

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
1	ALL		Design	Does TxDOT have any objection to using NCHRP Report 581 on the MOT design?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
2	ALL		Design	Will there any restrictions against the use of fracture critical members?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
3	ALL		Design	Will the job be using the new MASH Temp K-Rail Specifications?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
4	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.3, Procurement Schedule	We would like to request an extension of 8 weeks for the QS Due Date.	QS Due Date will be extended one week. Please see revision in Addendum No. 1.
5	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.3, Procurement Schedule	Due to the complexity and scope of work, please extend the QS due date by four (4) weeks.	QS Due Date will be extended one week. Please see revision in Addendum No. 1.
6	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.7, Federal Requirements	Section 3.7 indicates that the RFP will be drafted on the assumption that the plan of finance for the project will remain eligible for federal-aid funds. 23 CFR § 635.110 prohibits any procedures which restrict competition. By requiring the completion of a prequalification letter based on the confidential questionnaire, which requires name registration in this State, the RFQ is effectively limiting competition against non-residents of the state. Please remove prequalification requirement from the RFQ process and require it only at the time that a proposal is due.	No change will be made. The prequalification process is used in TxDOT's design-bid-build procurements for federal funded projects. Requesting a prequalification letter does not restrict firms from competing on the Project.
7	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.8, DBE Requirements	DBE goals for the Project will be 23% of the design-build price allocable to professional services and 14.5% of the design-build price allocable to construction work. Proposer questions the available DBE capacity for this project meeting the stated goals given the size of this project and high levels of DBE opportunities currently across many different markets in Texas. Please provide proposers TxDOT's DBE Capacity Study and Analysis justifying the increased level of requirement to allow industry insight on the DBE markets, expertise and locations that may be available and the capacities.	For more information on DBE goals, refer to TxDOT's DBE goal methodology and triennial report, which can be found at https://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/division/civil-rights/dbe-goal-methodology.html .
8	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.8, DBE Requirements	TxDOT's DBE rules are restrictive on DBE application and request consideration to broadening the allowable scopes of DBE services available/allowed to apply against TxDOT's program goals.	TxDOT is committed to DBE participation on all projects. The DBE program adheres to the regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, 49 CFR Part 26.
9	RFQ	Section 3	Section 3.8, DBE Requirements	Major Participant = 5% or \$20 M for this size project? Forms B-1 and C Increase dollar amount to \$50 million due to the size and complexity of this project	No change will be made. RFQ Exhibit A, Section 1.1 defines Major Participant as the <u>greater</u> of 5% or \$20 million. For this Project, it would be approximately \$75 million.
10	RFQ	Section 4	Section 4.2(c), Format	Please allow for 10-point font to be used in diagrams, organizational charts and other such graphics.	No change will be made.
11	RFQ	Section 4	Section 4.2(c), Format	Section 4.2(c) states "Volume 1 must be presented in Arial (not Arial Narrow), 12-point font, including in diagrams, organizational charts and other such graphics." Please revise to allow for 10-point font on diagrams, organizational charts, and other such graphics.	No change will be made.
12	RFQ	Section 5	Section 5.2, Pass/Fail Review	In section 5.2 Pass/Fail Review paragraph (e), we suggest to incorporate the following exemption: "The QS includes a valid prequalification letter from TxDOT indicating the Adjusted Bidding Capacity for each of the Proposer entities required to be prequalified, as described in Exhibit C, Section D. If by any reason the Proposer Entity cannot submit the prequalification letter at the time of the QS due date, it is mandatory to submit the proof of their prequalification at the date of the Proposal submittal."	No change will be made. Proposers are encouraged to contact TxDOT Construction Division early and file the required documentation to obtain the prequalification letters in due time (please refer to RFQ Exhibit A, Section 1.2). Proposers should notify TxDOT's Authorized Representative if they encounter any difficulties with the prequalification process.
13	RFQ	Section 6	Section 6.1, Improper Communications and Contacts	TxDOT has not included private utility owners in the improper communications and contacts and has not provided listing of stakeholders to include private utility owners. Will TxDOT be amending the RFQ or is it the intention to allow contacts of private utility owners other than ONCOR Transmission?	TxDOT's intention is to not allow Proposers to contact stakeholders at this time. Please see revision in Addendum No. 1.
14	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section E, Technical Qualifications; Section H, Key Personnel Identification and Qualifications	As the SE Connector is a Ft Worth District project, for any project from people (Forms G and I) or companies (Forms D, E and H) that is a Ft Worth District project, can forms be submitted without a reference as many Ft Worth District individuals are precluded from providing references?	No change will be made. As set forth in RFQ Exhibit B, Sections E and H, Proposers should notify TxDOT's Authorized Representatives if they are unable to identify and contact any reference based on these exclusions, so TxDOT can help identify alternative references, but Proposers should not omit a reference project from Forms D or G-2 solely due to its inability to contact any TxDOT personnel identified in Table 2 or 3.

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
15	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section F, Statement of Technical Approach	List item (d) states that we need to describe our understanding and demonstrate the importance of the ability to plan, organize and execute an independent quality assurance program throughout the Project lifecycle. Please indicate which evaluation criteria (a, b, or c) in Section 5.3.2, pages 13-14 will be used to evaluate our description of the independent quality assurance program.	The criterion for independent quality assurance program has been added to RFQ Section 5.3.2. Please see revision in Addendum No. 1.
16	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section H, Key Personnel Identification and Qualifications (Maintenance Manager)	<p>Section 5.3.1(f) and Exhibit B Volume 1 Section H require the Proposer to submit the name and qualifications of a Maintenance Manager.</p> <p>Section 5.4 states that "persons nominated for Key Personnel positions in the QS may not be changed without TxDOT approval."</p> <p>Section H Table 4 defines the role of the Maintenance Manager as "Responsible for all matters of capital maintenance on the Project on behalf of the DB Contractor, and interfacing with TxDOT in compliance with the CMC."</p> <p>The individual named in this position will not be dedicated to and active on the project until the CMC is executed which could be as long as six years after the submission of the QS. It is impractical to expect the Proposer to determine, with any degree of accuracy, the availability of personnel that far in the future. Please delete the requirement for a Maintenance Manager to be submitted as a Key Personnel.</p>	No change will be made. The Maintenance Manager will be involved throughout the design and construction phases of the Project as contemplated in Item 27 of the DB Specifications.
17	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section J, Previous Experience Working with DBEs; Form J-1, DBE Information	<p>Exhibit B states "The Proposer and each Equity Member, Lead Contractor, Lead Engineering Firm and any other entity providing engineering or construction experience for the projects referenced on Forms D-1 or D-2 shall provide a separate Form J-1 (DBE Information) for each DBE with which the Proposer entity, either as prime contractor or an equity member of the prime contractor, has worked in the last three years pursuant to a direct subcontract with a value over \$1 million (including all change orders and contract supplements).</p> <p>Form J states "The Proposer and each Equity Member, Lead Contractor, Lead Engineering Firm and any other entity (company, joint venture, partnership or consortium) providing engineering or construction experience for the projects referenced on <u>Forms D-1 or D-2</u> (each of the foregoing referred to herein as a "Proposer Entity") must submit with the Proposer's QS a separate <u>Form J-1</u> (DBE Information) for each DBE with which the Proposer Entity, either as prime contractor or an equity member of the prime contractor, has worked with in the last three years pursuant to a direct subcontract with a value over \$1 million (including all change orders and contract supplements).</p> <p>Please revise the language in Section J to read "... for each DBE with which the Proposer entity, either as prime contractor, or an equity member of the prime contractor, or engineering firm, has worked in the last three years pursuant to a direct subcontract with a value over \$1 million (including all change orders and contract supplements) on the projects referenced on Forms D-1 or D-2.</p> <p>Please revise the language in Form J-1 to read "for each DBE with which the Proposer Entity, either as prime contractor, or an equity member of the prime contractor, or engineering firm, has worked with in the last three years pursuant to a direct subcontract with a value over \$1 million (including all change orders and contract supplements) on the projects referenced on Forms D-1 or D-2.</p> <p>As currently written the number of forms required could be voluminous. And as this is a new requirement and not previously documented the time required to compile this information would necessitate an extension of the submission deadline.</p>	Please see TxDOT's response to question #18 and #19 below and the revision in Addendum No. 1.
18	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section J, Previous Experience Working with DBEs; Form J-1, DBE Information	<p>The instructions provided in Section J and on Form J-1 can be interpreted two ways:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> For the companies listed on Forms D-1 & D-2, they are required to submit Forms J-1 for every project performed in the last three (3) years where they used DBE subcontractors with contracts over \$1 million dollars. For the companies listed on Forms D-1 & D-2, they are required to submit Forms J-1 for their respective projects listed on Forms D-1 or D-2 where they used DBE subcontractors on those respective projects with contracts over \$1 million dollars. <p>Please confirm which interpretation (1 or 2) is correct.</p>	Interpretation 1 is correct. Please see clarifying language in Addendum No. 1.

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
19	RFQ	Exhibit B, Volume 1	Exhibit B, Section J, Previous Experience Working with DBEs; Form J-1, DBE Information; Form J-2, DBE Reference Form	The J-1 and J-2 forms are to be completed for a DBE firm that "has worked with the firms noted on forms D-1/D-2 in the last three years pursuant to a direct subcontract" yet the D-1/D-2 firms reference relevant projects within the past 10 years. Please clarify if we are to report DBE firms that are limited to the utilization within the last 3 years or DBE firms which subcontract with the D-1/D-2 firms for the projects listed which could exceed the 3 year limitation.	Forms J-1 and J-2 are limited to utilization within the last three years with direct subcontracts exceeding \$1 million dollars. Please see clarifying language in Addendum No. 1.
20	RFQ	Exhibit C, Volume 2	Exhibit C, Section A, Financial Statements	RFQ states: "Information for each entity should be packaged separately and include a cover sheet identifying the name of the organization[...]" Please clarify 'packaged separately'; does this mean separated by tabs, binders, envelopes, etc. for the hard copies? Are separate USBs required?	As set forth in RFQ Section 4.2(a), each hard copy of Volume 2 should be in its own binder, which <u>may</u> be subdivided using dividers in accordance with RFQ Section 4.3. RFQ Section 4.2(a) also states that Proposers <u>may</u> submit financial information on separate USB drives for each entity.
21	RFQ	Exhibit C, Volume 2	Exhibit C, Section D, Bidding Capacity	Exhibit C, Section D requires a prequalification letter based on the confidential questionnaire for any Guarantor(s). For Guarantor(s) that are not Equity Members and are solely providing financial support, it is unreasonable to require such Guarantors to complete a confidential questionnaire, which is meant to prequalify an entity to perform work. It also requires Guarantors that are foreign corporations to file name registrations with the Texas Secretary of State and to continue to maintain such registration even if the foreign corporation has no intention of performing work in this State. Please remove the requirement for Guarantors to be prequalified.	No change will be made.
22	RFQ	Forms	Form F, Safety Questionnaire	Please confirm that the past three years rates are required for Question 1-Tables 1 and 2 similar to the years requested in Question 2 (2019, 2018, and 2017).	Form F is correct. Years 2016, 2017, and 2018 are the most recent years provided on the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics website for Question 1, Tables 1 and 2. For Question 2, Table 3, Proposer should include data for the three most recent years for which annual data is available.
23	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Notices to Proceed	Proposer suggest that the current NTP clauses do not capture the complexity and schedule needs for this project. With the 294 ROW parcels and associated utility relocations and adjustments work in these areas taking an historical average of 18 months to clear before construction activity may efficiently proceed limits construction duration to about 3.5 years under a traditional 5 year duration from NTP 1 to Substantial Completion Deadline. Request TxDOT provide a minimum of one year for advancement of ROW and utility relocation prior to beginning 5 year time period for the purposes of construction duration liquidated damages and triggering 102 days to Final Acceptance. Suggested NTP regime: TxDOT anticipates issuing two Notices to Proceed ("NTP") authorizing the Work necessary to complete the project. Notice to Proceed 1 ("NTP1") is expected to be issued concurrently with execution of the DBC. Issuance of NTP1 authorizes the DB Contractor to perform the portion of the Work necessary to obtain TxDOT's approval of the Project Management Plan, Quality Management Plan and Project Baseline Schedule 2; to enter the Project Right of Way ("ROW") that TxDOT owns and that is necessary for construction in order to conduct surveys and site investigations, including geotechnical, Hazardous Materials and Utilities investigations; to perform certain ROW acquisition services; and to commence negotiating Utility Agreements with Utility Owners for the Project. Upon approval of the component parts of the PMP DB Contractor may proceed with all work pertaining to such PMP component part. Specifically including Design Work, ROW Acquisition Services, Utility Adjustments and Relocations Work. TxDOT anticipates issuing Notice to Proceed 2 ("NTP2") concurrently with: (a) TxDOT's approval of all component parts of the Project Management Plan, Quality Management Plan, and (b) TxDOT's approval of the Project Baseline Schedule 2. Issuance of NTP2 authorizes the DB Contractor to perform all other Work and activities pertaining to the Project. TxDOT anticipates issuing NTP 3 "Commencement of Construction time period" as TxDOT determines according to ROW Acquisition has progress and utility relocations has progress consistent with DB Contractors approved project schedule. DB Contractor and TxDOT will Partner to resolve any recovery schedule, outstanding impacts or delays remaining due to ROW or utility work.	No change will be made. ROW scheduling, sequencing and risk allocation will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
24	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Right of Way	In addition to the schedule relief for ROW acquisition delays provided in the DBC request TxDOT be include cost relief, time related overhead relief and /or delay and disruption damages for parcels acquisition extending critical path schedule due to no failure of DB Contractor to meet its DBC obligations.	No change will be made. ROW scheduling, sequencing and risk allocation will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
25	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Right of Way	Will TxDOT allow all ROW acquisitions to be submitted to Transportation Commission when surveys are completed and approved (prior to Initial Offer)?	ROW scheduling, sequencing and risk allocation will be discussed and refined with the shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
26	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Right of Way	Will TxDOT require Contractor to wait until Final Offer letters are sent to Property Owners before preparing E-49 packages?	ROW scheduling, sequencing and risk allocation will be discussed and refined with the shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
27	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Right of Way	Will TxDOT perform Environmental Site Assessments (Phase 1 for all parcels, Phase II if required, for all ROW Acquisition parcels)?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
28	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Right of Way	Will TxDOT be completing all acquisitions underway prior to Contract Award or will there be partially completed acquisitions for DB Contractor to complete.	TxDOT anticipates ROW to be partially completed at time of contract execution. Please refer to RFQ Exhibit D, Section 6.
29	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, New Environmental Approvals	Preliminary analysis of the Preliminary Schematic Design yields significant technical and constructability issues requiring detours and traffic pattern shifts unduly impacting road users and extending construction duration far beyond that contemplated by TxDOT. Significant adjustments in roadway alignments, grades and in some cases ramp movements are needed to provide adequate constructability and to provide for efficient construction and traffic handling. Suggest that TxDOT provide consideration in the DBC-New Environmental Approvals section providing its necessary collaboration and assistance to accomplish changes to existing approvals for technical refinements to the Schematic Design that are consistent with TxDOT's project goals for minimizing impacts to the community, motorist and, for delivering the project with schedule efficiency.	No change will be made. Risk allocation pertaining to sequencing, scheduling and environmental compliance will remain with DB Contractor.
30	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, New Environmental Approvals	Preliminary analysis of the Preliminary Schematic Design yields significant technical and constructability issues requiring detours and traffic pattern shifts unduly impacting road users and extending construction duration far beyond that contemplated by TxDOT. Significant adjustments in roadway alignments, grades and in some cases movements are needed to provide adequate constructability and to provide for efficient construction and traffic handling. Suggest that TxDOT provide consideration in the DBC-New Environmental Approvals section to provide its necessary collaboration and assistance to accomplish changes to existing approvals for technical refinements to the Schematic Design that are consistent with TxDOT's project goals for minimizing impacts to the community, motorist and, for delivering the project with schedule efficiency.	No change will be made. Risk allocation pertaining to sequencing, scheduling and environmental compliance will remain with DB Contractor.
31	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Differing Site Conditions	Request TxDOT amend Differing Site Conditions risk allocation DB Contractor entitlement for instances where the DB Contractor exceed the Differing Site Condition costs exceed the Aggregate Deductible Cap, DB Contractor is entitled to time extension, delay, disruption, resequencing damages.	No change will be made. Risk allocation provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
32	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Hazardous Materials	Request risk allocation contained in DBC terms and conditions for delays due to Hazardous Materials Management for Pre-Existing Hazardous Materials be amended as follows: DB Contractor be entitled to cost relief and, resequencing, delay and disruption damages for Hazardous Materials Management when "(b) 100% of Hazardous Materials Management Costs for Pre-Existing Hazardous Materials Management encountered by DB Contractor exceeds the cap in the DBC."	No change will be made. Risk allocation provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
33	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Utilities	Risk allocation in DBC terms and conditions for delays due to New Utilities, Unidentified Utilities, Uncooperative Utility Owners needs to address DB Contractors entitlement to cost relief and; resequencing, delay and disruption damages.	No change will be made. Risk allocation provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
34	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Other Relief Events	Clause h) provides relief for new or changes to Third-Party Agreements. TxDOT has narrowly defined Third Party Agreements in past project RFP's. Request that DBC Exhibit containing listing of Third Parties contain all agreements with city and private utility owners, Floodway and drainage authorities and railroads(unless specifically stated otherwise).	No change will be made. Risk allocation provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
35	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Other Relief Events	Suggested change in Other Relief event for Railroad clause: " i) Exhibit A is included in the RIDs and incorporated by reference into the DBC prior to the Proposal Due Date for reliance by DB Contractor for Pricing purposes. Any changes over \$10,000 in the final plans approved by a railroad from the applicable preliminary Exhibit A for Work involving the railroad's property that were not caused by (i) modifications to the Schematic Design that were initiated by DB Contractor or (ii) DB Contractor's election not to utilize the applicable preliminary Exhibit A included in the RIDs."	No change will be made. Risk allocation provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
36	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Other Relief Events	Please provide a date when the UPRR Exhibit A will be provided.	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
37	RFQ	DBA Term Sheet	DBC Term Sheet, Non-Compliance Points	As referenced in the Strategic Contracts Management website of Programmatic Documents the Non-compliance Exhibit 16 is not acceptable for inclusion in the DBC. Prior to any such NCP regime inclusion in DBC all items should be reviewed in a joint TxDOT/ Industry work group to agree on appropriate use and application, if any.	No change will be made.
38	RFQ	CMA Term Sheet	CMC Term Sheet, Traffic (ESAL) Risk	ESALs provided by TxDOT for Design of Pavements. TxDOT provided information as the Public Sector Sponsor has a duty to provide the information on which the project is to be designed and maintained. DB Contractor has no ability to dispute TxDOT/Regional traffic data and forecast and should not be held liable for large swings in traffic volumes.	No change will be made.

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
39	RID	As-Built	As-Built	Please provide as-built drawings for all structures along the project corridor	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
40	RID	Bridge	Bridge Clearance	The existing clearance of I-20 South over Hartman Road is substandard (15'-2"). This bridge is proposed to be widened to the outside. Is it required to maintain the existing substandard clearance by providing an alternative superstructure type?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
41	RID	Bridge	Bridge Clearance	The existing clearance of US-287 South over Sublett Road is substandard (15'-11"). This bridge is proposed to be widened to the inside. Will the substandard clearance of the existing bridges have to be fixed?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
42	RID	Drainage	Storm Drain	TxDOT to provide GIS and CAD files with storm drain information (RID only included major cross drainage)	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
43	RID	Drainage	Drainage Reports	TxDOT to provide all drainage reports (RID included only the SEC_Draft_H_H_Report)	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
44	RID	Drainage	HEC-RAS	TxDOT to provide HEC-RAS models for the stream crossings	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
45	RID	Drainage	Drainage	Provide Cities of Fort Worth, Arlington, Kennedale, and Forest Hill's: GIS data of storm drain and drainage network, and drainage masterplans/studies	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
46	RID	Drainage	Drainage	Will drainage design for highway culverts within the right of way be dictated by upstream/downstream conditions that are the responsibility of the local municipalities?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
47	RID	Drainage	Drainage	Provide North Central Texas Council of Governments': GIS data of the storm drain, drainage network and land use coverage, and drainage masterplans/studies	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
48	RID	Drainage	Drainage	Please provide Lake Arlington 50yr and 100yr water surface elevations (WSEL).	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
49	RID	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Please release boring and lab data as it becomes available.	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
50	RID	Railroad	Railroad	TxDOT risk allocation for railroad agreement is unclear. For the I-820 RR Bridge over Highway, Proposer requests TxDOT provide a complete railroad design and concept plan for which reliance of Proposal/Pricing is based. TxDOT to provide DB Contractor DBC terms and conditions including entitlement for cost and schedule relief due to changes not under DB Contractors control for changes in the TxDOT provided railroad design and concept plan included in the RFP documents.	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
51	RID	Railroad	Railroad Agreements	Will TxDOT provide a copy of the existing Legal Document outlining the existing agreements/arrangements between TxDOT and Union Pacific Rail Road (UPRR) that establishes limits of UPRR owned property that project is crossing?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
52	RID	ROW	ROW Schematic	Will TxDOT provide the single Projectwide Schematic ROW line used for the early acquisition activities TxDOT has begun?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
53	RID	ROW	ROW	Will TxDOT provide a list of parcels that TxDOT has started the acquisition process of? Will TxDOT provide a list of parcels scheduled to begin acquisition activities of prior to RFP?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
54	RID	ROW	ROW	Will TxDOT provide all title documents that were used to identify the adjacent property owners during the Parcel Numbering of the Schematic, and early acquisition activities?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
55	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Can TxDOT clarify the vertical clearance to be used on US 287, I-20, and I-820?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
56	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Will TxDOT provide a list of Design Deviations / Variances for driveways and cross streets shown on Schematic not meeting "TxDOT Roadway Design Manual" or "TxDOT Access Management Manual" design requirements?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
57	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	When is the earliest TxDOT to allow Proposers surveyor on the Project to obtain ground elevations along Schematic ROW line?	Proposers will be allowed access to portions of the Project during the RFP. Please refer to the Instructions to Proposers Section 2.8.2 (programmatic version 3.1) for more information. Site access provisions will be discussed and refined with shortlisted Proposers during the RFP.
58	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Does TxDOT know if the current Preliminary Schematic Design results in a pump station on IH-820 under UPRR in order to achieve required drainage?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
59	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	In the current Schematic; was the large cut section on IH-820 designed to balance the Excavation/Embankment quantities?	The Preliminary Schematic Design was developed to assist TxDOT during the NEPA process, and is a high-level document that addresses operational requirements and public input. Specific design elements will be addressed in the RFP. The Proposer is encouraged to seek innovative ways to deliver the Project in accordance to the objectives established in RFQ Section 2.2.

Q&A Matrix

Southeast Connector Project

RFQ Q&A Matrix No. 01

February 13, 2020

TxDOT Question Number	Document	Reference	Sub Reference	Question	TxDOT Response
60	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Is TxDOT satisfied with only acquiring Control of Access along property frontage as a result of gore point locations (as shown on Schematic)?	The Preliminary Schematic Design was developed to assist TxDOT during the NEPA process, and is a high-level document that addresses operational requirements and public input. Control of Access requirements will be addressed in the RFP.
61	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Does TxDOT anticipate maintaining Temporary Lighting throughout Construction of SE Connector?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
62	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Will TxDOT provide written requirements of the 14-ft sidewalk (shared use path?) shown on Schematic?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
63	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Will TxDOT provide written clear zone requirements (if any) for the 14-ft sidewalk (shared use path?) shown on Schematic?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
64	RID	Schematics/ Design	Preliminary Schematic Design	Will TxDOT allow barrier flares into the shoulders of GP lanes to accommodate Large Sign locations?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
65	RID	Schematics/ Design	Aerials	Please provide aerial photos of the project.	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
66	RID	Schematics/ Design	Aerials	Will TxDOT release high resolution aerials?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
67	RID	Third-Party Agreements	Lighting/Signals	Will TxDOT provide existing agreements with Municipalities regarding Continuous Illumination/Lighting and Traffic Signal Maintenance?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
68	RID	Third-Party Agreements	Third-Party Agreements	Do any Municipalities in the Project Limits have Local Access Management Authority (as outlined in "TxDOT Access Management Manual"?)	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
69	RID	Utilities	SUE	Please provide a .dgn version of the "SEC_RID_SUE_Level-B_Section 1-3_20200109.pdf"	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
70	RID	Utilities	SUE	Will TxDOT provide all of the information received from Utility Owners, directly or via TxDOT subcontractor, that was used to create the SUE files provided in the RIDs?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
71	RID	Utilities	SUE	Will TxDOT provide a list of all Utility Owners that were contacted, and their corresponding contact from each, in order to create the SUE files provided in the RIDs?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
72	RID	Utilities	Preliminary Design	Will TxDOT provide preliminary design resulting from coordination with Oncor Transmission?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
73	RID	Utilities	AT&T Utilities	Will TxDOT be engaging AT&T for the records of their network within the Project Limits?	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
74	RID	Utilities	SUE	SUE information as provided appears incomplete even for Level C-D areas in RID information. Will TxDOT provide any higher level accuracy SUE information? How much Level A SUE will TxDOT provide given DBC relief is contingent on Levae A SUE.	Project-specific specifications, exhibits, reports and additional RIDs will be addressed in the RFP.
75	RID	Utilities	SUE	Proposer request TxDOT allow early entry prior to QS submission onto TxDOT ROW to investigate critical areas and high value SUE areas.	Early access to TxDOT ROW cannot be granted at this time and will be addressed in the RFP.