

**IH-10 Public Meeting (February 7, 2019) Comments and Responses Summary**

Commenter No.	Commenter First Name	Commenter Last Name	Date Received	Source	Comment Topic	Comment	Response
1	Calvin	Adams (as dictated to Celeste Wyble at Public Meeting )	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Signage	The frontage road that has been proposed to be removed I am against the removal of it. I travel that road a lot. I would like to see the frontage road over Adams Bayou elevated. I would like to see more signs in Beaumont on I-10 east to LA and I-10 W from LA saying Orange. Signs that say Lake Charles put Orange on the bottom. I want people to know the city of Orange is here and I think the signs would benefit the growth of Orange.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Comment noted regarding guide signage along project corridor. The State will design the proposed guide signage in accordance with the Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control devices which governs the content allowed on the guide signs.
2	Joel	Ardion (Orange County Emergency Management Coordinator)	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
3	Susan	Ash	2/14/2019	Written	1. Frontage roads 2. Access	It's my understanding that there may be a couple of proposals on the table regarding widening IH-10 to 6 lanes; however, I'm opposed to any plan to eliminate the frontage roads specifically those running from the TXDOT beginning point east of FM3247 (MLK Dr.) to 16th Street. My family owns two plots of land SW of the Adams Bayou bridge, namely property ID 16247 (.92 acre) and R16472 (11.045 acres) as described on the Orange County Appraisal District's website (www.orangecad.net). Plot R16247 can only be accessed by the frontage road. Plot 16472 adjoins it with access from 35th St. only. My father, Fred S. Avant, Sr., and mother, Ervine D. Avant, bought these properties in July 1970 and January 1965, respectively, as an investment predicting their value would increase substantially in the future due to their location on/near IH-10. My parents are now deceased but the properties are still listed in mother's name. My siblings and I are currently trying to disposition mother's holdings. We had an appraisal done of these two properties in November 2015 and jointly they appraised for \$80,000. Removing the frontage roads will land-lock plot R16247 essentially making it worthless and will negatively impact the worth of plot R16472 since it can only be accessed by 35th St. Any plans by my family or potential buyers to develop these properties for residential or commercial purposes will be adversely impacted by removing the frontage roads since 35th St. would then be the only means of entrance/egress. Additionally, I have to assume that removal of the frontage roads will hurt existing or planned businesses along this stretch of IH-10 not to mention harming existing residences which may no longer be accessible.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads between the west project limit and 16th Street. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity and access to adjacent properties in the vicinity of Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Should access from the stated properties to the frontage roads be affected by the proposed project design, the State will follow established guidelines which can be found online at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html">https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html</a> .
4	Fred	Avant	2/19/2019	E-mail	1. Drainage 2. Access	I agree with the comments from Susan Ash. I am against removing the service road. This proposal would make our property go from interstate access to landlocked.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads between the west project limit and 16th Street. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity and access to adjacent properties in the vicinity of Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Should access from the stated properties to the frontage roads be affected by the proposed project design, the State will follow established guidelines which can be found online at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html">https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html</a> .
5	Robert	Baptista	02/07/19	Written	1. Frontage roads 2. Drainage	I like the alternative plan to eliminate the Adams Bayou turnaround and service bridges. This would improve safety and reduce flooding at these bridges. I would like to see a new I-10 overpass built like Cow Bayou with no pilings in the water.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and U-turns at Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
6	David	Bean	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	The Twin Bridges for excess roads crossing Adams Bayou hold back water. My property never flooded till these bridges were built. I use the turn around at Adams Bayou everyday to get home and I would gladly see them go if they take the bridges out. These bridges do cause flooding.	Comment noted regarding acceptability to remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou and the U-turns adjacent to Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity and access to adjacent properties in the vicinity of Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
7	G.J.	Bienvenu	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	Raise low water bridges on Adams Bayou, deepen the bayou and widen it, ditches need to be revamped.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at Adams Bayou at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Comment noted regarding preference to deepen and widen the channel at Adams Bayou. Channel cannot be deepened and widened without causing detrimental impacts to existing bridge foundations and significant environmental impacts to existing wetlands. Ditches between the mainlanes and frontage roads will be regraded where the mainlanes and entrance and exit ramps are reconstructed or widened.
8	David	Boehme	2/11/2019	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
9	Billie Odom	Burns	2/19/2019	Written	1. Drainage 2. Bridges	My biggest concern is the drainage or lack thereof. Hurricane Harvey covered my land for the first time ever to have water all over my two acres. Since purchasing it in 1968, I realize Harvey was unusual. However the drainage history has gradually worsened thru the years because of the increase in construction of buildings and I-10. Please work with Pinehurst to try to improve drainage for us. Service road bridges over Adams Bayou - I would hate to see the service road eliminated for sure. We are all trying to avoid I-10 as much as possible. I believe a lot of service road traffic will take place through neighborhood in order for us to get to 16th street businesses. I know it will be more costly to keep the bridges and elevate them to I-10 level. Keep the service road bridges if you can. I want to thank TxDOT for the meeting and for the wonderful drawings that you prepared. This was helpful and I know it took a lot of effort on your part.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
10	Steve	Burns	2/25/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I support the alternative plan of removing the Lutchter Drive bridges and turnarounds that cause recurring flooding at the Adams Bayou crossing.	Comment noted regarding preference to implement the Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
11	Cody	Caples (Firefighter for Orange FD)	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Emergency response	Pertaining to the Adams Bayou project/proposal. I suggest taking out the access road bridges, but leave the turnarounds that go under the overpass. Taking out the entire roadway that is proposed would cause a drastic and elongated response times to the area west of Adams Bayou to MLK. Removing the entire roadway would cause a possible life or death situation as far as response time in that area.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and leave the U-turns in place at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity, including potential impacts to emergency services, across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
12	Jim	Cash	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges	I support removing E and W access road bridges across Adams Bayou leaving the turnarounds accessible.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and leave the U-turns in place at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.

IH-10 Public Meeting (February 7, 2019) Comments and Responses Summary

13	Ava	Clary	2/22/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	It is my desire to see the Adams bayou bridges removed. We flooded 36 inches and I think the bridges cause the water to back up. Please give us relief from worrying about future floods.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
14	Tom	Clary	2/21/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Flooding	I wish to add the following comments on the Lutcher Dr. bridges: "The Lutcher Dr. bridges have been a problem since inception in 1979 with flooding and 2 drownings. I think they were instrumental in many homes being flooded during Hurricane Harvey. These bridges need to be removed as well as all pilings from the waterway. Further, the waterway needs widening in the bridge area.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Comment noted regarding preference to widen the channel at Adams Bayou. Channel cannot be widened without causing detrimental impacts to existing bridge foundations and significant environmental impacts to existing wetlands.
15	Vanessa	Colbran	1/28/2019	E-mail	1. ROW acquisition	Our office is in receipt of the attached notice regarding the proposed widening of Interstate 10. Realty Income is the owner of the above-referenced property (2020 Lutcher Drive, Orange, TX (RI #4417)). As a remote landowner, we will not be able to attend the public hearing being held on 2/7/19. Could you please confirm that the above referenced property address is the location for which this notice was intended? Are there plans available which show the proposed impacts to our property? Will any rights will be required from the subject property? What is the timing for the acquisition? Any additional information you may have with respect to the project will be appreciated.	Response provided via e-mail on 1/29/2019. Thank you for contacting me regarding the TxDOT proposed project. It is TxDOT policy and directive to notify the landowners adjacent to the TxDOT right-of-way of the public meeting. Per the Orange County tax roll, your property (2020 IH-10 "Lutcher Drive", Orange, Texas with Ref. ID 22173) is within the limits of the proposed project and immediately adjacent to the I-10 TxDOT right-of-way. All construction for the proposed project would occur within the existing TxDOT right-of-way between the existing westbound and existing eastbound frontage roads. No work is proposed on the existing frontage roads at your location. TxDOT will not be acquiring any access rights or right-of-way at this location. All plans at this time are in draft stage, and will be presented at the public meeting for comments. The official comment period will end on February 22, 2019, the public meeting documentation will be posted on-line at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beamont/020719.html">https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beamont/020719.html</a> . Your comment will be included in this documentation.
16	Charles	Collins	2/13/2019	E-mail	1. Frontage roads 2. Drainage	Concerning the Adam's Bayou bridges on the frontage road, we need them. With that said, we also need them to not have the piling in the water to catch debris. These bridges are not the root cause of upstream flooding, but they darn sure do not help the water flow freely, when limbs, boards and other debris is hung up on the pilings. I have about lost my confidence of Texas DOT using good sense, with their continued use of "curb and drain" versus road ditches. The curb and drain thing, has effectively turned our roadways into sewer and drainage systems. Water is held up on the road way, and is further hampered by slow draining culverts. Then, there is the end of Foreman Road, where it ties into Highway 87. Whoever designed the access on and off of 87, must think everyone drives Toyotas and Volkswagens. Those curves are ridiculous. a full size pickup cannot turn onto Foreman Rd, without swinging into oncoming traffic. You ought to see an 18 wheeler trying to negotiate that mess. Please show some good sense and redesign the Adam's Bayou bridge to no impede flow. Then, have someone do something with the Foreman Rd / 87 thing. And, do away with the curbs!	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Comment noted regarding preference to not utilize curb and drain (storm sewer system) on project. Project is not programmed to include frontage road reconstruction therefore no curb and drain (storm sewer system) is proposed to be implemented on the frontage roads. Comment noted regarding Foreman Road and Highway 87. Stated location is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address this issue.
17	Jody	Cowart (Captian Fire Department)	02/07/19	Written	1. Frontage roads	Adams frontage road needs to stay.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
18	Sue	Craft	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage 2. Traffic noise	I live off MLK and am experiencing drainage issues since the overpass was elevated. The noise level has become an issue also. We are unable to sit outside and have quality conversation due to the traffic noise. I would like to have a noise level check to if it conforms to the state law concerning allowable noise levels.	Comment noted regarding drainage and noise issues in the vicinity of Martin Luther King Jr. Drive. Stated location is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address these issues.
19	Marshall	Dougharty	2/15/2019	Written	2. Bridges	I prefer to have the frontage roads at Adam's Bayou to be kept in place or rebuilt. Is there a way to widen Bayou to allow more water flow for future drainage.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Comment noted regarding preference to widen the channel at Adams Bayou. Channel cannot be widened without causing detrimental impacts to existing bridge foundations and significant environmental impacts to existing wetlands.
20	Don	Dunaway	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	Remove feeder bridges due to when water hits bridge it floods north side of Interstate causing my property to lose 3/4 of 21.4 acres. Plus due to flooding it's a safety issues to drivers.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
21	Genelle	Edwards	1/31/2019 and 02/01/2019	E-mail	1. ROW acquisition	I own property that will be directly affected by the widening. I live in Austin Texas. The 7th may be a challenge for me. I would like to see the model design and ask questions. I read that the materials will be available in the Beaumont District Office M-F. What do you suggest to make certain someone is available to answer questions if I plan a visit during the day M-F? I am adjacent to the access lanes on the West bound side of IH10. How much of the land will be used to widen IH10? I am assuming the access road will be relocated. When do you expect the project to be complete? I have attached a photo with property identification. My property is R29275. A-26 Strong Theron. Please let me know if I can be of assistance.	Response provided via e-mail on 1/29/2019 and on 02/01/2019. Thank you for contacting me regarding the TxDOT proposed project. It is TxDOT policy and directive to notify the landowners adjacent to the TxDOT right-of-way of the public meeting. Per the Orange County tax roll, your property with Ref. ID R29275 is not within the limits of the proposed project. All construction for the proposed project would occur within the existing TxDOT right-of-way between the existing westbound and existing eastbound frontage roads. No work is proposed on the existing frontage roads at your location. TxDOT will not be acquiring any access rights or rightof-way at this location. The official comment period will end on February 22, 2019, the public meeting documentation will be posted on-line at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/getinvolved/about/hearingsmeetings/beamont/020719.html">https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/getinvolved/about/hearingsmeetings/beamont/020719.html</a> . Your comment will be included in this documentation. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me
22	Randall	Ener (Firefighter for the city of Orange)	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Emergency response	The proposed removal of the Adams Bayou turn-around and service road bridges. The removal of the bridges will not cause undue access problems. The removal of the turn-around will. The turn-around removal will cause response delays for Emergency Responses to the Tejas Parkway Area and frontage road property east of MLK.	Comment noted regarding acceptability to remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou and preference to leave the U-turns in place. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity and access to adjacent properties, including potential impacts to emergency services, across and in the vicinity of Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
23	Julie	Ford	2/21/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I would like to comment on the Lutcher Drive bridges over Adams Bayou in Orange, Texas. Most people who have lived in Orange for any length of time know that the Lutcher Drive bridges over Adams Bayou as well as the road leading up to the bridges and the turnaround will be under water if we have had a heavy rain. Many people have come to the conclusion that these bridges more or less acted as a dam during Hurricane Harvey causing many homes to flood that had never flooded before, including mine which was built in 1961! There were many reasons we had such widespread and devastating flooding during Harvey, but these bridges were no doubt a major cause. These bridges either need to be totally removed including all pilings or replaced with bridges similar to the ones over Cow Bayou in Vidor. Thank you for your consideration.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.

**IH-10 Public Meeting (February 7, 2019) Comments and Responses Summary**

24	David	Ford	2/22/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	These comments concern the ADAMS BAYOU IH-10 SERVICE ROAD (Lutcher Drive) BRIDGES IN ORANGE, TEXAS. The ADAMS BAYOU LUTCHER DRIVE BRIDGES need to be TOTALLY REMOVED INCLUDING ALL PILINGS. Adams Bayou Bridges essentially acted as a DAM in Hurricane Harvey, water COULD NOT RECEDE. Anyone who has lived in Orange for any length of time know that if there's been a HEAVY RAIN to AVOID feeder road BRIDGES OVER ADAMS BAYOU as well as the TURNAROUND under IH-10; roadway will be UNDER WATER! There have been at least TWO DROWNINGS evidently due to people not being aware of the DEPTH of WATER over the roadway! The citizens of Orange County EXPECT our GOVERNMENT officials to do what is BEST for its citizens! Studies which "prove" these bridges are not to blame have been largely discounted due to incorrect data.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
25	Cheryl	Fragomeni	2/19/2019	E-mail	1. Project support	It was a pleasure going to the DOT meeting held in Orange, TX. I picked up this form at the meeting and submitting it for you to review. The expansion looks awesome. Presently we are housing Williams Brothers that is working on phase 1. We have enjoyed having this company and feel they are a great asset. Keep us in mind for the next project. In fact, let me know prior.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
26	Mary	Frazier	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	I would like to see the bayou (Adams) picked and widened; low bridge over bayou or IH 10 raised. Maybe possibility of levee.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at Adams Bayou at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
27	Mark	Frey	2/18/2019	E-mail	1. Additional information	I am a business and property along the IH 10 Corridor. My property is located at 2214 IH 10 W. is it possible to get a set of plans for the rework of the feeder roads? Or send me a link to them and I can print them.	Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the existing frontage roads. Preliminary design schematics for the project may be downloaded from <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beaumont/020719.html">https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beaumont/020719.html</a> .
28	Joyce	Gonsoulin	2/15/2019	E-mail	1. Drainage	attached is the Comment Form which I have inserted my comments. As you will note as you read my comments, drainage is a problem and frankly manmade. We can do better with our tax dollars; the property owners on Whippoorwill are paying double possibly triple for these mistakes. I have attached several photographs taken which are self-explanatory (hopefully). Kenneth Wiemers had tried to send these photos from my cell phone but they failed to send. He seemed extremely interested in having them. One photo is the ditch on the feeder road at the new bank (that entire corner is a problem, along with the retaining ponds). The retaining ponds will always retain water until the elevation and roadway of MLK is not corrected. The other three photos is lack of maintenance, along with citizens that have absolutely no respect for "do not litter." The very idea that the flow of water backs up at the MLK IH 10 interchange/overpass and flows back into Pinehurst and makes a full circle back to Adams Bayou county drainage ditch. Amazing that engineers failed in the design.	Comments noted regarding drainage and litter issues in the vicinity of Whippoorwill Street and Martin Luther King Jr. Drive. Stated location is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address these issues. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
29	Joyce	Gonsoulin	2/15/2019	Written	1. Drainage	Drainage; proper and planned! It is very apparent that the MLK I 10 overpass was poorly planned regarding drainage. Whippoorwill is draining Pinehurst neighborhoods; MLK and the I 10 access roads. There is absolutely no excuse for ill-maintained drainage and poorly planned construction. The drainage ditches are always full of debris! The new bank on the corner of I 1- and MLK is also presenting problems. The dip of the road at MLK and Warbler is a serious problem with proper damage. It is of utmost importance and imperative that TxDOT; City of Orange; City of Pinehurst; and Orange Drainage District work together. I was of the opinion that he strip of land owned by R.E. Odom could be used as drainage; but after performing further research; pipelines and damage to residential property owners would cause loss of privacy and enjoyment as provided by legislature, and not viable! Entities in charge of Tax Dollars and funds (both Fed and State) have a fiduciary duty to perform their jobs responsibly and provide the correct and valuable services to the communities. What was the Corps. of Engineers doing for a about a week on our street during Harvey?	Comments noted regarding drainage and litter issues in the vicinity of Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, Whippoorwill Street and Warbler Street. Stated locations are not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address these issues. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. The State does not have knowledge regarding activities being performed by United States Army Corps of Engineers staff on Whippoorwill Street during Hurricane Harvey.
30	Kelly	Guillot	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I think the 2 bridges by Adams Bayou should be left sometimes we have to go that because of wrecks will make it more difficult without them. I am very concerned with all the dirt and concrete that has been added near 16th St. We are flooding more since then. I am asking that no further dirt will be added between 16th and the train track. We have to deal with the interstate making our drainage worse, we have the dams that are released when it is full and now the sea wall which will help the south of IH 10 but not the north of IH 10 drainage is becoming a big problem. Thank you	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Comment noted regarding the placement of soil and pavement in the vicinity of the IH 10/16th Street interchange. Construction of these facilities is associated with the on-going construction of project number CSJ 0028-14-109 and is not associated with the improvements proposed for the subject project CSJ 0028-14-091 therefore the subject project is not programmed to address this issue.
31	Michael	Hambrick	02/07/19	Written	1. Right turn lane	I would like to see TxDOT add a right turn lane on the southbound intersection of MLK and IH 10. Please make sure that a RN turn lanes are provided at the IH 10 and 16th Street Intersection.	Comment noted regarding preference to add a southbound-to-westbound right turn lane at the IH 10/Martin Luther King Jr. Drive interchange. Stated location is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address this issue. Comment noted regarding preference to add right turn lanes at the IH 10/16th Street interchange. Construction of the IH 10/16th Street interchange is associated with the on-going construction of project number CSJ 0028-14-109 and is not associated with the improvements proposed for the subject project CSJ 0028-14-091 therefore the subject project is not programmed to address this issue.
32	Michael	Hennigan	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	I believe this project will help with the flooding issues at Adams Bayou and north of the interstate.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
33	Martin and Shirley	Jack	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage 2. Traffic noise	The drainage on road shoulders needs to gradealld (cleaned out) so that the rain water flows toward Adams Bayou in lieu of running behind Highland Avenue on the way to Adams Bayou the distance of least a mile. See attached Google Photos, marked for water flow in red. Signs also needed for (No Engine Brake) also extremely noisy.	Stated location in the vicinity of Highland Avenue is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address stated maintenance, drainage and noise issues. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
34	Traci	Jaines	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges	I support the alternative or 3rd option. Raise Bridges and remove pylons from waterway.	Comment noted regarding preference to implement the Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
35	Bill	James Jr.	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges	I support the alternative on third option and raise bridges and remove pilons in the waterway. Take out bridges and widen choke point at bridges.	Comment noted regarding preference to implement the Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.

IH-10 Public Meeting (February 7, 2019) Comments and Responses Summary

36	Donald	Johnson	2/14/2019	E-mail	1. Drainage 2. Bridges	Hurricane Harvey resulted in flooding approximately 31 of 32 homes on my street (Memorial Drive) about 1/2 mile east of Adams Bayou. My home was one of the 31 flooded on this street (a first). Hurricane Harvey with a reported 50+ inches of rain and Hurricane Ike with an unprecedented storm surge may be called unique but I believe global warming is the culprit and that we will likely continue to see these "unique" storms in the future. According to the Orange Leader TxDOT representative Sarah Dupre stated that a hydraulic study was conducted that concluded that the current low water bridges and IH10 bridge support pilings do not cause flooding upstream. The low water bridges built in 1979 resulted in flooding Hillbrook Estates and perhaps other homes (1980s or thereabouts). The service roads are closed due to water flowing over their respective bridges following heavy thunderstorms. Obviously, the bridges raise upstream bayou level and block/restrict flow during these times. Additionally, downed trees and other debris purged from upstream can be captured by the IH10 bridge pilings, creating flow restrictions. In absence of the service road low water bridges and IH10 bridge pilings, some homes north of IH10 would probably have flooded during Hurricane Harvey but hundreds of other homes would not have flooded. Eliminating service road bridges and IH10 bridge support pilings is an expensive proposition. I get that. But I would estimate that flood damages to 31 homes (and multiple cars) on my short dead end street surely exceeded \$2 million and disrupted the lives of hundreds of people. I have no idea how much money flooded families spent for alternate housing, food, and other necessities but it had to be substantial. Three homes are still unoccupied on my street I am a retired mechanical engineer and I understand how restrictions result in reduced flow. I find it difficult to have confidence in a hydraulic analysis that says low water bridges and debris-blocked bridge supports do not contribute to flooding. Installing IH10 bridges on Adams Bayou similar to those already installed on Cow Bayou is a viable solution to reduce flood vulnerability. Respectively, I request that TxDOT compare increased bridge costs versus the total recovery cost of homes (north of IH10) damaged by Hurricane Harvey.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove and reconstruct the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads similar in configuration as at Cow Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
37	Brittany	Leffew	2/8/2019	E-mail	1. Road closures	Hi I was not able to attend the meeting tonight but wanted to ask a couple of questions about the project. Will hwy 87/16th street be shut down underneath i10 during the project? If so, when will this began? Thank you for your time.	Response provided via e-mail on 2/8/2019. The proposed IH-10 Widening Project will have no impact on the SH87/16th Street at grade intersection and we do not anticipate any closure to the SH87/16th intersection. The official comment period will end on February 22, 2019, the public meeting documentation will be posted on-line at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/getinvolved/about/hearingsmeetings/beamont/020719.html">https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/getinvolved/about/hearingsmeetings/beamont/020719.html</a> . Your comment will be included in this documentation.
38	Nathan	McCollum	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	The Adams Bayou turn around; will it be raise to an elevation to prevent flooding? How will Simmons Drive turn around be affected?	The existing mainlane bridges at Adams Bayou are proposed to remain in place and be widened. Due to vertical clearance restrictions between the mainlane bridge superstructures and the existing U-turns, the existing U-turns cannot be raised to an elevation to prevent them from flooding/overtopping during significant storm events. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Construction of the IH 10/Simmons Drive interchange is associated with the on-going construction of project number CSJ 0028-14-109 and is not associated with the improvements proposed for the subject project CSJ 0028-14-091. No changes to the IH 10/Simmons Drive interchange configuration are proposed under the subject project. Preliminary design schematics for the subject project may be downloaded from <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beamont/020719.html">https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/get-involved/about/hearings-meetings/beamont/020719.html</a> .
39	W.F.	McDuffie Jr.	02/07/19	Written	1. Frontage roads	I know it's not part of project but feeder lanes on north and south side of I-10 east of HWY 62 near IB's BBQ are in terrible shape and need to be done right!	Comment noted regarding condition of existing frontage roads east of SH 62. Stated location is not within the project limits therefore project is not programmed to address this issue.
40	Alice	Norwood	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
41	Thomas	Norwood	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
42	Judith	O'Grady	2/17/2019	E-mail	1. Drainage 2. Access	My name is Judith A. O'Grady, daughter of Fred and Ervine Avant, and I am Susan Ash's sister. I am sending this message to state that I agree with the comments she has submitted regarding the proposed widening of IH-10 near our parent's property. Thank you for adding my name to this discussion.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads between the west project limit and 16th Street. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity and access to adjacent properties in the vicinity of Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Should access from the stated properties to the frontage roads be affected by the proposed project design, the State will follow established guidelines which can be found online at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html">https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html</a> .
43	Karen	Olson	2/17/2019	E-mail	1. Drainage 2. Bridges	At the TX DOT public meeting on Feb. 7, the expansion of lanes along I-10 in Orange was discussed. Regarding this expansion I want to voice my opinion and let you know I support the alternative plan which would alleviate the flooding and safety concerns with regards to the service roads and turnarounds at Adams Bayou. I like the elevated service rd	Comment noted regarding preference to implement the Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
44	Nancy	Richards	2/21/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I believe these bridges have caused flooding upstream of IH10 since their installation. They should be removed to allow for free flow of water during heavy rain events as it was originally. It might also help to widen the opening under IH10 at Adams Bayou as the Interstate itself restricts water flow. We live North of IH10 and some of the drainage from our neighborhood goes to Adams Bayou, hopefully removing these bridges would give us some relief.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges across Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Comment noted regarding preference to widen the channel at Adams Bayou. Channel cannot be widened without causing detrimental impacts to existing bridge foundations and significant environmental impacts to existing wetlands.
45	Wellman	Richards	2/21/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	As a concerned citizen of Orange, I would like to see above mentioned bridges removed during IH10 upgrades due to flooding concerns. When they were first built, people's homes just north of IH10 flooded, which had not happened before. During heavy rains the access roads have to be closed, and the bridges act as dams restricting drainage. Besides flooding, these are also safety concerns.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges across Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
46	John	Ritter	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Its about time the got done.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.

**IH-10 Public Meeting (February 7, 2019) Comments and Responses Summary**

47	Dave	Rogers	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	Nice setup. Hope whatever you do helps with drainage.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
48	Scott	Rollins	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I came tonight to see who was crazy enough to blame TxDOT for Hurricane Harvey flooding. TxDOT can eliminate the frontage road bridges and remove all piling under the bridges, but it will not stop the flooding north of IH 10. Hillbrook Estates, Mr. Clary's property and other north of IH 10 will flood again. It is a certainty. Maybe not in my lifetime, but they will flood. Orange County is too flat and cannot handle all the water in a major flood event. TxDOT cannot do anything to prevent flooding north of IH 10.	Comments noted regarding drainage issues. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
49	Joseph L. Pete	Runnels	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
50	Gracie	Sims	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I do not support Adams Bayou Bridge (turn around) staying in place. Turn around causes a dam that causes back flow of water everytime we see any rain. The turn around needs to come out. If we get rain up to 5 inches it floods. The turn around serves no purpose, and is a safety hazard.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage roads and U-turns at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
51	Cullin	Smith	02/07/19	Written	1. Frontage roads	Keep the feeder roads for add. traffic flow in the event of stopping for accidents, etc.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
52	Alex	Swati		Written	1. Construction	We are currently housing company (Williams Brothers) at property for existing Phase I. Would be interested in housing more people working on projects on I-10.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
53	Michael	Sweezy	2/25/2019	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I believe these bridges flooded homes. I want these bridges removed or replaced.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
54	Lucy	Terry	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	Adams Bayou - needs to be cleaned and widened. Trash is all in it and it is clogged up. Bayou rises in front of our house with a simple rain.	Comment noted regarding litter within Adams Bayou. Litter will be removed along Adams Bayou within IH 10 right of way as part of the construction of the subject project. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary. Comment noted regarding preference to widen the channel at Adams Bayou. Channel cannot be widened without causing detrimental impacts to existing bridge foundations and significant environmental impacts to existing wetlands.
55	Johnny	Trahan (Count Commissioner)	03/01/19	Written	1. Bridges	I recommend removing Adams Bayou Feeder Road bridges, installing auxillary lanes on the main bridges as well as maintaing turn-arounds.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and leave the U-turns in place at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Comment noted regarding preference to add auxiliary lanes on the mainlane bridges at Adams Bayou. The current preliminary design for the project includes providing 3 through-lanes and an auxiliary lane in each direction between the entrance and exit ramps and across the mainlane bridges at Adams Bayou.
56	Harry	Vine (City of Pinehurst)	2/21/2019	E-mail	1. Access	The City of Pinehurst is responding, via this letter, to the request for comments regarding the proposed improvements to widening of the IH 10 Bridge that crosses Adams Bayou. After reviewing the proposed improvements as shown in the map titled Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou, Public Meeting, February 7, 2019 the City has grave concerns with the proposed changes. The proposed changes, as drawn, would eliminate access to all of the undeveloped property along IH 10 that is in the city limits of Pinehurst. Pinehurst would therefore have lost its ability for any economic development along IH 10. Pinehurst is a small city and needs any and all pathways to increase economic development so that we may fund improvements to infrastructure and city services for its citizens. If the current plan is followed state and federal funds would have to be expended to acquire the properties that would have lost access. The City of Pinehurst feels that this would be an unfortunate use of taxpayer funds. There is, however, a solution that the City would find acceptable as a compromise. If the two u-turn lanes under the overpass were kept and improved this would allow access to the affected properties and allow development of those properties in the future. The City of Pinehurst looks forward to working diligently with TXDOT, Orange County Drainage District, Orange County and all other agencies affected to improve access to and through the Orange Area, while also aiding the area in our quest to increase economic development and providing a better quality of life for all citizens of the area.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and leave the U-turns in place at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Should access from undeveloped property along IH 10 to the frontage roads be affected by the proposed project design, the State will follow established guidelines which can be found online at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html">https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html</a> .
57	Matthew	Volkman	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges	I strongly object to the proposed alternative to remove the frontage roads across Adams Bayou. I use them daily and I believe there is an insufficient record of safety issues to justify their removal. Further, the proposed alternative functionally reduces capacity in a project that intends to increase capacity. Please raise the frontage roads or leave them alone.	Comment noted regarding preference to not remove the existing frontage roads across Adams Bayou or otherwise to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. Project is not programmed to remove and reconstruct the frontage roads at a higher elevation. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design.
58	Robert and Susan	Wallace	02/07/19	Written	1. Speed limit 2. Safety	Speed limit signs needs changing. Worker safety should be recognized first. Traffic very fast westbound Simmons to 16th Street.	Comments noted regarding posted speed limit, contractor worker safety and traffic speed within existing construction zone associated with the on-going construction of project number CSJ 0028-14-109 which is not associated with the improvements proposed for the subject project CSJ 0028-14-091. The traffic control plans for the subject project CSJ 0028-14-091 will be designed according to guidance contained in the Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the State's traffic engineering standard drawings.
59	Samuel W.	Watters	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	I would be beneficial to have been able to talk with drainage district/corp of engineers. Reps to hear what their plans are for improving or addressing drainage issues.	Comment noted regarding preference to meet with Orange County Drainage District staff and United States Army Corps of Engineers staff to discuss their plans for addressing drainage issues. The stated agencies are separate from the State but concerned citizens may independently contact them.
60	Sam	Watts Jr.	02/07/19	Written	1. Bridges 2. Drainage	I would prefer that Adams Bayou eliminate feeder roads. For safety issues and for drainage purposes. Also I 10 at location bridge would be high enough to allow boats and other equipment to come North to service rest of Adams Bayou.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage roads and U-turns at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
61	Traya	Wilson	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
62	Harvey	Wilson, Jr.	02/07/19	Written	1. Project support	Commenter checked the box on the Comment Form indicating that they support the proposed project.	Comment noted regarding support of the proposed project.
63	James	Wright	2/17/2019	E-mail	1. Bridges 2. Flooding	I would like to give support for the alternative plan for the I-10 project. It is my understanding that the service road and turnaround at Adams Bayou would be removed. This is fine by me, as it would likely reduce the possibility of flooding in the area.	Comment noted regarding preference to implement the Alternative Concept at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
64	Tabitha	Yust	02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage 2. Bridges	Floods our property very bad. Not safe to drive due to rain water backs up get rid of bridges.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. The State will perform a drainage study at Adams Bayou to assess the potential impacts of the alternatives that could be implemented in the project and develop drainage mitigation strategies as necessary.
65	Matthew		02/07/19	Written	1. Drainage	Put in automatic barrier for flooding.	Comment noted regarding preference to install float-actuated barrier gates that would limit access along frontage roads and U-turns when the roadways are flooded/overtopped during significant storm events.
66	Johnny	Trahan	2/26/2019	Johnny	1. Drainage	I recommend removing Adams Bayou Feeder Road bridges, installing auxillary lanes on the main bridges as well as maintaing turn-arounds.	Comment noted regarding preference to remove the existing frontage road bridges and leave the U-turns in place at Adams Bayou. The State will analyze potential alternatives to maintain east-west connectivity across Adams Bayou to develop best alternative for potential implementation in the project design. Should access from undeveloped property along IH 10 to the frontage roads be affected by the proposed project design, the State will follow established guidelines which can be found online at <a href="https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html">https://www.txdot.gov/government/processes-procedures/row.html</a> .