



# Historical Studies Project Coordination Request (PCR)

[Reset Form](#)

Main CSJ 1002-01-006

District personnel should complete this form with all appropriate documentation attached. ENV-HIST staff review is contingent on provision of an active CSJ (or equivalent if the project is not a construction project) against which environmental work can be charged. District personnel shall ensure project description information in ECOS is complete and accurate prior to submitting the PCR to ENV-HIST. District-provided responses should reflect known data about the project and identify any limitations that hindered provision of the requested information. ENV-HIST staff will review the PCR form and attached information per established Documentation Standards. This review will result in:

- ENV-HIST environmental clearance of the project; OR
- ENV-HIST identification of additional technical studies required for clearance; OR
- ENV-HIST rejection of the PCR for failure to meet specific Documentation Standards and instructions on how to redress the rejection.

**This form specifies minimally required information needed to properly facilitate ENV-HIST's review process. Please submit all relevant documentation with this PCR at one time.**

**NOTE:** \* If this project information changes over the course of design OR if the funding source changes, then HIST requires re-coordination and a revised PCR in ECOS.

  No   If FHWA funded, does the project conform to the type listed in Appendix 4 and the HistoricResourcesToolkit?  
 OR  
 Does this historic coordination apply to the AntiquitiesCode as referenced in the HistoricResourcesToolkit?

## Information Required to Process Projects with Potential to Affect Historic Properties

1. Targeted ENV clearance date: June 1, 2020
2. \*Anticipated letting date: August 2025
3. "Historic-age" date (let date minus 45 years): 1980
4.   Yes   \*The proposed action is subject to federal permitting (i.e. Corps of Engineers, Coast Guard, IBWC, etc.).

Describe:

USACE

5.   Yes   \*The proposed action requires additional ROW (purchased or donated) or easements?

Parcel ID	Required NewROW (acres)	Required NewEasements	
		Temporary	Permanent
See attached table.	65.83	0	0
<a href="#">AddRow</a>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

[Delete](#)



Reset Form

6. The following maps, tables or equivalents are uploaded to ECOS.

Yes/No/NA	Map Type	ECOS File Name:
<u>Yes</u>	Existing and proposed ROW boundaries.	HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf
<u>Yes</u>	Parcel boundaries for properties within the APE.	HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf
<u>Yes</u>	Results of the Texas Historic Sites Atlas search, identifying NHL, NRHP, SAL, and RTHL resources located within one-quarter mile of the project area listed in a table format and identified on color aerial map(s) or equivalent.	HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf
	Comments:	According to the Texas Historic Sites Atlas, there are no NHL, NRHP or RTHL resources mapped in the study area. The Atlas does not offer mapped locations of SAL-designated properties, but SALs are mapped in TxDOT's GIS data (see next comment box).
<u>Yes</u>	Results of TxDOT eligibility and historic bridge layers search. (See Historic Resources Toolkit for links).	HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf
	Comments:	There are no NRHP-eligible bridges located within the study area, according to TxDOT's historic bridge GIS data. According to TxDOT's current GIS data records, there are two previously-surveyed historic properties within the study area. They are the Dickinson Railroad Depot and the League City Railroad Depot, located at the northeast corner of FM 517 and Kellner Street. The depots are NRHP-eligible under Criterion C. There are no SALs mapped in the study area.

7. Yes Representative and dated photographs of the project area are uploaded to ECOS.

Note: Photographs should include the following elements:

1. Buildings/structures adjacent to project, especially if TxDOT will acquire ROW or easements from parcel.
2. Road Features (culverts, bridges, landscaping, etc.)
3. Areas of proposed construction.

File Name in ECOS: HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf

8. Yes Preliminary plans are uploaded to ECOS.

File Name in ECOS: HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20 PCR Attachments.pdf

9. Yes Historic-age bridges are within the project area.

Location	NBI #	Year Built	Eligibility
----------	-------	------------	-------------



# Historical Studies Project Coordination Request (PCR)

[Reset Form](#)

Location	NBI#	YearBuilt	Eligibility
FM 517 over CEDAR CREEK	120850100202002	1948; reconstructed 1988	Not Eligible
FM 517 over DICKINSON BAYOU	120850100202001	1948; reconstructed 1988	Not Eligible

[Delete](#)

[Delete](#)

[AddRow](#)

9.1 Yes Aerial map(s) or equivalent with bridge location(s) identified are uploaded to ECOS.

File Name in ECOS: HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20PCR Attachments.pdf

9.2 No CHC consultation required (contact HIST if needed).

10. Yes Rock masonry features (culverts, ditches, walls, etc.) are within the project area.

10.1 Yes The following information is uploaded to ECOS:

1. Photographs of each feature and any identifying marks.
2. Map showing location of each feature.

File Name in ECOS: HIST PCR 1002-01-00601-20PCR Attachments.pdf

11. No Historic-age rest area(s) are located within the project area.

12. No The proposed action involves the relocation of historical markers.

13. Yes Additional consulting parties (other than the THC) may be involved in this project.

Consulting Party Name	Representing	Contact Information
Sandra Pollan	Brazoria CHC	109 Lazy Lane Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979) 265-6910 pollanone@sbcglobal.net
Ralph Stenzel	Galveston CHC	PO Box 125 Santa Fe, TX 77510 (409) 925-8401 altaloma@comcast.net

[Delete](#)

[Delete](#)

[AddRow](#)

### Additional Project Comments:

TxDOT approved a June 2016 Final HRSR for this project, which evaluated a footprint for the project encompassing three possible alternatives and had a historic-age cut-off date of 1973 (based on an assumed let date of 2018). No properties were determined eligible for the NHRP as a result of the survey.

Additional, minor modifications to the project design were subsequently made. (At the intersection of FM 517 and McFarland Street, proposed right-of-way would be acquired from parcels along the east side of McFarland Street that were not included in the 2016 survey.) These design modifications were cleared with a Supplemental PCR in 2017.



**Reset Form**

Since January 2017, when the Supplemental PCR was cleared, small changes were made to the design that did not result in the addition of any parcels to the APE. However, the let date has been updated to 2025. Standard TxDOT practice calls for the documentation of all resources built 45 years prior to the let date (built in 1980 and earlier). There are resources on 13 parcels in the APE with construction dates from 1974 to 1980 (according to county appraisal district data) that have not been previously documented. Considering a 50 year cut-off (the 45 year cut-off is intended to include a 5 year buffer), the historic-age cut-off date would be 1975. Resources on 6 of the 13 parcels were built in 1974 or 1975. See table in attachments.

### District Personnel Certification

  Yes   I reviewed all submitted documents for quality assessment and control.

Reina Gonzalez  
District Personnel Name

January 29, 2020  
Date:



[Reset Form](#)

The following table shows the revision history for this document.

Revision History	
Effective Date Month, Year	Reason for and Description of Change
December 2013	Version 1 released.
June 2015	Version 2 released. The form was converted to a PDF format. Form level validations were installed to ensure that all certified forms contained the minimum required information. Various questions were modified to accommodate the improved functionality of the PDF format.
August 2015	Version 3 released. Revised the form to make it compatible with Adobe Acrobat Reader DC. No changes were made to the question sequence or form logic.
June 2019	Version 4 released. The form was updated to include a separate section for Appendix 4. Additional questions were added for form logic.