

**DALLAS HORSESHOE PROJECT RFQ
Q & A MATRIX #7**

(February 17, 2012)

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
1.	RFQ Addendum # 3, Part B, Section B(a)3 and Section B(b)3	<p>Major Identified Non-Equity Members - Identify each Major Non-Equity Member and any other team members that the Proposer wishes to identify in its QS at this time ("Major Identified Non-Equity Member"). For each Major Identified Non-Equity Member of the Proposer, identify the entity's role and the entity's legal nature and state of organization.</p> <p>Organization chart showing the Proposer's Equity Members, Major Non-Equity Members and any Major Identified Non-Equity Members.</p> <p>Please define the term "Major Identified Non-Equity Members" as listed in Part B, Section B(a)3 and Part B, Section B(b) and what submission requirements (forms and disclosures) are necessary for each firm so identified.</p>	As set forth in Part B, Volume 1, Section B(a)(3) of the RFQ, as amended (RFQ), the term "Major Identified Non-Equity Member" includes each Major Non-Equity Member and any other team members that the Proposer wishes to identify in its QS at this time. Please review the RFQ for submission requirements concerning Major Non-Equity Members and Major Identified Non-Equity Members.
2.	RFQ, Addendum #4, Part B, Volume 1 Section B(d) and Part B, Volume 3 Section C	Please clarify your intent with these changes in Addendum #4. As the requirements are currently written all references must be from public owners. By excluding all current TxDOT Dallas District personnel as references, proposers will not be able to submit any projects in the Dallas District. Please specify which personnel are to be excluded from a	No change will be made. The intent is for Proposers not to include references from current TxDOT Dallas District personnel. The provision would preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being listed only if the only references for such projects are current TxDOT Dallas District personnel.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
		reference either by name or position.	
3.	Addendum #4, Part B, Volume 1, Section B(d), page 5	<p>Addendum #4 added language that says, “For purposes of completing Form D, Proposers should not include references from any current TxDOT Dallas District personnel.”</p> <p>Does this preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being included as past experience?</p> <p>If this does not preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being included, how should we list the reference while still complying with the requirement that references be a current employee of the owner/agency?</p> <p>Should we enter the name of a project in the column with heading “Project” then simply say “TxDOT Dallas District” in the column with heading “Company/Agency” and leave the rest of the row blank?</p>	The provision would preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being listed only if the only references for such projects are current TxDOT Dallas District personnel. Proposers are required to submit all of the requested information and should not leave any parts of Form D blank.
4.	Addendum #4, Part B, Volume 3 Section C(a)	<p>Addendum #4 added language that says, “Resumes shall not include references from any current TxDOT Dallas District personnel.”</p> <p>Does this preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being included as past experience?</p> <p>If this does not preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being included, how should we list the reference while still complying with the</p>	The provision would preclude TxDOT Dallas District projects from being listed only if the only references for such projects are current TxDOT Dallas District personnel. Proposers are required to submit references for every project identified and should not leave blanks for any required information.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
		<p>requirement that references be a current employee of the owner/agency?</p> <p>Should we simply say the contact is "TxDOT Dallas District" and leave blanks for the rest of the information?</p>	
5.	Addendum #4	<p>We would like a clarification regarding Addendum #4. For the TxDOT Dallas District projects we are presenting in our SOQ as relevant experience in the project descriptions and resumes, please clarify the appropriate approach for project references in lieu of using current Dallas District staff.</p>	Please see response to Q. 4.
6.	Q&A Matrix #5	<p>In your response to Proposer Q&A 35 (February 3, 2012) Number 7 - TxDOT's response was –</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The forms will be provided in WORD format after the Q&A period is over (after all final questions are due, February 9, 2012) –</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">What is TxDOT's anticipated schedule for releasing Forms A, B, C, D, E-1, E-2 and E-3 – Forms A, B and C require original signatures from the Proposer and their identified team members to be responsive to the RFQ and it would help with the Proposers' logistics and QS assembly to have these forms in a WORD format</p>	Word versions of the RFQ forms were posted on the Project Website on February 15, 2012.
7.	Q&A Matrix #5	<p>Where in the Addendum 3 documents do we find the Forms in Word format? This was supposed to be part of the Addendum, correct?</p>	Please see response to Q. 6.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
8.	Q&A Matrix #5	<p>Q & A Matrix #5 (2/3/12), response #7 indicates that the required forms for Project Horseshoe would be provided in Word format after the Q&A period is over (2/9/2012).</p> <p>I do not see the Word versions as having been provided on the TxDOT website. Please indicate whether they have been uploaded, and I am just not looking in the right place, or if they are forthcoming.</p> <p>A quick resolution is needed, with the QS being due in seven calendar days. Most teams will have multiple Equity Partners needing to execute the forms, with these firms having corporate offices spread across the country. Further compounding the form execution process is that authorized company signers are usually VP-level and above, and have busy travel schedules. Forms requiring an original signature in blue ink need time to be sent to the appropriate party, executed, and returned to the Proposer.</p> <p>The way Form B was altered in Amendment 3 is particularly confusing, so Word versions of these documents containing the proper language are needed immediately.</p>	Please see response to Q. 6.