \\
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}} &= 0.703 \text{ in.} \\
\text{ts} &= 8.5 \text{ in.} \\
\text{CSC} &= 0.030 \text{ ft} = 0.360 \text{ in.} \\
\text{“Z”} &= 0.703 \text{ in.} + 8.5 \text{ in.} + 0.360 \text{ in.} = 9.563 \text{ in.} \approx 9\frac{1}{2}”
\end{align*}
\]

Step 6

Calculate the required bearing deduct.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Bearing Deduct} &= \text{“Y”} + \text{Bearing Pad Thickness} \\
\text{“Y”} &= 64.5 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Bearing Pad Thickness} &= 2.75 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Bearing Deduct} &= 64.5 \text{ in.} + 2.75 \text{ in.} = 67.25 \text{ in.} = 5’-7\frac{1}{4}”
\end{align*}
\]
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Section 1 — Bearing Pad Taper Calculations for U-beams

Bearing Pad Taper

The bearing seat for a U-beam is level perpendicular to the centerline of bearing but slopes along the centerline of bearing between the left and right bearing seat elevations. The bearing pad is oriented along the centerline of bearing. This configuration for the U-beam bearing allows the pad to taper in only one direction (perpendicular to the centerline of bearing). The amount of bearing pad taper depends on three factors:

♦ Grade of the U-beam
♦ Slope of the bearing seat (taper is along $\ell_{Brg}$)
♦ Beam angle (angle between $\ell_{Beam}$ and $\ell_{Brg}$ in plan view)

All U-beam projects should have a Bearing Pad Taper Report sheet that contains the various pad tapers for use by the bearing pad fabricator. This report summarizes the bearing pad taper perpendicular to the centerline of bearing for each beam bearing location. In BGS, the report is titled “Bearing Pad Taper -- Fabricator’s Report”. The calculations that follow derive the formula for calculating the bearing pad taper perpendicular to centerline of bearing.

For purposes of developing the formulas for calculating bearing pad taper, the following sign convention will be used looking in the direction of increasing station numbers:

♦ Positive bearing seat slope is up and to the right
♦ Negative bearing seat slope is down and to the right
♦ Positive beam grade is up
♦ Negative beam grade is down
♦ Positive bearing pad taper is up
♦ Negative bearing pad taper is down
Case I

Beam Angle is $\theta < 90^\circ$ and Right Forward.

Perpendicular to the centerline of bearing, the pad taper is only function of the bottom surface of the beam. The bearing seat is level in this direction, so the component of pad taper due to the top surface of the bearing seat is zero. Using $ELEV_1$, $ELEV_2$, and $ELEV_3$ at points 1, 2, and 3, respectively, at the bottom of U-beam, the equation for pad taper is:

$$TAPER = \frac{(ELEV_2 - ELEV_3)}{L_{BS}}$$

Where:

$$ELEV_2 = ELEV_1 + \text{BEAM GRADE} \times \frac{(L_{BS}/\sin \theta)}{L_{BS}}$$

$$ELEV_3 = ELEV_1 + SLOPE \times \frac{(L_{BS}/\tan \theta)}{L_{BS}}$$

Substituting for $ELEV_2$ and $ELEV_3$, the equation for pad taper becomes:

$$TAPER = \frac{(\text{BEAM GRADE} - SLOPE \times \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta}$$

Figure B-1: Plan View of Bearing Seat with Right Forward Beam Angle
Case II

Angle is $\theta < 90^\circ$ and Left Forward.

\[ \text{TAPER} = \frac{(\text{ELEV}_2 - \text{ELEV}_3)}{\text{LBS}} \]

Where:

\[ \text{ELEV}_2 = \text{ELEV}_1 + \text{BEAM GRADE} \times \left(\frac{\text{LBS}}{\sin \theta}\right) \]

\[ \text{ELEV}_3 = \text{ELEV}_1 - \text{SLOPE} \times \left(\frac{\text{LBS}}{\tan \theta}\right) \]

Substituting for ELEV$_2$ and ELEV$_3$, the equation for pad taper becomes:

\[ \text{TAPER} = \frac{(\text{BEAM GRADE} + \text{SLOPE} \times \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} \]
Case III

Beam Angle is $\theta = 90^\circ$

Since the centerline of the U-beam is perpendicular to centerline of bearing, the component of bearing pad taper due to the bearing seat is zero, and the bearing pad taper is simply:

$$\text{TAPER} = \text{BEAM GRADE}$$

Summary

Defining $\beta = \text{beam angle as measured counterclockwise from centerline of bearing}$, the equation for the calculating bearing pad taper for any bearing location is as follows:

$$\text{TAPER} = \frac{(\text{BEAM GRADE} - \text{SLOPE} \times \cos \beta)}{\sin \beta}$$

Figure B-3: Plan View of Bearing Seat
Section 2 — Haunch Calculations for U-beams

Introduction

U-beams are placed at a cross-slope, unlike I beams. For spans with constant cross-slope and constant overall width, U-beam flanges will be parallel to the cross-slope of the roadway surface. For spans with more complicated geometry, such as varying cross-slope and/or varying overall width, U-beams will be at some cross-slope other than the cross-slope of the roadway surface. Each U-beam in a span is balanced in cross-slope from the back bearing to the forward bearing of the beam so that no torsion is introduced into the beam. Thus, the haunch at centerline of bearing for the left edge of the beam may be different than the haunch at right edge of the beam. Skewed beam end conditions can also contribute to a different haunch at centerline bearing for each edge of the beam. This difference can exist even with a constant cross-slope (i.e., it is due to the geometry of the roadway surface and not necessarily the balancing of the U-beam).

In terms of calculating the required haunch at centerline of bearing for a U-beam, the haunch for each edge of top flange of the U-beam must be calculated. Once the minimum haunch value is established, the maximum haunch at centerline of bearing on the opposite top edge of the U-beam can be calculated as well as the deduct value for computing bearing seat elevations. This section covers a suggested method of calculating the required haunch and the corresponding deduct values for U-beams.

![Figure B-4: U-beam Section View Definitions](image-url)
Step 1 – Execute a Preliminary BGS Run

Execute a preliminary BGS run using beam framing option 20, 21, or 22 in order to calculate the vertical curve component of the haunch. On the BRNG card, input the section depth as zero and the pedestal width equal to the top flange width of the U-beam (7.42’ for U40 beams and 8.00’ for U54 beams). Add the letter “P” in column 80 of the BRNG card. This instructs BGS to keep the top flange width dimension perpendicular to the centerline of U-beam. Thus, for skewed beam end conditions, BGS will take into account the skew at that end of the U-beam and give the corresponding vertical clearance ordinates (VCO) at the centerline of bearing for the left and right edges of the top flange (See Figure B-5). Also, include a VCLR card for each span with the bridge alignment as the specified alignment.

Step 2 – Examine the BGS Output

Three lines of vertical clearance ordinates (VCO) will be generated for every U-beam: the VCOs along the left top edge, centerline, and right top edge of U-beam. The first and last columns of each VCO table are the ordinates at centerline of back bearing and forward bearing, respectively. One or both VCO values at the left and right top edge of the U-beam at centerline of bearing will be zero. A VCO of zero indicates that the top edge of the U-beam is matched with the elevation of the top of slab at that point. Thus, the vertical placement is controlled by these “corners” of the U-beam that have VCO of zero.

The corner opposite to the controlling corner at the centerline of bearing will either have a zero or negative VCO. Its value depends on the bridge geometry and/or balancing of the U-beam. A zero value for the VCO at the dependent corner means that at that point the top of the U-beam is also matched with the elevation of the top of slab. However, a negative VCO value at the dependent corner means that at that point the top edge of U-beam is below the elevation at top of slab. This negative VCO is the difference in haunch at centerline of bearing from the left top edge of beam to the right top edge of beam due to bridge geometry and/or balancing of the U-beam (Figure B-6).

Figure B-5: Example Plan View of the Vertical Clearance Ordinates for U-beam
Figure B-6 illustrates the VCOs produced by BGS for the left and right top edges of the U beam when framing a span with a crest vertical curve and a varying cross-slope along the span. It is shown only to help visualize a possible scenario of VCOs produced by BGS.

**Figure B-6: Example Vertical Profile at Edges of U-beam**
When inputting the top flange width of the U-beam, \( b_f \), on the BRNG card, the VCLR command calculates the VCOs at an offset distance of \( b_f/2 \) from the BGS beam line (See Figure B-7). The standard convention for defining the BGS beam line is a vertical line at a point coinciding with the centerline of the bottom of the bearing pad. Thus, for U-beams at a cross-slope, the beam rotates about this point. This rotation of the U-beam shifts the top flange of the beam transversely with respect to the BGS beam line. BGS makes no adjustment for the rotation-induced transverse movement and, therefore, VCO calculations that are not exactly at the outside edge of the top flange. The offset error may be computed as follows, but it is usually negligible.

![Figure B-7: Example of U-beam Geometry as per BGS](image)

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_1 &= h_u \tan(\alpha) \\
\psi_U &= \frac{b_f}{2 \cos(\alpha)} + \psi_1 - \frac{b_f}{2} \left( \psi_{e,U} = \psi_U \cos(\alpha) \right) \\
\psi_D &= \frac{b_f}{2} + \psi_1 - \frac{b_f}{2 \cos(\alpha)} \left( \psi_{e,D} = \psi_D \cos(\alpha) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where: \( \alpha \) = cross slope angle in radians = \text{arctan(cross slope)}; \( b_f \) = top flange width \( h_u \) = height of U-beam
**Table B-1: BGS Offset Errors for Common Cross Slopes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Cross Slopes</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (radians)</th>
<th>U40 (all values in in.)</th>
<th>U54 (all values in in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_e,U$</td>
<td>$y_e,U$</td>
<td>$x_e,D$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}''$ per $1'$</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 3 – Calculate Required Minimum Haunch**

Calculate the required minimum haunch at centerline bearing that will work for all U-beams in a span. Start by calculating the required minimum haunch at centerline of bearing for both the left and right top edges of each U-beam in that span. For each side of the beam, work from the controlling corner and use the entire maximum vertical clearance ordinate (VCO) on that edge in your haunch calculation. Do not be concerned with the VCO value at the dependent corner for each side, because that value affects only the maximum haunch (see Step 4), not the minimum haunch. Also, for U beams, we typically use 75% of the camber computed by PGSuper because in the field we have not been consistently getting our predicted cambers.

Also, a note to users who obtain camber and dead load deflection values from PGSuper. The reduction coefficients presented in this section are stored within PGSuper and can be utilized by its haunch algorithm. Follow these steps to obtain the raw values (which are required when using the equations in this document) from the TxDOT Summary Report in PGSuper:

- **Camber:** Use *Design Camber* under “Camber and Deflections”
- **$\Delta_{DL}$:** Use *Slab and Diaphragms* deflection under “Camber and Deflections”

A positive VCO means the top of beam is above the top of slab at that point, while a negative ordinate means the top of beam is below the top of slab at that point. Keeping the sign convention used by BGS, the required minimum haunch values at centerline of bearing for each U-beam are as follows:

For Controlling Corners along the Left Top Edge:

$$\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg,Req(L)}} = (0.75C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) + VCO_{\text{max(L)}} + \text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}} + y_e$$

For Controlling Corners along the Right Top Edge:

$$\text{Haunch}_{\text{CL Brg,Req(R)}} = (0.75C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) + VCO_{\text{max(R)}} + \text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}} + y_e$$
Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{CO_{\text{max}(L)}} & = \text{Maximum VCO, left top edge (usually at mid-span)} \\
V_{CO_{\text{max}(R)}} & = \text{Maximum VCO, right top edge (usually at mid-span)} \\
C & = \text{Camber of U-beam} \\
\Delta_{DL} & = \text{Dead load deflection of U-beam due to slab only (no haunch)} \\
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min,Req}} & = \text{The minimum required haunch (usually at mid-span) is } \frac{1}{2}'' \\
y_e & = \text{Vertical BGS Offset Error; this value is usually small and negligible. If the user wishes to account for this error, assign a positive value for the “downhill” haunch and a negative value for the “uphill” haunch.}
\end{align*}
\]

Next, calculate the largest haunch required at a controlling corner for that span:

\[
\text{Haunch}_{CL \text{ Brg(CC)}} = \max \{\text{Haunch}_{CL \text{ Brg,Req}(L)}, \text{Haunch}_{CL \text{ Brg,Req}(R)}\}^* \\
^* \text{(round up to the nearest } \frac{1}{4}''\text{)}
\]

This haunch value will be the haunch at all controlling corners for each U-beam in that span. Now, calculate the theoretical minimum provided haunch on each side:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}(L)} & = \text{Haunch}_{CL \text{ Brg(CC)}} - (0.75C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) - V_{CO_{\text{max}(L)}} - y_e \\
\text{Haunch}_{\text{min}(R)} & = \text{Haunch}_{CL \text{ Brg(CC)}} - (0.75C - 0.8\Delta_{DL}) - V_{CO_{\text{max}(R)}} - y_e
\end{align*}
\]

**Step 4 – Calculate Maximum Haunches**

Calculate the corresponding maximum haunches at centerline of bearing. The maximum haunches at centerline of bearing occur at the dependent corners of each U-beam. These maximum haunches may vary between U-beams in a span but typically will not vary for the same U-beam. The maximum haunches at centerline of bearing for each U-beam in a span are:
Left Top Edge:

\[ \text{HaunchCL Brg(LDC)} = \text{HaunchCL Brg(CC)} - \text{VCOLDC} \]

Right Top Edge:

\[ \text{HaunchCL Brg(RDC)} = \text{HaunchCL Brg(CC)} - \text{VCORDC} \]

Where:

\[ \text{VCOLDC} = \text{Vertical clearance ordinate value, dependent left top corner} \]

\[ \text{VCORDC} = \text{Vertical clearance ordinate value, dependent right top corner} \]

**Step 5 – Calculate Slab Dimensions**

Calculate the slab dimensions at centerline of bearing, \( X_{\text{min}} \) and \( X_{\text{max}} \), and the theoretical slab dimensions at mid-span, \( Z_L \) and \( Z_R \), for each U-beam in the span (See Figure B-8). The equations are:

\[ X_{\text{min}} = \text{HaunchCL Brg(CC)} + \text{slab thickness} \]

\[ X_{\text{max}} = \text{HaunchCL Brg(LDC or RDC)} + \text{slab thickness} \]

\[ Z_L = \text{Haunchmin(L)} + \text{slab thickness} \]

\[ Z_R = \text{Haunchmin(R)} + \text{slab thickness} \]

Again, \( X_{\text{min}} \) is the section depth at all controlling corners of the beam while \( X_{\text{max}} \) is the section depth at all dependent corners of the beam (any difference in \( X_{\text{max}} \) for each dependent corner of an individual beam should be negligible).
Figure B-8 above shows a typical plan view and table that can be used on production drawings to describe the depth and location of the X and Z values. In order to use a single and generic detail, the “min” and “max” designation is changed to an open convention using the letters “A” and “B”. As a result, $X_A$ and $X_B$ can be either the $X_{\text{min}}$ or $X_{\text{max}}$ values.

**Step 6 – Calculate Required Deduct**

Calculate the required deduct at the specific bearing location to use in computing the final bearing seat elevations. The UBEB standard sheets provide pedestal widths for the U40 and U54 beams with the standard and dapped end conditions. The pedestal widths listed depend on the beam angle and are adequate for up to two 9" x 19" bearing pads. Also, because only one pedestal width can be input per BRNG card, the pedestal width used must be for the U-beam with the smallest beam angle at that bearing location. Omit the letter “P” in column 80 of the BRNG card so that BGS applies the pedestal width along the centerline of bearing.
The required deduct for calculating bearing seat elevations needs to be the deduct at the edge of the bearing seat (see Figure B-9). This deduct can be obtained by interpolating between the values $X_{\text{min}}$ and $X_{\text{max}}$ for each U-beam using the beam angle, the top flange width of the beam, and the chosen bearing seat width. The largest calculated deduct at that bearing location should be used to compute the final bearing seat elevations for all the U-beams at that bearing location. The difference between the calculated deducts at a given bearing location should be negligible, but check the worst case span initially to see if the difference is large enough to take into account. The deduct for the calculation of final bearing seat elevations is:

\[
\text{Deduct} = \left( \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}}{b_f \div \sin(\theta)} \right) \left( \frac{b_f - D}{2} \right) + X_{\text{min}} + \frac{\text{Beam Depth}}{\cos(\alpha)} + \frac{\text{Bearing Pad Thickness}}{\cos(\alpha)}
\]

Where:

- $\alpha$ = cross slope angle $= \arctan(\text{cross slope})$
- $b_f$ = top flange width
- $\theta$ = beam angle
\[ D = \text{bearing seat width} \]

Note: The beam angle and cross slope can usually be ignored because of negligible difference in the final deduct amount.

**Summary**

The required haunch at centerline of bearing for the left and right top edges of the U-beam should always be calculated working from the controlling corner for that side. This is done because the vertical clearance ordinate for the dependent corner is “built-in” to the geometry for the beam and bridge. We cannot use that value in determining our haunch because the vertical clearance ordinate at the dependent corner is always present, i.e., we cannot adjust the beam vertically to reduce that dimension. Basically, the controlling corners will have the minimum haunch at centerline of bearing, while the dependent corners will have the maximum haunch at centerline of bearing, the difference being the vertical clearance ordinate value at the dependent corner. Incidentally, because the U-beam is at an average cross-slope, the haunches at centerline of bearing for the back end of the beam for the left and right edges will typically be reversed at the forward end of the beam.

At mid-span, the theoretical haunch value for the left and right top edges of each U-beam will be the same value if the roadway surface cross-slope is constant or transitions at a constant rate over the entire length of the span and the beam spacing remains constant in the span. For any other case, the theoretical haunch at mid-span may be different for the left and right top edges of each U-beam. Also note that, as with any beam type, the minimum haunch does not always occur at mid-span. With large crest curves and superelevation transitions, minimum haunch can occur anywhere along the beam.
Section 3 — Beam Framing

♦ Three BGS beam framing options specifically written for U-beams currently exist: Options 20, 21, & 22.

♦ When using BGS, beam spacing should be dimensioned at the bottom of beam. U beams are not vertical but are rotated to accommodate the cross-slope of the roadway. Dimensioning the beam spacing at the bottom of the beams will allow BGS to correctly report the beam spacings on the bent reports. Also, the span sheet details should contain the note:

   Beam spacing shown is measured at bottom of beam. Beam spacing at top of beam may vary due to cross-slope of U-beams.

♦ Because U-beams may not be parallel to the cross-slope of the roadway in a given span, the depth of haunch at the left and right top edge of beam may vary. Special attention should be given to these beams in calculating the haunch values.

♦ The amount of deduct for the calculation of final left and right bearing seat elevations should be the deduct at the edge of pedestal (bearing seat) on the minimum haunch side of the beam. This is where BGS applies the input deduct value for a given bearing location.
Section 4 — Restraining Superstructure Lateral Movement

Shear Keys

Shear keys are recommended for superelevated cross-sections on curves or on cross-sections sloping in one direction on straight roadways.

Consideration of the use of shear keys should also be given to bridges that might experience significant vibration from trains or pile driving.

Shear keys are required on abutment and bent caps on U-beam bridges that cross water features that meet the criteria given in the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD.

♦ The placement of shear keys between U beams are at the discretion of the designer. However, typically shear keys are placed in the bay between the exterior and first interior beam. The shear keys are to be poured on the cap after the beams have been set.

♦ Typically, the top of the shear key is 5" above the bottom of the U-beam. For a standard 1 ½" build-up with 2 ½" thick bearing pad, the shear key is 9" in height, measured from top of cap.

♦ Include the cost for furnishing and installing a shear key in the Class “C” Concrete Bent Cap quantity on the interior bent sheet and the Estimated Quantities sheet.

♦ Bituminous fiber material should be used as a bond breaker at the beam/shear key interface.

♦ See Figure B-10 for an example shear key detail.
Appendix B — Pretensioned Concrete U Beam Design Guide

Section 4 — Restraining Superstructure Lateral Movement

Figure B-10: Example Shear Key Detail

Shear Key shown on Abutment — similar for Interior Bent. See Abutment and/or Bent sheets for location and details of Shear Keys and reinforcement used. Pour Shear Key concrete after U-Beams have been set in place. Take sufficient measures to prevent concrete from flowing under U-Beams when pouring Shear Key concrete.

12" Max Spa
Section 1 — Overview

One of the most accurate ways to access the performance of a steel twin tub Girder Bridge in the event that one of the tension flanges fractures is through finite-element modeling. This type of modeling requires a substantial amount of time to develop and analyze. Simplified procedures for evaluating the redundancy of steel twin tub girder bridges were developed on the basis of behavior observed during a series of full-scale tests, which were part of a TxDOT research project, *Modeling the Response of Fracture Critical Steel Box-Girder Bridges*, Barnard et al., Research Report 5498-1, 2010. The following gives an overview of the simplified method that was developed to evaluate twin tub bridges for system redundancy in lieu of finite element modeling.

Criteria for Use of Simplified Method

In order to use the simplified method, the following criteria must be met:

♦ Spans do not exceed 250 ft.
♦ Supports are skewed no more than 20 degrees
♦ Horizontal curvature greater than $R = 700$ ft.
♦ Engineer ascertains that the use of an approximate method is adequate.

Assumptions

Refer to the Design Criteria Section of the TxDOT *Bridge Design Manual - LRFD*, Chapter 3, Section 17, for assumptions, assumed fracture location, worst case loading condition, and live load positioning.
Section 2 — Simplified Method Procedure Outline

Step 1: Design the bridge as normally done with the following exceptions:

- Design for Strength Limit State using a Redundancy Factor, $\eta_R = 1.05$
- Design for Infinite Fatigue life for Fatigue and Fracture Limit State

Step 2: Design the bridge for member failure under Extreme Event III according to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual-LRFD

1. Assume one girder is fractured, within the span under consideration.
   - Fracture the girder at the bottom flange in tension and webs attached to that flange. Assume the other girder in the span under consideration is intact. For continuous units, assume that both girders are still intact in adjacent spans.
   - The location of the fracture within the span is assumed to be at the maximum factored tensile stress in the bottom flange determined using Strength I load combination.
   - The fractured girder should be the girder that would result in the worst loading scenario.

2. Calculate the transmitted load to the intact girder. It is assumed that just prior to the fracture event, the girder that will fracture is carrying 50% of the total dead load of the bridge and all of the live load, due to the position of the live load. Once the fracture occurs, the slab must transfer the entire load the fractured girder was carrying to the intact girder via the bridge slab. Therefore, the intact girder will now be carrying 100% of the dead load of the bridge and the entire live load.

   \[
   F = (1.2)((L)(W_{\text{girder}} + W_{\text{deck}}/2 + W_{\text{railings}}/2) + W_{LL})
   \]

   Where:

   - $F$ = Transmitted load to intact girder (kips)
   - $W_{\text{girder}}$ = Weight of one steel tub girder plus weight of diaphragms and stiffeners, etc. (kips)
   - $W_{\text{deck}}$ = Concrete deck and haunches weight (kips)
   - $W_{\text{railings}}$ = Total Railing weight (kips)
   - $W_{LL}$ = Live Load (kips)
   - 1.2 = Dynamic Increase Factor, DIF
3. **Calculate the maximum moment on the bridge.**

   Maximum moment due to dead load:
   
   \[ M_{DL} = \frac{L^2}{8}(2W_{girder} + W_{deck} + W_{railings}) \]
   
   Maximum moment due to live load:
   
   Position the HL-93 live load, including truck and lane load on the bridge deck directly above the fracture location.
   
   - The number, width, and location of design lanes is taken as the number, width, and location of striped traffic lanes on the bridge.
   - The live load is notional and meant to capture an envelope.
   - The impact factor, IM, is zeroed out for the fracture event

4. **Calculate the bending capacity demand on the intact girder under Extreme Event III**, according to the TxDOT Bridge Design Manual - LRFD, Chapter 2, Section 1:

   I. \( \Phi \), Resistance Factor = 1.0
   II. DL Load Factor = 1.10
   III. LL Load Factor = 1.10
   IV. DIF, Dynamic Increase Factor = 1.2
   
   \[ M_{EEIII} = (1.2)(1.10M_{DL} + 1.10M_{LL}) \]
   
   Where:
   
   \[ M_{EEIII} = \text{Moment of member at failure under Extreme Event III} \]

5. **Calculate the plastic moment capacity, \( MP \), of the intact girder** to determine if it has sufficient capacity to sustain the total live load and total dead load on the bridge.

   \[ MP \geq M_{EEIII} \]

6. **Check the bending and shear capacity of the concrete deck** to ensure adequacy to resist the moment and shear produced by the unsupported load of the fractured girder.
Positive Moment Capacity, $M_n^+$ of Concrete Deck

The assumed strain and stress gradients at positive moment regions are shown in Figure C-1.

![Figure C-1: Strain and stress gradients at positive moment regions](image)

Take the moments about the neutral axis to solve for the nominal moment capacity.

Negative Moment Capacity, $M_n^-$ of Concrete Deck

The assumed strain and stress gradients at negative moment regions are shown in Figure C-2.

![Figure C-2: Strain and stress gradients for negative moment regions](image)

Take the moments about the neutral axis to solve for the nominal moment capacity.
Bending and Shear Capacity Check of Concrete Deck:

The deflected shape of the concrete deck and the bending moment diagram, assuming that the shear studs have adequate tensile capacity, is shown below:

\[
M_n^+ = \text{Positive Moment Capacity of Concrete Deck (k-ft)}
\]
\[
M_n^- = \text{Negative Moment Capacity of Concrete Deck (k-ft)}
\]
\[
s = \text{Distance between the mid-width of the fractured girder’s interior top flange and edge of the interior top flange of the intact girder (ft.)}
\]

The shear associated with the plastic deck mechanism is:

\[
V_{\text{FDM}} = \frac{(M_n^+ + M_n^-)}{s}
\]

Where:

\[
f'_c = \text{Compressive strength of concrete for use in design (psi)}
\]
\[
b = \text{Width of compression face of member (in)}
\]
\[
d = \text{Effective depth of member, distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of longitudinal tension reinforcement (in)}
\]

Figure C-3: Deflected shape and moment diagram before any failure of shear studs

The shear capacity, \(V_c\), is calculated using the ACI equation below and based on a 12-inch wide transverse deck section.

\[
V_c = 2 \sqrt{f'_c} b d
\]

Where:
The maximum shear capacity is taken as the smaller of the shear corresponding to a plastic moment mechanism in the deck and the shear capacity of the deck. Use the controlling shear, lesser of $V_{PDM}$ and $V_c$, to calculate the total length, $L_M$, required to transfer the transmitted load, $F$.

$$L_M = \frac{F}{\text{Controlling Shear}}$$

7. **Check the behavior of the shear studs.** The shear studs connecting the deck to the fractured girder must have sufficient tension capacity to develop the plastic beam mechanism in the bridge deck. The shear force on the studs in the fractured girder is assumed to be zero since it is assumed that no load is being carried by the fractured girder. The shear force on the studs in the intact girder, which are assumed to be not subject to tension, must satisfy $<6.10.10.4>$ to ensure composite action between the intact girder and the slab. Due to the inherent conservatism in the simplified method, and observations from laboratory testing of actual girders, it is reasonable to neglect the tension in the studs over the intact girder.

Determine the tensile strength of the shear stud group. The tensile capacity of the shear stud group can be obtained by using the modified ACI equations (ACI 2019 17.4.2.2), which are also included in the TxDOT research report 9-5498-R2, *The Tensile Capacity of Welded Shear Studs* (Mouras, 2008). The ACI equations serve as a good basis for predicting the tensile strength of shear studs, but it does not adequately address these connections, especially when the detail has a haunch, therefore they must be modified for haunch.

$$N_b = k_c \sqrt{f'_c} h_h^{1.5}$$

Where:

- $N_b =$ Concrete cone breakout strength of a single isolated stud in a continuous piece of cracked concrete (lbs)
- $k_c =$ 24 for cast-in-place shear studs
- $f'_c =$ Specified concrete compressive strength (psi)
- $h_h =$ Effective height of the stud above the top of the haunch (in)
  $$= h_{ef} - d_h \geq \frac{w_h}{3}$$
- $h_{ef} =$ Effective height of shear stud in concrete, which is equal to the length of stud excluding the height of the stud head (in)
- $d_h =$ Haunch height (in)
To determine whether the shear studs pull out or a hinge is formed in the concrete deck, calculate $N_{cbg}$, which is the design concrete breakout strength of a stud or group of studs.

$$N_{cbg} = \frac{N_{NC}}{A_{NCO}} \psi_{g,N} \psi_{ec,N} \psi_{ed,N} N_b$$

Where:

- $N_{cbg}$ = Design concrete breakout strength of a stud or group of studs (kips)
- $A_{NC}$ = Projected concrete cone failure area of a stud group (in$^2$) = $3 \, h_{ef} \, w_h$
- $A_{NCO}$ = Projected concrete cone failure area of a single stud in continuous concrete (in$^2$) = $9 \, h_h^2$
- $\psi_{g,N}$ = Group effect modification factor for studs on a bridge girder = 1.0 for 1 stud = 0.95 for 2 studs spaced transversely = 0.90 for 3 studs spaced transversely = 0.80 for studs spaced longitudinally $\leq 3h_{ef}$
- $\psi_{ec,N}$ = Eccentric load modification factor

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2 \, e'_N}{3 \, h_h}} \leq 1.0$$

$e'_N$ = Eccentricity of resultant stud tensile load
\[ \psi_{\text{ed},N} = \text{Edge distance modification factor} \]

\[ = 1.0 \text{ for } c_{a,\text{min}} \geq 1.5 h_h \]

\[ = 0.7 + 0.3 \frac{c_{a,\text{min}}}{1.5 h_h} \text{ for } c_{a,\text{min}} < 1.5 h_h \]

\[ c_{a,\text{min}} = \text{smallest edge distance measured from center of stud to the edge of concrete (in)} \]

\[ \psi_{\text{c},N} = \text{Cracked concrete modification factor} \]

\[ = 1.0, \text{ Cracked or no haunch} \]

\[ = 1.25, \text{ Uncracked or with haunch} \]

Figure C-4: Dimensioned Projected Concrete Cone Failure Areas for (a) 1 Stud (b) 3 studs Spaced Transversely (c) 3 Studs Spaced Longitudinally, all without a Haunch
Appendix C — Steel Twin Tub Girder System
Redundancy Simplified Method Guide

Section 2 — Simplified Method Procedure Outline

The shear studs connecting the deck to the fractured girder must have sufficient tension capacity to develop the plastic beam mechanism in the bridge deck. The required shear stud tensile capacity is estimated by using the model of the bridge deck shown in Figure C-6 below.

Figure C-5: Dimensioned Projected Concrete Cone Failure Areas for (a) 3 Studs spaced Transversely and (b) 3 Studs Spaced Longitudinally, both in a Haunch

\[
\text{Area} = 3h_{ef}w_h \\
\text{(a)}
\]

\[
\text{Area} = w_h(3h_{ef} + 2s) \\
\text{(b)}
\]
The required tension capacity of the group of shear studs included in the strip can be calculated as:

\[ T \geq \frac{M_2}{b} + V_{PDM} \]

Where:

- \( T \) = Required tensile capacity of the shear stud group in a strip (k)
- \( M_2 \) = Positive moment capacity of the deck strip at the top flange of the fractured girder (k-ft)
- \( b \) = Distance between the mid-width of the top flanges of the fractured girder (ft.)
- \( V_{PDM} \) = Shear from the plastic deck mechanism (k)

If the tensile capacity of the shear studs is exceeded, the flange of the fractured girder will pull out of the bridge deck and the beam mechanism in the deck between the girders will not form.

8. **Check the shear capacity of the composite section at the supports due to torsion and bending for Extreme Event III, \( V_{EEIII} \).** The entire weight of the bridge and live load are applied to the intact girder. The shear, which is developed at the end of the span due to this loading, is calculated as:

\[ V_{EEIII} = 1.2 \left( 1.10 V_{DL} + 1.10 V_{LL} \right) \]

Where:
$V_{DL} = \text{Shear due to dead load}$

$V_{LL} = \text{Shear due to live load}$

The unsupported load, which is at first carried by the fractured girder, now has to be transferred to the intact girder. The eccentricity between the chord of the intact girder bearings and the center of gravity (CG) leads to a torque that is applied to the intact girder in addition to all the transferred loads. Results from the experimental testing program of TxDOT Research 0-5498 showed that the torsion introduced from the fractured girder into the intact girder was nearly symmetrical, indicating that the torque was resisted equally at each end of the intact girder. Therefore, for simplicity, assume that the intact girder had symmetrical torsional boundary conditions so that each end resists one-half of the total applied torque.

Based on the assumption of symmetrical torsional boundary conditions, the torque of the dead load under Extreme Event III can be computed as:

$$T_{DL, EE III} = (1.2) (1.1) \sum W_{DL} e_{DL}$$

Where:

$T_{DL, EE III} = \text{Torque due to dead load under Extreme Event III (k-ft)}$

$W_{DL} = \text{Dead load of steel girders, deck, rails, etc. (k)}$

$e_{DL} = \text{Distance from each dead load component to centerline of support of intact girder for straight girders plus effect of curvature for curved girders (ft.)}$

Based on the assumption of symmetrical torsional boundary conditions, the torque of the live load for Extreme Event III can be computed as:

$$T_{LL, EE III} = (1.2) (1.1) W_{LL} e_{LL}$$

Where:

$T_{LL EE III} = \text{Torque for live load under Extreme Event III (k-ft)}$

$W_{LL} = \text{Live load (k)}$

$e_{TL} = \text{Distance between intact girder’s centerline and truck (assuming lane load is coincident with the truck) plus effect of curvature for curved girders (ft.)}$
When the girders have a horizontal radius of curvature, calculate the eccentricity as the distance between the center of gravity of the loads and the line of support for the intact girder. The center of gravity for nonprismatic curved girders can be determined using the equations in the TxDOT Research 0-5498 Report.

Assuming that one-half of the calculated torque is applied to each end of the intact girder, the shear flow, \( q \), of the closed section can be calculated as:

\[
q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{T_{DL,EE/III} + T_{LL,EE/III}}{2A} \right)
\]

Where:

- \( A \): Area enclosed by the mid-thickness of the composite box-girder section (in\(^2\))

Check the concrete deck to ensure that it has adequate capacity to resist the shear force due to torsion. According to equation C5.7.3.3-1, shear capacity of reinforced concrete, \( V_s \), is calculated as:

\[
V_s = \frac{A_t f_{yt} b}{s} \cot \theta
\]

Where:

- \( b \): Width of the concrete deck between the top flanges (in)
- \( A_t \): Area of a reinforcement bar in the transverse direction (in\(^2\))
- \( s \): Spacing between the reinforcement bars (in)
- \( \theta \): Angle of shear crack with the horizontal plane (degree)

Check that the shear capacity of reinforced concrete, \( V_s \), is greater than the shear due to torsion, which is calculated as:

\[
V_{TORSION} = q b
\]

9. **Check the shear stress due to torsion for every component of the composite section.**

The entire weight of the bridge and live load are applied to the intact girder.

I. The shear stress in the webs due to torsion is calculated as:

\[
\tau_{TORSION\ WEB} = \frac{q}{t_{WEB}}
\]
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Where:
\[ t_{WEB} = \text{Thickness of web (in)} \]

II. The shear stress in the webs due to bending is calculated as:
\[ \tau_{FLEXURAL WEB} = \frac{V}{(2 \ d_{WEB} \ t_{WEB} \ \cos \beta)} \]

Where:
\[ d_{WEB} = \text{Height of web (in)} \]
\[ \beta = \text{Angle of web inclination (degree)} \]
\[ V = \text{One-half of the total factored load on the span (k)} \]

III. The shear buckling stress is calculated as:
\[ \tau_n = C \ 0.58 \ f_{yw} \]

Where:
\[ C = \text{Ratio of shear-buckling resistance to the shear yield strength, <6.10.9.3.2>} \]

IV. Ensure that the summation of the shear due to torsion (\( \tau_{TORSION WEB} \)) and bending (\( \tau_{FLEXURAL WEB} \)) is less than or equal to the shear-buckling stress.
\[ \tau_n \geq \tau_{TORSION WEB} + \tau_{FLEXURAL WEB} \]

V. Check the bottom flange at the pier for combined shear and compression according to <6.11.8.2.2.>

VI. Check the end diaphragm and its connection to both girders to ensure that it has adequate resistance to the torque applied to the intact girder. This applied torque is resisted by a couple generated by the bearings of the two girders (bearing reactions). The reaction at the bearing of the fractured girder is equal to the torque applied to the intact girder divided by the distance between the bearings of the two girders. In the case of a continuous girder, the interior support is not as critical as the end support because some of the applied torque is resisted by the continuous girder. Thus, it is always critical to check the end diaphragm of the end support.

The forces acting on each side of the end diaphragm are calculated as follows:
\[ V_{ED} = \frac{T_{DLEEIII} + T_{LLEEIII}}{l_b} \]

Where:

\( V_{ED} \) = Forces acting on each side of the end diaphragm (k)

\( l_b \) = Distance between bearings of the two tub girders (ft.)

Calculate the nominal shear resistance, \( V_n \), of the end diaphragm according to <6.10.9.2>

Ensure:

\[ V_{ED} < V_n \]
Section 3 — Redundancy Evaluation

Following the steps outlined in Section 2 of Appendix C, the redundancy level of a twin steel tub girder bridge can be evaluated. If the bridge under investigation satisfies the following conditions, the bridge has sufficient strength to sustain load without collapsing:

1. Intact girder has adequate shear and moment capacity
2. Deck has adequate shear capacity
3. Shear studs have adequate tension capacity

If the bridge only satisfies the first two conditions, it may still sustain load without collapsing. Under these conditions, a refined analysis can be used to evaluate the ability of the deck to transmit load to the intact girder without the shear studs connecting the deck to the fractured girder.
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